

Sapto Indrioko

CHLOROPLAST DNA VARIATION IN INDONESIAN DIPTEROCARPACEAE-PHYLOGENETIC, TAXONOMIC, AND POPULATION GENETIC ASPECTS





CHLOROPLAST DNA VARIATION IN INDONESIAN DIPTEROCARPACEAE-PHYLOGENETIC, TAXONOMIC, AND POPULATION GENETIC ASPECTS

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Fakultät für Forstwissenschaften und Waldökologie der Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

vorgelegt von

Sapto Indrioko

geboren in Cirebon, Indonesien

Göttingen, 2005

Bibliografische Information Der Deutschen Bibliothek

Die Deutsche Bibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <u>http://dnb.ddb.de</u> abrufbar.

1. Aufl. - Göttingen : Cuvillier, 2005 Zugl.: Göttingen, Univ., Diss., 2005 ISBN 3-86537-401-8

D7

- 1. Berichterstatter: Prof. Dr. Reiner Finkeldey
- 2. Berichterstatter: Prof. Dr. Dirk Hölscher

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 1. März 2005

Gedruckt mit Unterstützung des Deutschen Akademischen Austauschdienstes (DAAD)

© CUVILLIER VERLAG, Göttingen 2005 Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen Telefon: 0551-54724-0 Telefax: 0551-54724-21 www.cuvillier.de

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Ohne ausdrückliche Genehmigung des Verlages ist es nicht gestattet, das Buch oder Teile daraus auf fotomechanischem Weg (Fotokopie, Mikrokopie) zu vervielfältigen. 1. Auflage, 2005 Gedruckt auf säurefreiem Papier

ISBN 3-86537-401-8

To my beloved family...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest thanks to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Reiner Finkeldey, for his excellent guidance of my studies and assistance regarding many aspects of my life in Germany. My special thanks also go to Prof. Dr. Hans H. Hattemer for initially accepting me as a Ph.D. candidate. I am also very thankful to Prof. Dr. Dirk Hölscher for consenting to be the co-referee and to Prof. Dr. Franz Gruber for his interest in being a member of the examination committee.

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Soekotjo and Prof Dr. Moh. Naiem for kindly providing the experimental materials from natural populations in Kalimantan, and to Prof. Dr. Stefan Porembski for help in providing the leaf materials of *Monotes kerstingii* from Benin. Acknowledgements are also due to D.T. Adriyanti, M.Sc. for species identification of the samples.

I acknowledge Dr. Oliver Gailing for his guidance, valuable ideas and constructive discussion. I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Barbara Vornam and Dr. Ludger Leinemann for suggestions regarding molecular analysis and Prof. Dr. Martin Ziehe for statistical advice.

I express my thanks to Thomas Seliger and Gerold Dinkel for laboratory technical assistance and Marita Schwahn for help in secretarial work.

Gratitude is also extended to all colleagues: Dr. Natalia Decarli, Dr. Jörg Kleinschmit, Sylvia Nascimento, Madhav Pandey, Hong Truong Luu, Cui-Ping Cao, Alexandru-Lucian Curtu, Martin Mottura, Valdir Marcos Stefenon, Abeyneh Derero, Aki Höltken, Yanti Rachmayanti, and many others who could not be mentioned here.

I thank Mike Legaspi and Abby Legaspi for the language correction of this manuscript and Rüdiger Kind for smoothing the German in the summary.

I sincerely appreciate the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), which provided generous financial support during my study in Göttingen, Germany.

My deepest and genuine gratitude is extended to my wife, Noor Khomsah Kartikawati and my son, Rizaldi Azhar Indrioko. Their patience, love and tolerance supported me as I completed my studies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A(CKNOWL	EDGEM	ENTS	i
TA	BLE OF	CONTEN	NTS	iii
LI	ST OF TA	BLES		v
LI	ST OF FIG	GURES		vi
LI	ST OF AP	PENDIC	ES	vii
1.	INTROD	UCTION	1	1
	1.1. Famil	y Diptero	ocarpaceae	1
	1.1.1.	Taxonoi	my	1
	1.1.2.	Reprodu	active Biology	3
	1.1.3.	Ecology	y, silviculture and economic importance	5
	1.1.4.	Phyloge	netics	7
	1.1.5.	Infraspe	cific variation	8
	1.2. Gener popul	tic proper ation gen	ties of chloroplast DNA and its application to phylogenetic and etic studies	9
	1.3. Objec	tives		10
	1.3.1.	Phyloge	netic study	10
	1.3.2.	Populati	on genetic study	11
2.	MATERI	ALS AN	D METHODS	13
	2.1. Mater	ials		13
	2.1.1.	Phyloge	netic study	13
	2.1.2.	Populati	ion genetic study	18
	2.2. Meth	ods		20
	2.2.1.	Taxa ide	entification	20
	2.2.2.	Laborate	bry methods	20
		2.2.2.1.	DNA isolation	20
			2.2.2.1.1. CTAB method	20
			2.2.2.1.2. DNA isolation using kits	21
		2.2.2.2.	Electrophoresis	21
		2.2.2.3.	Polymerase chain reaction – restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) analysis	22
			2.2.2.3.1. Primer test for PCR	22
			2.2.2.3.2. PCR amplification using selected primer	24
			2.2.2.3.3. Restriction of PCR product using enzymes (PCR- RFLP)	24
		2.2.2.4.	Chloroplast simple sequence repeat (cpSSR) analysis	25
			2.2.2.4.1. Primer test for PCR	25
			2.2.2.4.2. PCR amplification using selected primer	26
			2.2.2.4.3. Genotyping of PCR product	27

	2.2.3.	Statistical methods	27
		2.2.3.1. Generation of the data matrix	27
		2.2.3.2. Distance matrix	28
		2.2.3.3. Phylogenetic analysis	28
		2.2.3.3.1. Maximum parsimony method	28
		2.2.3.3.2. Neighbour-Joining method	28
		2.2.3.4. Measurement of genetic variation within and among populations	29
3.	RESULT	S	31
	3.1. Phylo	genetic study	31
	3.1.1.	Polymorphisms in cpDNA	31
		3.1.1.1. PCR-RFLP revealing variation	31
		3.1.1.2. CpSSR variation	32
	3.1.2.	Haplotype variation	33
	3.1.3.	Phylogenetic analysis	34
		3.1.3.1. Maximum parsimony method	34
		3.1.3.2. Neighbour-Joining method	37
	3.1.4.	Diagnostic characters	40
	3.2. Popul	lation genetic study	44
	3.2.1.	Polymorphisms in cpDNA	44
	3.2.2.	Haplotypes variation	44
	3.2.3.	Genetic variation within and among populations	45
4.	DISCUSS	SION	49
	4.1. Phylo	genetic study	49
	4.1.1.	Phylogenetic study based on DNA sequences and PCR-RFLP methods	49
	4.1.2.	Phylogenetic analysis	50
	4.1.3.	Character evolution	55
	4.1.4.	Choice of outgroup	58
	4.1.5.	Position of Dryobalanops	60
	4.1.6.	Position of Parashorea	61
	4.1.7.	Infrageneric systematics of Vatica	62
	4.1.8.	Infrageneric systematics of Hopea	63
	4.1.9.	Infrageneric systematics of Shorea	65
	4.2. Popul	lation genetic study	66
	4.2.1.	Geographical distribution of haplotypes	66
	4.2.2.	Infraspecific variation	68
	4.3. Persp	ective	69
SU	MMARY		71
ZU	JSAMME	NFASSUNG	75
Rŀ	EFERENC	ES	79

LIST OF TABLES

1.	Sampled material: species, number of sampled plants (N), location of sampling, origin of the material, section and sub-section (according to ASHTON, 1982)	13
2.	Genera, sections, and subsections of dipterocarps in Indonesia, modified after ASHTON (1982)	17
3.	The population sites of samples obtained in Kalimantan and Sumatra	19
4.	Sequences of primer pairs tested to amplify cpDNA gene (sorted after HIRATSUKA et al., 1989; SHINOZAKI et al., 1986; WAKASUGI et al., 1998)	22
5.	Restriction endonuclease for digesting the amplification product	25
6.	Sequences of primer pairs tested to amplify the cpSSR locus	26
7.	PCR-RFLP revealing variation among dipterocarp species	31
8.	Diagnostic characters (CI=100%) based on cpDNA and cpSSR	42
9.	Pairwise distance matrix between haplotypes in <i>Shorea parvifolia</i> : total character differences (below diagonal) and mean character differences (above diagonal). Haplotypes are numbered as in Appendix 5: total character differences (below diagonal) and mean character differences (above diagonal)	44
10.	Pairwise distance matrix between haplotypes in <i>Shorea johorensis</i> : total character differences (below diagonal) and mean character differences (above diagonal). Haplotypes are numbered as in Appendix 5	45
11.	Number of samples per geographical origin that contain each haplotype in each species	45
12.	Diagnostic characters for species endemic to Indonesia (including insular Malaysia and Brunei Darussalam in Kalimantan Island)	53
13.	Comparison of characters of Dipterocarpaceae	56
14.	Comparison of characters among tribe Shoreae, tribe Dipterocarpeae and genus <i>Dryobalanops</i>	60

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	The distribution of Dipterocarpaceae in Indonesia. The total number of species in each island / isles after ASHTON (1982) and NEWMAN <i>et al.</i> , (1998a, b; 1998a, b, c) is shown.	3
2.	Location map of the samples population sites in Kalimantan and Sumatra	18
3.	Strict consensus of Dipterocarpoideae (outgroup= <i>Monotes kerstingii</i>) retained from 194.570 equally parsimonious trees, length= 233 steps, CI= 0.60, RC= 0.53. Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap value. Typical characters, tribes and sub family are indicated.	35
4.	Strict consensus of Dipterocarpoideae (outgroup= Upuna borneensis) retained from 336.388 equally parsimonious trees, length= 193 steps, CI= 0.60, RC= 0.56. Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap value. Typical characters and tribes are indicated.	36
5.	Neighbour-joining tree of Dipterocarpoideae (outgroup: <i>Monotes kerstingii</i>). Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap value. Typical characters and tribes are indicated.	38
6.	Neighbour-joining tree of Dipterocarpoideae (outgroup: <i>Upuna borneensis</i>). Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap value. Typical characters and tribes are indicated.	39
7.	The diagnostic characters (CI=100%) for each taxa / clade revealed by maximum parsimony. The numbers in the boxes shows the i th character of the character list and the character changes (see Appendix 1 for details).	41
8.	The haplotype distribution in 4 populations of Shorea leprosula	46
9.	The haplotype distribution in 4 populations of Shorea parfivolia	46
10.	The haplotype distribution in 4 populations of Shorea ovalis	47
11.	The haplotype distribution in 3 populations of Shorea johorensis	47
12.	Section and subsection division of Dipterocarpaceae (after Ashton, 1982) mounted in the phylogenetic trees (maximum parsimony method with <i>Monotes kerstingii</i> as outgroup)	64

LIST OF APPENDICES

1.	Transformed data from cpDNA and cpSSR analysis results for phylogenetic study	98
2.	The groups of taxa revealed by PCR-RFLP of cpDNA	106
3.	Amplification length variation revealed by PCR of cpSSR	112
4.	Haplotype revealed in phylogenetic study and population genetic study	114
5.	Pairwise distance between species of Dipterocarpaceae	116
6.	Diagnostic characters (CI=100%) revealed by PCR-RFLP. The arrows indicated the presence/absence of bands or the corresponding restriction sites for each primer-enzyme combination and i th character of the character list described in Appendix 1.	122

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Family Dipterocarpaceae

1.1.1. Taxonomy

The placement of family Dipterocarpaceae has been adjusted several times. First, Dipterocarpaceae was grouped in the order Ochnales, then it was moved to the order Theales, and finally to the order Malvales (MAURY-LECHON and CURTET, 1998; APG; 2003).

ASHTON (1982), LONDOÑO (1995), MAGUIRE and ASHTON (1977), VERCOURT (1989) and VILLIERS (1991) described the taxonomical characters of Dipterocarpaceae as follows: **habitus** small or large resinous usually evergreen trees, usually buttressed; **leaves** alternate, simple, margin entire or sinuate, not crenate, terminating \pm abruptly at the \pm prominent geniculate petiole, penninerved, often with domatia in axils between nerves and midrib or along midrib and (rarely) nerves; tertiary nerves scalariform or reticulate; stipules paired, large or small, persistent or fugaceous, leaving small to amplexicaul scars; inflorescence paniculate, racemose, rarely cymose, ± regularly, rarely irregularly, branched, terminal or axillary; bracts and bracteoles paired, small or large, persistent or fugaceous; flowers secund or distichous, bisexual, actinomorphic, scented, nodding; calyx persistent, five-merous; two to five sepals usually greatly enlarging into wing-like lobes in fruit; sepals either free to base, imbricate in bud, remaining so or becoming valvate in fruit, or fused at base, forming a cup or tube \pm enclosing the fruit, adnate to or free from it; corolla five-merous, contorted, base connate or free, usually partially or entirely unicellular hairy; stamens five to 110, one to three verticillate or irregular, hypogynous or subperigynous, centrifugal; filaments compressed or filiform, free or connate, frequently cohering with petals on falling; anthers erect, two-celled with (two to) four pollen sacs, introrse or laterally dehiscent; tapetal cells binucleate, pollen grains two-celled at anthesis; connective with short or prominent appendage; ovary superior or semi-inferior, three-, rarely two-locular; style \pm thickened at base into a stylopodium, entire or trifid towards apex; stigma obscure or prominent, three- or six-lobed; ovules two (to three) in each loculus, axile, pendulous, or laterally anatropus, bitegmatic with ventral raphe and superior micropyle; fruit indehiscent, one-seeded; with woody pericarp and persistent \pm aliform sepals; embryonic-sac development of **polygonum** type: endosperm of the nuclear type, embryo development normal, ripe seeds with or more usually without endosperm; cotyledons equal or more usually unequal and with one or more or less enclosing the other, laminar or fleshy, entire or lobed, enclosing the radical; **germination** epigeal or hypogeal; pericarp splitting irregularly or along three sutures.

The family Dipterocarpaceae consists of three subfamilies: Dipterocarpoideae (13 genera, c. 470 spp.) from the Seychelles, Sri Lanka and India northeastwards to southern China and the Batan Islands, and southeastwards to New Guinea and D'Entrecasteaux Island; Monotoideae (three genera, c. 40 spp.) in Africa and South America; Pakaramoideae (one genus, one species) confined to South America (ASHTON, 1982; BANCROFT, 1935; MAURY-LECHON and CURTET, 1998). Based on the observation on Asian dipterocarp species, HALLÉ (1979) found three main architecture models of Dipterocarpaceae, i.e. Roux as the frequent model (Anisoptera, Balanocarpus, Hopea, Shorea, Upuna, Vatica), Rauh (Dipterocarpus, Cotylelobium), and Massart (Shorea, Dipterocarpus). Roux's model is characterized by continuous growth of the stem with plagiotrophic branches, while Massart's has rhythmic growth of the stem with plagiotrophic branches, and Rauh's also has rhythmic growth of the stem but with orthotrophic branches (HALLÉ et al., 1978). An African dipterocarp, namely Monotes kerstingii, fits Troll's model which does not exist among Asiatic dipterocarps (HALLÉ and NG, 1981). The basic chromosom number of Dipterocarpoideae is x=11 in Tribe Dipterocarpeae and x=7 in Tribe Shoreae (ASHTON, 1982; JONG and KAUR, 1979; SOMEGO, 1978).

The natural distribution of Dipterocarpaceae in Indonesia comprises (see Figure 1):

- Kalimantan (including insular Malaysia and Brunei Darussalam), with a total of nine genera (*Anisoptera*, *Cotylelobium*, *Dipterocarpus*, *Dryobalanops*, *Hopea*, *Parashorea*, *Shorea*, *Vatica*, and *Upuna*), consisting of 267 (ASHTON, 1982) to 274 species (NEWMAN, *et al.*, 1996a, 1998a).
- Sumatra, with a total of eight genera (*Anisoptera*, *Cotylelobium*, *Dipterocarpus*, *Dryobalanops*, *Hopea*, *Parashorea*, *Shorea*, and *Vatica*), consisting of 106 (ASHTON, 1982) to 112 species and three sub species (NEWMAN, *et al.*, 1996b, 1998b).
- Java and Nusa Tenggara, with a total of five genera (*Shorea*, *Hopea*, *Dipterocarpus*, *Anisoptera*, and *Vatica*), consisting of 10 species (ASHTON, 1982; NEWMAN, *et al.*, 1998c).

- Sulawesi, with a total of four genera (*Shorea*, *Hopea*, *Anisoptera*, and *Vatica*), consisting of six (ASHTON, 1982) to seven species (NEWMAN, *et al.*, 1998c).
- Maluku, with a total of four genera (*Shorea*, *Hopea*, *Anisoptera*, and Vatica), consisting of six (ASHTON, 1982) to seven species (NEWMAN, *et al.*, 1998c).
- Irian (including Papua New Guinea), with a total of three genera (*Hopea*, *Anisoptera*, and *Vatica*), consisting of 15 species (ASHTON, 1982; NEWMAN, *et al.*, 1998c).



Figure 1. The distribution of Dipterocarpaceae in Indonesia. The total number of species in each island / isles after ASHTON (1982) and NEWMAN *et al.*, (1996a, 1996b, 1998a, 1998b, 1998c) is shown.

1.1.2. Reproductive biology

Dipterocarpaceae have bisexual flowers which are pollinated by a variety of insects as vectors (APPANAH, 1981; APPANAH and CHAN, 1981; CORLETT, 2004; MOMOSE *et al.*, 1998; SAKAI *et al.*, 1999a). Pollen flow of dipterocarps can reach more than 500 m in *Neobalanocarpus heimii* (KONUMA *et al.*, 2000) and approximately 200 m in *Dipterocarpus tempehes* (KENTA *et al.*, 2004). On the basis of controlled pollination, three kinds of breeding systems of dipterocarps are recognized: obligatory outbreeding species in most dipterocarps (BAWA, 1998, CHAN, 1981; SAKAI *et al.*, 1999b); inbreeding species, for example, *Dipterocarpus oblongifolius* (CHAN, 1981); and apomictic species, such as in *Shorea ovalis* ssp. *sericea* and *Shorea agamii* (KAUR *et al.*, 1978). Genetic variation was found in seedlings from a

polyembryonic seed of *Hopea odorata* (WICKNESWARI *et al.*, 1995), which indicated that multiple seedlings may not necessarily involve apomixis (BAWA, 1998).

Outcrossing rates (t_m) of dipterocarps have been estimated using isoenzyme and microsatellite markers in different forest types and species, such as *Dryobalanops aromatica* (t_m = 0.77 in logged forest and t_m = 0.92 in primary forest; LEE, 2000a), *Shorea megistophylla* (t_m = 0.71 in logged forest and t_m = 0.87 in primary forest; MURAWSKI *et al.*, 1994b), *Shorea curtisii* (t_m = 0.52 in logged forest and t_m = 0.96 in primary forest; OBAYASHI *et al.*, 2002), *Shorea congestiflora* (t_m = 0.87 in logged forest; MURAWSKI *et al.*, 1994a), *Shorea trapezifolia* (t_m = 0.54-0.62 in logged forest; MURAWSKI *et al.*, 1994a), *Shorea trapezifolia* (t_m = 0.54-0.62 in logged forest; MURAWSKI *et al.*, 1994a), *Shorea leprosula* (t_m = 0.84 in primary forest; LEE *et al.*, 2000c) and *Stemonoporus oblongifolius* (t_m = 0.84 in primary forest; MURAWSKI and BAWA, 1994). Several factors may influence the outcrossing rate, such as flowering tree density (MURAWSKI and HAMRICK, 1992), density of pollinators (LEE, 2000a), and the types and behavior of pollinators governing the pollen movement (GHAZOUL *et al.*, 1998). In summary, most dipterocarps are predominantly outcrossing, but selfing occurs in many species.

An important character of the family in the non-seasonal region is its flowering behaviour. Flowering time does not occur annually, but at irregular intervals with a mass production in a short (2 - 3.5 weeks) flowering period (ASHTON *et al.*, 1988; CHAN and APPANAH, 1980). They do not flower simultaneously with the different dipterocarp species (LAFRANKIE and CHAN, 1991) or in different elevations (SASAKI *et al.*, 1979). Observations in Kalimantan and Peninsular Malaysia revealed that most dipterocarps flower in March-April and the fruits of most species matured and fell in September-October (CHAN and APPANAH, 1980; WOOD, 1956).

General flowering of Dipterocarpaceae in an aseaseonal climate is a supra annual cycle of plant reproduction that takes place at irregular intervals of two to ten years (APPANAH, 1985, 1993; COCKBURN, 1975; NG, 1977; WOOD, 1956, YASUDA *et al.*, 1999). Several environmental factors have been suggested as the floral triggers for general flowering in Dipterocarpaceae, including prolonged drought (APPANAH, 1985; MEDWAY, 1972), increase of photoperiod (NG, 1977; VAN SCHAIK, 1986; WYCHERLEY, 1973), and drop of minimum air temperature at night associated with cloudless weather (ASHTON *et al.*, 1988). Satiation of generalist seed predators has been considered a primary force for general flowering (JANZEN, 1975; SAKAI, 2002).

Seed dispersal of dipterocarps can be divided as follows (ASHTON, 1982; MURAWSKI and BAWA, 1994; SUZUKI and ASHTON, 1996): by wind in most species which have wing–like structure (aliform) sepals, by water in many species which have short sepals and grow in swamps or river banks, and simply by falling on the ground in species without wing–like sepals. Seeds disseminated by water can potentially disperse over longer distances than by wind.

Natural hybridization in dipterocarps has been frequently reported. *Shorea* species flower at the same time and are pollinated by the same insect groups (APPANAH and CHAN, 1981); therefore, the occurrence of interspecific gene exchange is possible. The successful fruit formation resulting from a cross between Shorea *splendida* and *Shorea stenoptera* shows the potential of natural hybridization of closely related species (CHAN, 1981). Putative hybrids between *Shorea acuminata* and *Shorea leprosula* were marked with nucleotide sequences in the *GapC* region (ISHIYAMA *et al.*, 2003). The polyploid condition indicates the possibility of hybridization which might have arisen in several species, such as triploidy in *Hopea beccariana, Hopea latifolia, Hopea subalata, Hopea odorata* and *Shorea resinosa,* and tetraploidy in *Hopea nutans, Shorea ovalis* ssp. *sericea* (ASHTON, 1982; JONG and KAUR, 1979; KAUR *et al.*, 1978; SOMEGO, 1978).

1.1.3. Ecology, silviculture and economic importance

Dipterocarpaceae is the most important forest tree family in natural and close-to-nature forests in Southeast Asia. The many different species of dipterocarps have widely varying site requirements, as illustrated by the fact that they can be found on entirely different site types and in nearly all of the different forest types of Southeast Asia (LAMPRECHT, 1989). Dipterocarps usually grow in mixed tropical rain forests, where they often constitute the dominant floristic element (WEIDELT, 1996). The highest species diversity is observed in evergreen rainforests in Peninsular Malaysia, Sumatra and Kalimantan (ASHTON, 1982; SYMINGTON, 1943; WHITTEN *et al.*, 1987). The latter island is the main centre of dipterocarps with the highest number of endemic species (155 species). Approximately one quarter of all trees in most lowland forests of Kalimantan are dipterocarps (ASHTON, 1982; SIST, 1996; SLIK *et al.*, 2003). The greatest richness in terms of abundance of species is attained in the emergent stratum in Sarawak and Brunei, Northwest Kalimantan (WHITMORE, 1975). The symbiosis with ectomycorrhiza improves the physiological adaptability and is important for the growth of dipterocarps, especially in nutrient poor conditions (SMITS, 1994). Thus, the inoculation of ectomycorrhiza is crucial for the establishment of dipterocarp plantations. Silvicultural treatment, such as liberation thinning, also increases the periodic annual diameter increment (PAI) in larger trees (diameter at breast height / DBH>20 cm) of the Red Meranti group and smaller trees (DBH=10-20 cm) of other *Shorea* spp. and some *Hopea* spp. (KAMMESHEIDT *et al.*, 2003).

The polycyclic silvicultural system has been applied for dipterocarp forest management in Indonesia, namely *Tebang Pilih Indonesia - TPI* (the Indonesian selective cutting system) in 1972, followed by *Tebang Pilih Tanam Indonesia - TPTI* (the Indonesian selective cutting and planting system) since 1989 (DJPH, 1993). Several essentials in the latter system are: stand inventory of all growth stages, limitation of 50 cm minimum of DBH, and maintaining the residual stand with a minimum of 25 nucleus trees per hectare.

Dipterocarpaceae is the pre-eminent timber family in Indonesia, since more than 70% of the world's demand for plywood made from hardwoods has been supplied by Indonesia, principally from dipterocarp species (CHOONG and ACHMADI, 1996). The various wood varieties of dipterocarps are used for veneers and for outdoor and indoor construction (LAMPRECHT, 1989). Additionally, resin is also obtained from various species. Several dipterocarps species, mainly of the genus *Shorea*, produce a nut with an edible fat, identical to that of cocoa, and an excellent substitute for cocoa butter in the manufacture of chocolate and cosmetics (SEIBERT, 1996). The harvesting operation in Indonesia and other countries has a decisive impact on the amount and quality of the residual forest stand. Many of the dipterocarp forest in Southeast Asia have been badly damaged by logging and relogging in the same areas. Several species have been threatened, even coming to the brink of extinction (KORSGAARD, 1985).

Most of dipterocarp species in Indonesia are presently commercial. The vernacular name is usually applied for the timber trading purposes. As a genus consisted of the greatest number of species member, *Shorea* is the most popular timber of Dipterocarpaceae in Indonesia with vernacular name Meranti. Even SYMINGTON (1943) used vernacular name to divide *Shorea* into four groups, namely Balau (Selangan Batu), White Meranti (Meranti Pa'ang), Yellow Meranti (Meranti Damar Hitam) and Red Meranti. NEWMAN *et al.* (1996a, 1996b, 1998a, 1998b, 1998c) described the other common timber names of Indonesian dipterocarps related

to the genus, namely Giam / Merawan (*Hopea*), Keruing (*Dipterocarpus*), Kapur (*Dryobalanops*), Mersawa (*Anisoptera*), White Seraya (*Parashorea*), and Resak (*Vatica*, *Cotylelobium*, and *Upuna*).

1.1.4. Phylogenetics

The taxonomy of Dipterocarpaceae has been studied quite intensively due to their great value in the timber market (ASHTON, 1982), but the phylogenetic relationships among the taxa of Dipterocarpaceae have not been closely studied. Recently, phylogenetic research using molecular markers has been developed because of the simplicity of obtaining large amounts of data and the higher reliability as compared to morphological data for constructing phylogenetic trees (CHASE *et al.*, 1993).

Phylogenetic studies on Dipterocarpaceae have beeen conducted at various taxonomic levels. A study using nucleotide sequence data of angiosperm taxa showed that Dipterocarpaceae grouped with order Malvales (CHASE *et al.*, 1993; HILU, *et al.*, 2003) and in one clade together with Sarcolaenaceae (ALVERSON *et al.*, 1998; MORTON *et al.*, 1999) supported with a high bootstrap value (80 and 100%). The close relationships of Dipterocarpaceae and Sarcolaenaceae were also supported by DAYANDANAN *et al.* (1999) based on *rbcL* gene sequences. The systematic positions of subfamily Monotoideae and of monotypic *Pakaraimaea dipterocarpea* have been problematic. They were considered to have closer relationships to Tiliaceae (KOSTERMANS, 1978, 1985) or Dipterocarpaceae (ASHTON, 1982). KOSTERMANS (1989) declared Monotaceae to be a new family consisting of three genera, i.e. *Monotes, Marquesia* and *Pakaraimaea*. However, molecular data supported the monotypic subfamily Pakaraimoideae (represented by *Pakaraimaea dipterocarpea*) to cluster together with subfamilies Monotoideae and Dipterocarpoideae as a monophyletic clade of Dipterocarpaceae (DAYANANDAN *et al.*, 1999; MORTON *et al.*, 1999).

There are several phylogenetic studies of Asiatic dipterocarps (subfamily Dipterocarpoideae) using various outgroups belonging to subfamily Dipterocarpoideae (KAMIYA *et al.*, 1998; TSUMURA *et al.*, 1996), Monotoideae (GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003), family Bixaceae and Rhopalocarpaceae (DAYANANDAN *et al.*, 1999) and Tiliaceae (KAJITA *et al.*, 1998; LI *et al.*, 2004). Various marker types observing chloroplast DNA variation were investigated, such as PCR-RFLP (TSUMURA *et al.*, 1996), nucleotide sequences of *matK*, *trnL* intron, the *trnL-trnF* intergeneric spacer region (GAMAGE, 2003; KAJITA *et al.*, 1998; KAMIYA *et al.*, 1998; LI,

2004), and *rbcL* (DAYANANDAN *et al.*, 1999; MORTON *et al.*, 1999). The resolution of intergeneric relationships varies greatly but tends to agree with the taxonomic grouping by ASHTON (1982).

The classification of Asian dipterocarps into taxonomically relevant units (tribes, sections, subsections, genera) has recently been reviewed by MAURY-LECHON and CURTET (1998) based on previous work by HEIM (1892), MAURY (1978), MEIJER (1964), SYMINGTON (1943) and ASHTON (1964, 1968, 1982). Many parts of previous classifications are still retained in the present classification. Asian dipterocarps in the present classification have been divided into two tribes, namely Dipterocarpeae and Shoreae (ASHTON, 1982). Furthermore, tribe Dipterocarpeae consists of eight genera with a total of four sections, namely *Dipterocarpus, Anisoptera* (two sections), *Upuna, Cotylelobium, Vatica* (two sections), *Stemonoporus, Vateria*, and *Vateriopsis*. Tribe Shoreae consists of five genera with a total of 13 sections and 12 subsections, namely *Hopea* (two sections, four subsections), *Shorea* (11 sections, eight subsections), *Parashorea, Neobalanocarpus* and *Dryobalanops*.

1.1.5. Infraspecific variation

Methods to observe genetic variation within dipterocarps have been conducted using various genetic markers, such as isozymes and molecular markers. Microsatellite markers have been developed to observe genetic variation of *Dipterocarpus tempehes* (ISAGI *et al.*, 2002), *Dryobalanops lanceolata* (TERAUCHI, 1994), *Hopea bilitonsis* (LEE *et al.*, 2004a), *Neobalanocarpus heimii* (IWATA *et al.*, 2000), *Shorea cordifolia* and *Shorea megistophylla* (STACY *et al.*, 2001), *Shorea leprosula* and *Shorea parvifolia* (LEE *et al.*, 2004b), *Shorea curtisii* and other dipterocarps species (UJINO *et al.*, 1998). Genetic studies using microsatellite markers revealed high heterozygosity of *Dryobalanops aromatica* (H_e=0.71; LIM, *et al.*, 2001) and *Shorea* spp., i.e. H_e=0.69-0.71 in *Shorea leprosula* (NAGAMITSU, *et al.*, 2001; NG *et al.*, 2004; RIMBAWANTO and ISODA, 2001), H_e=0.62-0.67 in *Shorea ovalis* (NG *et al.*, 2004), H_e=0.68-0.73 in *Shorea curtisii* (OBAYASHI *et. al.*, 2002), H_e=0.33-0.85 in *Shorea parvifolia* and H_e=0.42-0.76 in *Shorea acuminata* (TAKEUCHI *et al.*, 2004).

The genetic analysis of dipterocarps found that isozyme variation is low in *Dipterocarpus* alatus (H_e=0.09; CHANGTRAGOON, 2001) and Shorea robusta (H_e=0.143; SUOHEIMO et al., 1999), and exceptionally high in Stemonoporus oblongifolius (H_e=0.34; MURAWSKI and

BAWA, 1994), Dryobalanops aromatica (H_e=0.46; LEE, et al., 2000a) and Shorea leprosula (H_e=0.41; LEE et al., 2000b).

1.2. Genetic properties of chloroplast DNA and its application to phylogenetic and population genetic studies

The genetic information of higher plants is distributed in three types of the genome, i.e. nuclear, mitochondria, and chloroplast. The genes in mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes have become known as extrachromosomal genes, cytoplasmic genes, non-Mendelian genes, organellar genes, or extranuclear genes (RUSSELL, 1994). In angiosperms, Mendelian inheritance is normally assumed for nuclear DNA, whereas uniparental or maternal inheritance is known to be the dominant mode for chloroplast and mitochondria DNA (BIRKY, 1995).

The chloroplast contains not only the enzymes of photosynthesis, but also their own DNA together with the mechanisms needed for DNA replication, DNA transcription and ribosomally catalyzed protein synthesis (DUPRAW, 1970). Therefore, photosynthesis is only one of the many biological functions of chloroplasts; others include protein synthesis, reproduction, and genetic autonomy (SZMIDT, 1991). The chloroplast is only one type of plastid; all plastids (proplastid, chloroplast, chromoplast, amyloplast, etioplast, elaioplast) contain the same cpDNA (DARNELL *et al.*, 1990).

Numerous DNA rings exist in each chloroplast, which are about 120-160 kbps long. Chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) contains genes for rRNA, tRNA, and about 50-100 structure genes (CLEGG *et al.*, 1994; HATTEMER *et al.*, 1993). The cpDNA genome is composed of two regions of single-copy sequences, typically of about 20 and 80 kbps, separated by inverted repeated sequences which are variable in size between species, but typically of about 20 kbps length (KENDREW, 1994). With the help of molecular genetic methods the type of genes and their arrangement on the DNA rings of the chloroplast can be identified in some organisms. The sequences of the chloroplast genomes of some species are already known, like complete sequences in tobacco (SHINOZAKI *et al.*, 1986; WAKASUGI *et al.*, 1998) and rice (HIRATSUKA *et al.*, 1989), the *rbcL* gene in Douglas fir (HIPKINS *et al.*, 1990) and the *trnK-psbA* region of *Pinus contorta*. (LIDHOLM and GUSTAFSSON, 1991).

Phylogenetic and population genetic studies based on cpDNA variation are possible, since cpDNA displays a leisurely pace of sequence evolution (AVISE, 2000). Nonetheless, cpDNA

variation is present and known to be structured geographically in several plant species (SZMIDT, 1991). The comparative analysis of homologous DNA sequences represents an increasingly useful and recognized approach for the definition of taxonomic relationships. Molecular data provide new information for phylogenetic investigations and consequently often clarify the broad connections among taxa (ROSETTO *et al.*, 2000).

The cpDNA lends itself particularly well to phylogenetic studies because: i. the genome is structurally stable; ii. the genome's rate of sequence evolution has been slow, and thus comparisons among species or even genera can be done with ease.; iii. the genome is uniparentally inherited and does not undergo recombination; iv. the small size of cpDNA permits good resolution of most fragments generated by restriction enzymes, so that even alterations of singles nucleotides can be detected (CLEGG *et al.*, 1994; RITLAND and CLEGG, 1987).

The chloroplast DNA genome is also useful for population genetic studies. For example, the cpDNA variation in white oak (*Quercus* spp.) species (DUMOLIN-LAPÈGUE *et al.*, 1997a; PETIT *et al.*, 2002a; PETIT *et al.*, 2002b) and *Fagus sylvatica* (DEMESURE *et al.*, 1996) is recognized as being geographically structured at the regional scale in Europe, but in *Prunus spinosa* the cpDNA variations are geographically unrelated (MOHANTY *et al.*, 2002).

1.3. Objectives

1.3.1. Phylogenetic study

The cpDNA variation of dipterocarp species from Indonesia was studied in order to test the following hypotheses:

- Variation of cpDNA is mainly found among species.
- The distinction of two tribes (tribe Shoreae; basic chromosome number x=7; tribe Dipterocarpeae; x=11) within the subfamily Dipterocarpoideae is reflected in cpDNA variation.
- The differentiation of nine genera and the distinction of sections and sub-sections according to the classification of ASHTON (1982) is well-supported by cpDNA variation.

The results might help to review the intergeneric and interspecies classification of the Dipterocarpaceae (subfamily Dipterocarpoideae).

1.3.2. Population genetic study

The haplotypic diversity within and among populations of four *Shorea* species in Kalimantan and Sumatra was observed using chloroplast DNA. This study is also an initial attempt to present the geographical distribution mapping of cpDNA haplotypes of *Shorea* spp. and to explore the possibility of using molecular markers as a tool to prove the geographical origin of individual trees.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Phylogenetic study

The samples consisted of 129 trees belonging to 58 species of all nine genera native to Indonesia, i.e. *Anisoptera, Cotylelobium, Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops, Hopea, Shorea. Parashorea, Vatica* and *Upuna* (see Table 1). Samples were collected from one to seven single trees for each species. Trees were sampled in natural and planted forests, arboreta, and botanic gardens in Indonesia. The origin of planted species was also recorded (ANONYMOUS, 1991; DANIMIHARDJA and NOTODIHARDJO, 2001). A single tree of *Monotes kerstingii* (subfamily Monotoideae) from Benin, Africa, was also included in the phylogenetic study and used as an outgroup for data analysis.

 Table 1.
 Sampled material: species, number of sampled plants (N), location of sampling, origin of the material, section and subsection (according to ASHTON, 1982)

Species and Section/Subsection			Sampling location (*)	Origin
An	isoptera			
Se	ction Anisoptera			
•	Anisoptera costata Korth.	2	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan
•	Anisoptera marginata Korth.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Kalimantan
•	Anisoptera reticulata Ashton	1	Natural forest, Malinau	Kalimantan
Co	tylelobium			
•	Cotylelobium lanceolatum Craib	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Kalimantan
Diţ	pterocarpus			
•	Dipterocarpus grandiflorus Blanco	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan
•	Dipterocarpus oblongifolius Blume	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Kalimantan
•	Dipterocarpus retusus Blume	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Java
•	Dipterocarpus rigidus Ridl.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra
٠	Dipterocarpus tempehes Sloot.	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan

 Table 1.
 Sampled material: species, number of sampled plants (N), location of sampling, origin of the material, section and subsection (according to ASHTON, 1982)

Species and Section/Subsection			Sampling location	Origin
Dr	yobalanops			
•	Dryobalanops aromatica Gaertn.f.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra
•	Dryobalanops lanceolata Burck	4	Botanic garden, Bogor	Kalimantan
Ho	ppea			
Sec	ction Dryobalanoides, subs. Dryobalanoides			
•	Hopea dryobalanoides Miq.	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Sumatra
•	Hopea griffithii Kurz	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Kalimantan
•	Hopea mengarawan Miq.	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Sumatra
Sec	ction Dryobalanoides, subs. Sphaoerocarpae			
•	Hopea nigra Burck	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Kalimantan
Sec	ction <i>Hopea</i> , subs. <i>Hopea</i>			
•	Hopea bancana (Boerl.) Sloot.	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Sumatra
•	Hopea celebica Burck	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sulawesi
•	Hopea odorata Roxb.	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Sumatra
•	Hopea sangal Korth.	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Sumatra
Pa	rashorea			
•	Parashorea globosa Sym.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra
•	Parashorea lucida (Miq.) Kurz.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra
Sh	orea			
Sec	ction Mutica, subs. Mutica			
•	Shorea acuminata Dyer	7	Natural forest, Tebo	Sumatra
•	Shorea leprosula Miq.	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan
•	Shorea parvifolia Dyer	2	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Sumatra
•	Shorea dasyphylla Foxw.	3	Natural forest, Tebo	Sumatra
Sec	ction Mutica, subs. Auriculatae			
•	Shorea macroptera Dyer ssp. macroptera	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra

 Table 1.
 Sampled material: species, number of sampled plants (N), location of sampling, origin of the material, section and subsection (according to ASHTON, 1982)

Species and Section/Subsection			Sampling location (*)	Origin	
Sec	ction Brachypterae, subs. Brachypterae				
•	Shorea andulensis Ashton	1	Natural forest, Muara Teweh	Kalimantan	
•	Shorea balangeran (Korth.) Burck	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra	
•	Shorea platyclados Sloot. ex Endert	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Sumatra	
•	Shorea scaberrima Burck	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Kalimantan	
•	Shorea johorensis Foxw.	2	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan	
•	Shorea palembanica Miq.	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan	
•	Shorea selanica Blume	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Moluccas	
•	Shorea fallax Meijer	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Kalimantan	
Sec	ction Anthoshorea				
•	Shorea javanica K. & V.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Java	
•	Shorea montigena Sloot.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Moluccas	
•	Shorea virescens Parijs	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan	
Sec	ction Pachycarpae				
•	Shorea mecistopteryx Ridl.	6	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan	
•	Shorea macrophylla (de Vriese) Ashton	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan	
•	Shorea pinanga Scheff.	6	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan	
•	Shorea splendida (de Vriese) Ashton	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan	
•	Shorea stenoptera Burck	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan	
Sec	ction Ovalis				
•	Shorea ovalis (Korth.) Blume	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Sumatra	
Sec	ction Richetioides subs. Richetioides				
•	Shorea blumutensis Foxw.	3	Natural forest, Tebo	Sumatra	
•	Shorea xanthophylla Sym.	3	Natural forest, Tebo	Sumatra	
•	Shorea multiflora (Burck) Sym.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra	
•	Shorea acuminatissima Sym.	1	Natural forest, Ketapang	Kalimantan	
•	Shorea faguetiana Heim	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Kalimantan	

 Table 1.
 Sampled material: species, number of sampled plants (N), location of sampling, origin of the material, section and subsection according to ASHTON (1982)

Species and Section/Subsection	N	Sampling location	Origin		
Section Shorea subs. Shorea					
• Shorea seminis (de Vriese) Sloot.	3	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan		
• <i>Shorea guiso</i> (Blco) Blume	2	Arboretum, Haurbentes	Kalimantan		
• Shorea materialis Ridl.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra		
Upuna					
• Upuna borneensis Sym.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Kalimantan		
Vatica					
Section Vatica					
• <i>Vatica bella</i> Sloot.	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra		
• Vatica granulata Sloot.	2	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sulawesi		
• Vatica pauciflora (Korth.) Blume	6	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra		
• Vatica rassak (Korth.) Blume	2	Botanic garden, Bogor	Maluku		
• Vatica venulosa Blume	1	Botanic garden, Bogor	Sumatra		
Section Sunaptea					
• Vatica bantamensis (Hassk.) B. & H. ex Miq.	2	Botanic garden, Bogor	Java		
Monotes					
Monotes kerstingii Gilg	1	Benin	Benin		
(*): approximate geographic location (latitude	and	longitude) of each sampling	location:		
1. Arboretum Haurbentes, Jasinga, West Java:		6°10'-6°35' S and 106°	20'–106°30' E		
2. Botanic garden, Bogor, West Java:	6°36'-6°37' S and 106°	6°36'-6°37' S and 106°32'-106°33' E			
3. Natural forest, Tebo, Jambi, Sumatra	1°00'-1°45' S and 102°	1°00'-1°45' S and 102°00'-102°45' E			
4. Natural forest, Malinau, East Kalimantan:		2°45'–3°21' N and 115°	2°45'–3°21' N and 115°48'–116°34' E		
5. Natural forest, Muara Teweh, Central Kalin	nanta	an 0°00'–0°20' S and 114°	n 0°00'–0°20' S and 114°30'–115°10' E		
6. Natural forest, Ketapang, West Kalimantan		1°00'-1°15' S and 110°45'-111°00' E			

Genera, sections, subsections, and the number of species investigated are described in Table 2 and compared to the total number of dipterocarp species in Indonesia, including insular Malaysia and Brunei Darussalam in Kalimantan Island and Papua New Guinea in Irian Island.

Genus	Section	Subsection	Total number of species (*)	Number of species investigated
Anisoptera	Anisoptera		7	3
	Glabrae		1	0
Cotylelobium			3	1
Dipterocarpus			46	5
Dryobalanops			7	2
Нореа	Dryobalanoides	Dryobalanoides	19	3
		Sphaerocarpae	10	1
	Hopea	Hopea	26	4
		Pierrea	8	0
Parashorea			8	2
Shorea	Mutica	Mutica	21	4
		Auriculatae	6	1
	Brachypterae	Brachypterae	22	8
		Smithiana	1	0
	Anthoshorea		16	3
	Pachycarpae		10	5
	Ovalis		1	1
	Richetioides	Richetioides	30	5
		Polyandrae	1	0
	Shorea	Shorea	22	3
		Barbata	7	0
	Pentacme		2	0
	Neohopea		1	0
	Rubella		4	0
Upuna			1	1
Vatica	Vatica		19	5
	Sunaptea		22	1

Table	2.	Genera,	sections,	and	subsections	of	dipterocarps	in	Indonesia,	modified	after
		ASHTON	(1982)								

(*): Total number species in Indonesia including insular Malaysia and Brunei Darussalam in Kalimantan Island and Papua New Guinea in Irian Island.

Leaf tissue from adult trees was collected by the author from Java and Jambi. Seed samples obtained from Kalimantan were collected by ITTO Project PD 41/00 Rev. 3 (F,M), namely "Model development to establish commercial plantation of dipterocarps", jointly conducted by Faculty of Forestry, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia and the International Timber Trade Organization (ITTO). Seeds were identified according to the mother trees. Leaf tissue of *Monotes kerstingii* was provided by a colleague from the University of Rostock, Germany.

2.1.2. Population genetic study

Four species of *Shorea* were investigated in the population genetic study, namely *Shorea leprosula* Miq., *Shorea parvifolia* Dyer, *Shorea ovalis* (Korth.) Blume, and *Shorea johorensis* Foxw. These species were chosen due to their broad distribution in Southeast Asia. In Indonesia, these four *Shorea* species are found in Sumatra and Kalimantan (ASHTON, 1982).

The samples were obtained from four sites in Kalimantan and one site in Sumatra (Table 3). Samples originating from Tebo natural forest, Jambi, Sumatra, were collected by the author, whereas samples originating from Kalimantan were collected by ITTO Project PD 41/00 Rev. 3 (F,M), namely "Model development to establish commercial plantation of dipterocarps", jointly conducted by Faculty of Forestry, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia and the International Timber Trade Organization (ITTO). The sites in Kalimantan are geographically widely separated, as shown in Figure 2. Each species was represented by six single adult trees from every site. Leaf tissue from adult trees was collected from Tebo natural forest, Jambi, Sumatra. Seeds were collected from natural forest in Kalimantan populations and identified according to the mother trees.



Figure 2. Location map of the sample population sites in Kalimantan and Sumatra

	Approximate geographic location				
Species and Section/Subsection	Latitude	Longitude			
Section Mutica Subsection Mutica					
Shorea leprosula Miq.					
West Kutai, East Kalimantan	0°00'–0°45' S	115°30'–115°45' Е			
Muara Teweh, Central Kalimantan	0°00'–0°20' S	114°30'–115°10' E			
Ketapang, West Kalimantan	1°00'–1°15' S	110°45'–111°00' E			
• Tebo, Jambi, Sumatra	1°00'–1°45' S	102°00'–102°45' E			
Shorea parvifolia Dyer					
• West Kutai, East Kalimantan	0°00'–0°45' S	115°30'–115°45' Е			
Muara Teweh, Central Kalimantan	0°00'-0°20' S	114°30'–115°10' E			
Ketapang, West Kalimantan	1°00'–1°15' S	110°45'–111°00' E			
• Tebo, Jambi, Sumatra	1°00'–1°45' S	102°00'–102°45' E			
Section Ovalis					
Shorea ovalis (Korth.) Blume					
Malinau, East Kalimantan	2°45'-3°21' N	115°48'-116°34' E			
Muara Teweh, Central Kalimantan	0°00'–0°20' S	114°30'–115°10' E			
Ketapang, West Kalimantan	1°00'–1°15' S	110°45'–111°0' E			
• Tebo, Jambi, Sumatra	1°00'–1°45' S	102°00'–102°45' E			
Section Brachypterae Subsection Brachypterae					
Shorea johorensis Foxw.					
Malinau, East Kalimantan	2°45'-3°21' N	115°48'-116°34' E			
Muara Teweh, Central Kalimantan	0°00'–0°20' S	114°30'–115°10' E			
Ketapang, West Kalimantan	1°00'–1°15' S	110°45'–111°00' E			

Table 3. The population sites of samples obtained in Kalimantan and Sumatra

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Taxa identification

Species identification was based on field observations and on an independent verification by the analysis of herbarium material. A herbarium was created using twigs with at least five mature, healthy leaves sampled from each tree, registered together with the samples for DNA analysis, dried, and maintained at room temperature in the Institute of Forest Genetics and Forest Tree Breeding, Georg-August University, Göttingen. Species identification was carried out by a dendrologist from the Faculty of Forestry, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia based on the present classification of Dipterocarpaceae (ASHTON, 1982),

2.2.2. Laboratory methods

2.2.2.1. DNA isolation

For DNA analysis, healthy seeds or leaves were collected. DNA was extracted from leaves sampled from germinated seeds or adult trees. The seeds were germinated in a nursery and each mother tree was represented only by one germinated seed for the laboratory and statistical methods. At least one fully developed, healthy leaf from adult trees was air-dried in sealed plastic bags with plenty of silica gel for transportation and kept at –60 °C until DNA extraction in the laboratory of the Institute of Forest Genetics and Forest Tree Breeding, Georg-August University, Göttingen. The DNA was extracted using the CTAB method and DNA isolation kits. As the preparation in both methods, leaves were ground into a fine powder using a mill apparatus (Retsch, Haan).

2.2.2.1.1. CTAB method

A slightly modified *Hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide* (CTAB) procedure from CSAIKL *et al.* (1998) and MILLIGAN (1998) was applied to extract dipterocarp samples. Only a few samples could be extracted successfully using this method. It proved to be difficult to develop a universal protocol for DNA extraction using the CTAB method due to species-specific secondary components in dipterocarp leaves inhibiting the extraction, and due to different storage conditions of the sampled material.

2.2.2.1.2. DNA isolation kits

Three DNA isolation kits have been tested to extract the DNA of leaf tissues of dipterocarps, namely DNeasy® Plant Mini Kit (Cat. No. 69104; Qiagen, Hilden), Nucleon PhytoPure Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (Cat. No. RPN 8510; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Buckinghamshire), and DNeasy® 96 Plant Kit (Cat. No. 69181; Qiagen, Hilden). The extraction was done following the manufacturer's instructions. However, only the last kit gave the optimum quality and quantity of DNA needed for the next step of genetic analysis (PCR). Most of the samples were extracted using this DNA isolation kit.

2.2.2.2. Electrophoresis

Electrophoresis was carried out on agarose gel to test the quality of DNA isolation results and to estimate the number of base pair of the PCR products and the digestion results of PCR-RFLP of cpDNA. The dilution of cpSSR PCR products for genotyping purposes was also determined based on electrophoretic performance of the samples.

The concentration of agarose gels was adjusted for DNA isolation results, PCR products and digestion results of PCR-RFLP to 0.8%, 2% and 2.5%, respectively. These concentrations depend on the length of the DNA fragments. The quantity of DNA was examined in comparison to a molecular weight standard (Lambda DNA Marker, Cat. No. 745782; Roche, Mannheim). The molecular weight standard XIV (100 bps ladder) DNA Marker (Cat. No. 1721933; Roche, Mannheim) was applied for electrophoresis of the PCR products and digestion results of PCR-RFLP.

Electrophoresis was performed using 1x *Tris-acetate* (TAE) buffer for about 30-150 minutes at 100-220 V. Electrophoresis time needed depends on the length of gels. The electrophoresis buffer solution was composed as follows:

Tris-acetate (TAE) electrophoresis buffer (50x concentrated stock solution per liter)

Tris base	242.0 g
Glacial acetic acid	57.1 ml
0.5 M EDTA (pH 8.0)	100.0 ml

This buffer solution was diluted 50x with distilled water for electrophoresis and stored at room temperature.

After electrophoresis, the agarose gel was stained in 1% of *Ethidium bromide* solution for about 20 minutes at room temperature. The banding patterns of gel were examined using a UV light apparatus in the dark room. Each gel observed was documented using a digital camera.

2.2.2.3. Polymerase chain reaction – restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) analysis

2.2.2.3.1. Primer test for PCR

Seventeen universal oligonucleotide primers have been tested for the amplification of selected cpDNA gene / intergenic spacer (IS) regions of dipterocarps. All primers have been arranged to amplify the large single copy (LSC) region of chloroplast DNA. The sequences of these primers are described in the following Table:

Table 4. Sequences of primer pairs tested to amplify cpDNA regions (sorted after HIRATSUKA *et al.*, 1989; SHINOZAKI *et al.*, 1986; WAKASUGI *et al.*, 1998)

Gene / IS	Gene product/position	Forward and reverse primer sequence (5' 3')	Note (*)
trnH-K	tRNA-His (GUG) –	ACG GGA ATT GAA CCC GCG CA	А
	tRNA-Lys (UUU)	CCG ACT AGT TCC GGG TTC GA	
psbA	PSII 32kd protein	TAC GTT CGT GCA TAA CTT CC	В
		CTA GCA CTG AAA ACC GTC TT	
trnK	tRNA-Lys (UUU)	GGG TTG CCC GGG ACT CGA AC	А
		CAA CGG TAG AGT ACT CGG CTT TTA	
trnK	tRNA-Lys (UUU)	AAC CCG GAA CTA GTC GGA TG	В
		TCA ATG GTA GAG TAC TCG GC	
trnQ-R	tRNA-Gln (UUG) -	GGG ACG GAA GGA TTC GAA CC	С
	tRNA-Arg (UCU)	ATT GCG TCC AAT AGG ATT TGA A	
rpoC-trnC	RNA polymerase beta' subunit	GCA GTT TCT TGA AAA CTC GC	В
	tRNA-Cys (GCA)	TGT ACA CGC GGT AGA AAA AT	

Table 4. Sequences of primer pairs tested to amplify cpDNA regions (sorted after HIRATSUKA *et al.*, 1989; SHINOZAKI *et al.*, 1986; WAKASUGI *et al.*, 1998)

Gene / IS	Gene product/position	Forward and reverse primer sequence (5' 3')	Note (*)
trnC-D	tRNA-Cys (GCA) –	CCA GTT CAA ATC TGG GTG TC	А
	tRNA-Asp (GUC)	GGG ATT GTA GTT CAA TTG GT	
trnD-T	tRNA-Asp (GUC) –	ACC AAT TGA ACT ACA ATC CC	А
	tRNA-Thr (GGU)	CTA CCA CTG AGT TAA AAG GG	
trnfM-psaA	tRNA-fMet (CAU) –	GAA CCC GTG ACC TCA AGG TTA TG	С
	PSI P700 apoprotein A1	ATT CGT TCG CCG GAA CCA GAA GT	
psaA	PSI P700 apoprotein A1	AAG AAT GCC CAT GTT GTG GC	В
		TTC GTT CGC CGG AAC CAG AA	
trnT-L	tRNA-Thr (UGU) –	CAT TAC AAA TGC GAT GCT CT	D
	tRNA-Leu (UAA)	TCT ACC GAT TTC GCC ATA TC	
trnL-F	tRNA-Leu (UAA) –	CGA AAT CGG TAG ACG CTA CG	D
	tRNA-Phe (GAA)	ATT TGA ACT GGT GAC ACG AG	
trnT-F	tRNA-Thr (UGU) –	CAT TAC AAA TGC GAT GCT CT	D
	tRNA-Phe (GAA)	ATT TGA ACT GGT GAC ACG AG	
trnF-V	tRNA-Phe (GAA) –	CTC GTG TCA CCA GTT CAA AT	С
	tRNA-Val (UAC)	CCG AGA AGG TCT ACG GTT CG	
trnV-rbcL	tRNA-Val (UAC) –	CGA ACC GTA GAC CTT CTC GG	С
	RuBisCo large subunit	GCT TTA GTC TCT GTT TGT GG	
rbcL	RuBisCo large subunit	TGT CAC CAA AAA CAG AGA CT	В
		TTC CAT ACT TCA CAA GCA GC	
petB	Cytochrom b6	TGG GGA ACT ACT CCT TTG AT	В
		CCC GAA ATA CCT TGC TTA CG	

(*): A= DEMESURE *et al.* (1995); B= TSUMURA *et al.* (1996); C= DUMOLINE-LAPÈGUE *et al.* (1997b); D= TABERLET *et al.* (1991)
2.2.2.3.2. PCR amplification using selected primer

Out of 17 universal cpDNA primers tested, five primers amplified DNA in all dipterocarp samples, i.e. *petB*, *psbA*, *psaA*, *rbcL* and *trnLF*. The PCR procedures have been optimized for dipterocarps and carried out with the following steps and reaction mixtures of PCR reagents:

Temperature profile of PCR steps

Step 1: initial denaturation for 15 minutes at 95 °C
Step 2: 35 cycles of:
denaturation for 1 minute at 94 °C
annealing for 1 minute at 56 °C (for *trnL-F*) or 50 °C (for the other primers)
extension for 2 minutes at 72 °C

Step 3: final extension for 10 minutes at 72 °C

Reaction mix (15 μ L) of PCR reagents

Template DNA (5-10 ng)	2.0 µl
Forward primer (5 pmol/µl)	1.8 µl
Reverse primer (5 pmol/µl)	1.8 µl
Distilled water	1.9 µl
HotStarTaq® Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, Hilden)	7.5 µl

The extracted DNA was diluted to 1-10% prior to PCR. Amplification products were analyzed electrophoretically in order to determine the fragment length for each sample.

2.2.2.3.3. Restriction of PCR product using enzymes (PCR-RFLP)

CpDNA fragments amplified with five selected universal primers were then digested with seven restriction endonucleases, i.e. *AluI*, *CfoI*, *HaeIII*, *HinfI*, *MspI*, *RsaI* and *TaqI* (Roche, Mannheim) as described in Table 5. These enzymes recognize specific target sequence of four-base sites (four-cutter).

Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Incubation Temp. (°C)
AluI	AG↓CT	37
CfoI	GCG↓C	37
HaeIII	GG↓CC	37
HinfI	G↓ANTC	37
MspI	C↓CGG	37
RsaI	GT↓AC	37
TaqI	T↓CGA	65

Table 5. Restriction endonuclease for digesting the amplification product

The restriction solution was incubated for at least three hours and at most one night. This solution was made as follows:

Restriction solution (11.5 µl)

Restriction endonuclease	1.0 µl (1 unit)
Enzyme specific buffer	1.0 µl
Amplification product	5.0 µl
Distilled water	4.5 μl

The PCR-RFLP products were separated electrophoretically after digestion in order to determine the length of DNA fragments and to reconstruct restriction sites for each sample.

2.2.2.4. Chloroplast simple sequence repeat (cpSSR) analysis

2.2.2.4.1. Primer test for PCR

Ten universal markers, namely consensus chloroplast microsatellite primers (*ccmp*), *ccmp1* to *ccmp10* (WEISING and GARDNER, 1999), were tested in order to analyze the chloroplast microsatellite genome (see Table 6). To this end, only unlabelled forward primers were applied.

cpSSR	Location	Forward and reverse primer sequence
Locus		
ccmp1	<i>trnK</i> intron	CAG GTA AAC TTC TCA ACG GA
		CCG AAG TCA AAA GAG CGA TT
ccmp2	5' to <i>trnS</i>	GAT CCC GGA CGT AAT CCT G
		ATC GTA CCG AGG GGT TCG AAT
сстр3	<i>trnG</i> intron	CAG GTA AAC TTC TCA ACG GA
		CCG AAG TCA AAA GAG CGA TT
ccmp4	<i>atpF</i> intron	AAT GCT GAA TCG A(CT)G ACC TA
		CCA AAA TAT T(GCT)G GAG GAC TCT
ccmp5	3' to <i>rps</i> 2	TGT TCC AAT ATC TTC TTG TCA TTT
		AGG TTC CAT CGG AAC AAT TAT
сстрб	ORF 77 – ORF 82 intergenic spacer	CGA TGC ATA TGT AGA AAG CC
		CAT TAC GTG CGA CTA TCT CC
ccmp7	<i>atpB – rbcL</i> intergenic spacer	CAA CAT ATA CCA CTG TCA AG
		ACA TCA TTA TTG TAT ACT CTT TC
ccmp8	<i>rpl20 – rps12</i> intergenic spacer	TTG GCT ACT CTA ACC TTC CC
-		TTC TTT CTT ATT TCG CAG DGA A
ccmp9	ORF 74b – psbB intergenic spacer	GGA TTT GTA CAT ATA GGA CA
		CTC AAC TCT AAG AAA TAC TTG
ccmp10	rpl2 – rps19 intergenic spacer	TTT TTT TTT AGT GAA CGT GTC A
		TTC GTC G(AGT)C GTA GTA AAT AG

Table 6. Sequences of primer pairs tested to amplify cpSSR loci

Source: WEISING and GARDNER (1999)

2.2.2.4.2. PCR amplification using selected primers

Out of ten chloroplast microsatellite primers tested, three primers (*ccmp4*, *ccmp5* and *ccmp9*) showed no amplification product and seven primers amplified DNA from all dipterocarp

samples, i.e. *ccmp1*, *ccmp2*, *ccmp3*, *ccmp6*, *ccmp7*, *ccmp8*, and *ccmp10*. The amplification of cpSSR was applied using fluorescence dyed forward primers (Metabion) for genotyping purpose, namely 6-FAM / blue (for *ccmp2* and *ccmp6*), HEX (for *ccmp1*, *ccmp3*, *ccmp7* and *ccmp10*), and NED (for *ccmp8*). The reaction mix of PCR reagents is the same as for cpDNA. However, the temperature profile is optimized for amplifying the cpSSR locus of dipterocarps and is described as follows:

Temperature profile of PCR steps

Step 1: initial denaturation for 15 minutes at 95 °C

Step 2: 35 cycles of:

denaturation for 1 minute at 94 °C annealing for 1 minute at 50 °C extension for 1 minute at 72 °C

Step 3: final extension for 10 minutes at 72 °C

2.2.2.4.3. Genotyping of PCR product

Amplification products were separated by capillar electrophoresis on an automated sequencer ABI Prism 3100[®] Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) with polymer 3100 POP-4TM (Applied Biosystems). The length of electrophoresis products (in base pairs = bps) was measured with the help of the internal size standard GS 500 ROXTM (Applied Biosystems). Individual alleles were analyzed using GeneScan[©] version 3.7 (Applied Biosystems) and genotyped using Genotyper[©] version 3.7 NT (Applied Biosystems). The reagents for genotyping were described as follows:

Reagent mix for genotyping (for 96 probes)

GS 500 ROXTM (Applied Biosystems) 1 µl

HiDi Formamide (Applied Biosystems) 1.152 ml

The reagent mix was distributed equally for 96 sample tubes, and then 2 μ l of amplification product of each sample was added to the tubes.

2.2.3. Statistical methods

2.2.3.1. Generation of the data matrix

The restriction site map data of polymorphic cpDNA regions were transformed to a binary matrix (0, 1) for further analysis. Length variants of restriction fragments and chloroplast

microsatellites were coded as multistate characters (0, 1, 2, ...). Missing and ambiguous data were coded as '-' and '?', respectively.

2.2.3.2. Distance matrix

Genetic distance was calculated in order to describe the genetic differences between two taxa. The numbers of character changes were performed as absolute distances and mean distances (SWOFFORD, 1998). Mean distance was calculated as a ratio between absolute distance and the total number of characters. The value varied between 0 (if two taxa are identical) and 1 (if two taxa do not share any characters). Based on the transformed character matrix, the pairwise genetic distance matrix between samples was computed using PAUP version 4.0 (SWOFFORD, 1998).

2.2.3.3. Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analysis of dipterocarps was carried out using the equally weighted maximum parsimony and neighbour-joining method of PAUP version 4.0 (SWOFFORD, 1998). *Monotes kerstingii* from Benin was chosen as an outgroup, since it combines many plesiomorphic characters. Alternatively *Upuna borneensis* from Kalimantan was used as an outgroup (TSUMURA *et al.*, 1996). The effect of outgroup selection on tree topology was analyzed using maximum parsimony and neighbour-joining method. The image results (tree files) were presented using TreeView version 1.5.2. (PAGE, 1998).

2.2.3.3.1. Maximum parsimony method

Based on heuristic search algorithms as described by NEI and KUMAR (2000), the maximum parsimony was performed with 1000 bootstrap replications (FELSENSTEIN, 1985). Settings for maximum parsimony method in PAUP included closest addition sequence, tree bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch swapping, steepest descent off, and multrees on. Only groups with a bootstrap value of more than 50% are reported.

2.2.3.3.2. Neighbour-Joining method

Neighbour-joining (NJ) method was carried out based on the matrix of pairwise distances between species (SAITOU and NEI, 1987). The level of support for branches of the NJ tree was determined using the bootstrap method (FELSENSTEIN, 1985) with 1000 replications. Again only groups with a bootstrap value of more than 50% are reported.

2.2.3.4. Measurement of genetic structure within and among population

The genetic structure within and among populations in four *Shorea* spp. was analyzed by means of chloroplast DNA variation. In order to analyse the genetic diversity, the hierarchical analysis of allelic variation among populations is calculated as follows (NEI, 1973):

$G_{ST} = (H_T - H_S) / H_T$

where H_T equals the gene diversity $1-\Sigma p_i^2$ (p_i is the allele frequency) in the total population of pooled demes and H_S is the average gene diversity within each member of the population.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Phylogenetic study

3.1.1. Polymorphisms of cpDNA

3.1.1.1. PCR-RFLP revealing variation

Out of 17 genes / intergenic spacer regions tested, four genes (*rbcL*, *petB*, *psaA* and *psbA*) and one intergenic spacer region (*trnL-F*) can be applied to amplify the cpDNA of Dipterocarpaceae. The amplification of genomic cpDNA for these gene regions produced fragment length of about 1300 (*rbcL*), 1700 (*petB*), 2500 (*psaA*), 1000 (*psbA*) and 1100 (*trnL-F*) base pairs. Variation was detected for all fragments after digestion with particular restriction enzymes, but the number of enzymes revealing variation varied from one (*psbA*) to seven (i.e., all; *petB* and *rbcL*), as shown in Table 7. There is a total of 23 primer-enzyme combinations showing variation, i.e. restriction site polymorphisms and length differences.

Gene/IS			Re	striction enzy	me *)		
	Alu I	Cfo I	Hae III	Hinf I	Msp I	Rsa I	Taq I
rbcL	8, 8, 0	6, 6, 0	3, 2, 0	5, 7, 0	6, 6, 0	2, 0, 1	2, 3, 0
petB	5, 4, 1	2, 1, 0	5, 5, 0	14, 16, 0	4, 6, 0	5, 6, 0	8, 11, 0
psaA	-	4, 6, 0	4, 7, 0	3, 5, 0	5, 8, 0	4, 6, 0	5, 7, 0
psbA	-	-	-	-	3, 2, 0	-	-
trnL-F	-	-	-	9, 0, 5	-	-	10, 0, 5

Table 7. PCR-RFLP revealing variation among dipterocarp species

*): Number in each enzyme-primer combination result indicates the number of patterns, restriction site polymorphisms, and amplification length differences, respectively.

Data collected from PCR-RFLP of cpDNA and cpSSR analysis results has been transformed to binary and multistate characters (see Appendix 1). In this phylogenetic part of the study, no variation within species was observed. Restriction patterns were identical for all trees of one species at all primer-enzyme combinations. The taxa groups revealed by PCR-RFLP of cpDNA are described in Appendix 2. PCR-RFLP of individual primer-enzyme combinations

distinguished from two to 14 groups of taxa. The lowest variation with only one different character was revealed by *rbcL* with *Rsa* I (restriction site) and *petB* with *Cfo* I (length difference), whereas *petB* with *Hinf* I showed the highest variation with 16 restriction sites polymorphisms.

Differentiation among genera was possible based on single primer-enzyme combinations. For example, digestion of fragment *petB* with *Cfo* I revealed two patterns and allowed the genus *Dipterocarpus* to be distinguished from all other genera. Likewise, digestion of *psaA* with *Hinf* I revealed differentiation of the genus *Vatica* from all others. However, there is no specific character to identify genus *Shorea* and *Parashorea*.

Digestion using only one primer-enzyme combination resulted in unique, species-specific patterns for 19 definite species, i.e. *Anisoptera marginata*, *Anisoptera reticulata*, *Cotylelobium lanceolatum*, *Dipterocarpus rigidus*, *Dryobalanops aromatica*, *Hopea griffithii*, *Hopea mengarawan*, *Hopea nigra*, *Hopea sangal*, *Monotes kerstingii*, *Shorea blumutensis*, *Shorea fallax*, *Shorea johorensis*, *Shorea leprosula*, *Shorea materialis*, *Shorea seminis*, *Shorea virescens*, *Upuna borneensis* and *Vatica bella*. The highest variation in this regard was generated after digestion of *pet*B with *Hinf*I, separating six single different species with unique restriction sites.

Occasionally, a differentiation of single PCR-RFLP patterns was observed which was consistent with the classification of Ashton (1982) grouping species-rich genera into sections or subsections. For example, all species of section *Pachycarpeae* (genus *Shorea*) apart from *Shorea mecistopteryx* were distinguished from all other trees by a particular fragment after digestion of the *trnL-F* fragment with *Hinf* I.

3.1.1.2. CpSSR variation

Out of ten primers (*ccmp1-ccmp10*) applied to amplify cpSSR regions of Dipterocarpoideae, *ccmp4*, *ccmp5* and *ccmp9* showed no amplification product, and four primers (*ccmp1*, *ccmp3*, *ccmp7* and *ccmp8*) did not reveal any variation.

Amplification of cpSSR regions revealed length polymorphisms using *ccmp2* (seven different fragments, 136-155 bps), *ccmp6* (six different fragments, 86-98 bps), and *ccmp10* (nine different fragments, 92-109 bps) as shown in Appendix 3. The amplification revealed intergenera and interspecies variation of dipterocarps, but no variation within species.

The species in each genus *Dipterocarpus*, *Hopea*, and *Shorea* were distributed into 3 different groups with specific length variants in *ccmp2*. Specific characters for *Dipterocarpus* were well supported because this genus did not share identical fragment size with other genera.

Primer *ccmp6* distinguished five different types in genus Shorea. Genus *Shorea* section *Shorea* shows the highest variation with four different haplotypes, and genus *Shorea* section *Pachycarpae* has a uniform length of fragment. On the contrary, amplification using *ccmp10* revealed no interspecies variation in genus *Shorea*, whereas *Vatica* and *Hopea* have two and three different amplification lengths, respectively.

Amplification of cpSSRs resulted in new variation which was not detected by PCR-RFLPs. Some species could be identified using only one cpSSR primer, i.e.: *Anisoptera reticulata*, *Dipterocarpus grandiflorus*, *Hopea bancana*, *Hopea odorata*, *Shorea guiso*, and *Vatica granulata*. Thus, addition data from cpSSR regions will provide better information to describe the differentiation of Dipterocarpoideae.

3.1.2. Haplotype variation

The cpDNA and cpSSR variation revealed 116 polymorphic sites and a total of 46 haplotypes as shown in Appendices 1 and 4, respectively. The pairwise distance matrix between species revealed 0-44 % distance variation (see Appendix 5). Some species have the same molecular characters at PCR-RFLP and cpSSR markers resulting in identical haplotypes, namely:

- Haplotype 22: Shorea acuminata, Shorea andulensis, Shorea mecistopteryx, Shorea platyclados, and Shorea xanthophylla
- Haplotype 23: Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea dasyphylla, and Shorea mutiflora
- Haplotype 32: Shorea macrophylla, Shorea pinanga, and Shorea stenoptera
- Haplotype 34: Shorea javanica, Shorea ovalis, and Shorea macroptera
- Haplotype 46: Vatica pauciflora, Vatica rassak, and Vatica venulosa

As a species belonging to Monotoideae, *Monotes kerstingii* has the highest value (0.325-0.439) for all pairwise distances in comparison to the other taxa. From the indigenous species of the subfamily Dipterocarpoideae, the monotypic species *Upuna borneensis* shows the highest pairwise distance value (0.161-0.415). The results showed no haplotype variation within species, as mentioned above.

3.1.3. Phylogenetic analysis

3.1.3.1. Maximum parsimony method

Heuristic searches using *Monotes kerstingii* as an outgroup resulted in 194,570 most parsimonious trees with a length of 233 steps, a consistency index (CI) of 0.60 and a rescaled consistency index (RC) of 0.53 (Figure 3). The strict consensus tree reveals two major clades with medium to high bootstrap support. The first clade (bootstrap value=71%) comprises tribe Dipterocarpeae (*Anisoptera, Upuna, Cotylelobium, Vatica, Dipterocarpus*) and *Dryobalanops* (tribe Shoreae) as a separate group (bootstrap value= 99%; Figure 3). The monophyly of tribe Dipterocarpeae is well-supported (bootstrap value=83%) with genus *Dipterocarpus* as a sister group to the remaining genera of this tribe. *Anisoptera* and *Vatica* form well supported clades (both with bootstrap=99%).

The second clade consists of *Hopea*, *Shorea* and *Parashorea* (bootstrap value=95%). *Hopea* is a sister group (bootstrap value=85) to *Shorea* and *Parashorea* (bootstrap value=63%). Tribe Shoreae is polyphyletic since *Dryobalanops* groups together with Tribe Dipterocarpeae. The classification of *Shorea* sections and the distinction between *Shorea* and *Parashorea* is not supported by this tree. Well supported clades (bootstrap value=98%), for example clade *Shorea fallax, Shorea materialis* and *Shorea virescens* are regarded as members of three different sections (section *Brachypterae*, section *Shorea*, and section *Anthoshorea*, respectively).

The maximum parsimony analysis with *Upuna borneensis* as alternative outgroup resulted in 336.388 equally parsimonious trees with length=193 steps, consistency index=0.60, and rescaled consistency index=0.56 (Figure 4). Tribe Shoreae is monophyletic with *Dryobalanops* basal to other members of this tribe. Members of tribe Dipterocarpeae do not group in one clade. The distinction between *Parashorea* and *Shorea* is also not supported.

(1) Outgroup: Monotes kerstingii



Figure 3. Strict consensus of Dipterocarpoideae (outgroup= *Monotes kerstingii*) retained from 194,570 equally parsimonious trees, length=233 steps, CI=0.60, RC=0.53. Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap value. Typical characters, tribes and sub family are indicated.

(2) Outgroup: Upuna borneensis



Figure 4. Strict consensus of Dipterocarpoideae (outgroup= *Upuna borneensis*) retained from 336,388 equally parsimonious trees, length=193 steps, CI=0.60, RC=0.56. Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap value. Typical characters and tribes are indicated.

3.1.3.2. Neighbour-Joining method

With *Monotes kerstingii* as an outgroup, the neighbour-joining method reveals three major clades with high support of bootstrap value (Figure 5). The first clade consists of tribe Dipterocarpeae (bootstrap value=93%). This clade is monophyletic with *Dipterocarpus* as a sister clade to the other genera of tribe Dipterocarpeae (*Cotylelobium, Anisoptera, Upuna,* and *Vatica*). *Dryobalanops* is placed in the second major clade (bootstrap value=100%). The third major clade consists of tribe Shoreae (bootstrap value=99%) as a monophyletic clade with *Hopea* as a sister clade to *Parashorea* and *Shorea*. The distinction between *Parashorea* and *Shorea* is not supported. *Shorea fallax, Shorea materialis* and *Shorea virescens* are in a basal position to other *Shorea* and *Parashorea* species (bootstrap value=99%).

The neighbour-joining tree with *Upuna borneensis* as the outgroup shows that *Vatica* (bootstrap value=99%) rooted to the base with *Upuna borneensis* (Figure 6). The other taxa as one clade rooted also to the base but with lower bootstrap value (51%). Tribe Shoreae is monophyletic with *Dryobalanops* basal to other members of this tribe. Members of tribe Dipterocarpeae do not group in one clade. The distinction between *Parashorea* and *Shorea* is not supported, either.

(1) Outgroup: Monotes kerstingii



Figure 5. Neighbour-joining tree of Dipterocarpoideae (outgroup: *Monotes kerstingii*). Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap value. Typical characters and tribes are indicated.

(2) Outgroup: Upuna borneensis



Figure 6. Neighbour-joining tree of Dipterocarpoideae (outgroup: *Upuna borneensis*). Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap value. Typical characters and tribes are indicated.

3.1.4. Diagnostic characters

Out of 137 characters analysed for the phylogenetic analysis, 21 characters are constant for all species, and 71 characters are diagnostic with a consistency index=100% with *Monotes kerstingii* as an outgroup (see Table 8, Figure 7 and Appendix 6). Some taxa or clades are supported by diagnostic characters. These specific molecular characters of the taxa or clades can help to unambiguously identify taxa of Dipterocarpoideae. The major clade tribe Dipterocarpeae+*Dryobalanops* can be identified by three diagnostic characters, while the second clade (*Hopea, Parashorea, Shorea*) is supported by five diagnostic characters.

A total of 16 species observed in this study are endemic to certain islands, namely Kalimantan (13 species: Anisoptera reticulata, Dipterocarpus tempehes, Dryobalanops lanceolata, Shorea andulensis, Shorea mecistopteryx, Shorea scaberrima, Shorea macrophylla, Shorea pinanga, Shorea splendida, Shorea stenoptera, Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea fallax and Upuna borneensis), Sumatra (one species: Hopea bancana) and Maluku (two species: Shorea montigena and Shorea selanica). Four of these endemic species (Anisoptera reticulata, Hopea bancana, Shorea fallax and Upuna borneensis) could be unambiguously identified by at least one diagnostic character.



Figure 7. The diagnostic characters (CI=100%) for each taxon / clade revealed by maximum parsimony. The numbers in the boxes show the ith character of the character list and the character changes (see Appendix 1 for details).

l cpSSR
A and
cpDN
sed on
%) bas
$[=100^{\circ}$
ers (C
charact
gnostic
8. Dia
Table

Total			3	1	1	2	3	4	1	4	1	S	1	1	1	1	1	1	20
		0Iqmoo				137		137						137				137	137
	pSSR	9дшээ			136														
	С	zdwəə							135										
	LF	I pbT								130					130				
tails)	trn]	I fuiH				129					125								
or de		I ppT	118 120																116 122
ix 1 f		I psA																	113 114
pend	μĄ	I dsW					107					106							104 108 109
ee Ap	bsd	I fuiH																	99 100 101
ist (se		III 90H																	93 94
cter I		I of)										88							85 86 87
narac		I ppT		81				80											
he cł		I psA																	
of t		I qeM					70 71												
acter	petB	I fuiH								55 58			60						
chara		III 90H																	43
e i th (I of)						39											
Ţħ		I nJV														34			38
		I qeM	29																26
	Ъ	I fuiH						23											
	rb_{0}	I of)										11 12 13					6		10
		I mV								9									
Taxa			Anisoptera	Anisoptera marginata	Anisoptera reticulata	Cotylelobium lanceolatum	Dipterocarpeae + Dryobalanops	Dipterocarpus	Dipterocarpus grandiflorus	Dryobalanops	Dryobalanops aromatica	Hopea + Parashorea + Shorea	Hopea	Hopea bancana	Hopea grifithii + Hopea mengarawan	Hopea mengarawan	Hopea nigra	Hopea odorata	Monotes kerstingü

(Continued)

Table 8. Diagnostic characters (CI=100%) based on cpDNA and cpSSR

Taxa					The	i th chi	aract	ter of	f the	char;	acter	list (see A	pper	ndix	1 for	detai	ls)				Tota
		rb	cL				d	etB					P I	saA			tri	nLF		cpSSI	X	
	InlA	IotJ	I tniH	IqeM	InlA	IofD	III9bH	I fniH	IqeM	IDSM	1955 1895		In the second se	IqeM	IpsA	IppT	I fniH	IppT	<i>7</i> dwวว	9ർนเวว	0Iqməə	
Shorea blumutensis											8	6										1
Shorea fallax								52														1
Shorea. fallax + Shorea.materialis + Chorea virescens																117						7
Shorea vii caceita Shorea guiso					1	1	╋	╋		+	╀	+		+		112				136		, -
Shorea johorensis						\uparrow	\uparrow	+		26	+		-									-
Shorea materialis + Shorea virescens																		130				1
Shorea. macrophylla + Shorea pinanga + Shorea stenontera + Shorea splendida																	125					1
Shorea seminis					1			45	+	╀	+	-	-	_	_							1
Upuna borneensis			20		36 37				<u> </u>	55												4
Vatica								47					36	~~			127					Э
Vatica bella																		130				-
Vatica granulata + Vatica pauciflora + Vatica rassak + Vatica venulosa	78																					2
Vatica granulata						\uparrow	\square	+	+	+	+		-								137	1

3.2. Population genetic study

3.2.1. Polymorphisms of cpDNA

Haplotypes were identified based on the restriction site changes and length variations of restriction fragments and chloroplast microsatellites (see subchapter 3.1. Phylogenetic Study). Data collected from cpDNA and cpSSR analyses were transformed into binary and multistate characters. According to previous analyses of the phylogenetic relationships of Dipterocarpaceae three primer-enzyme combinations were selected for further population genetic studies on *Shorea leprosula*, *Shorea parvifolia*, *Shorea ovalis*, and *Shorea johorensis*, i.e. *rbcL* with *Alu* I and *Msp* I, and *petB* with *Rsa* I. Two cpSSR primers, i.e. *ccmp6* and *ccmp10*, are polymorphic for these species.

3.2.2. Haplotype variation

Shorea leprosula and *Shorea ovalis* showed no chloroplast DNA variation. The same haplotypes to the phylogenetic results of these species are found in all 24 investigated trees, namely haplotype 30 and 34, respectively (see Appendix 4). Haplotype variation can be found in *Shorea parvifolia* (three haplotypes: 36 as a common haplotype, 36b and 36c) and *Shorea johorensis* (two haplotypes: 29 as a common haplotype and 29b). The variation among haplotypes in *Shorea parvifolia* has been detected in the *rbcL* gene digested with *Alu* I, and an amplification product with only one base pair difference in *ccmp6* (96-97 bp) and *ccmp10* loci (98-99 bp), with a total of four diagnostic characters. Likewise, haplotype variation in *Shorea johorensis* has been found in *rbcL* digested with *Msp* I and *petB* digested with *Rsa* I, and an amplification product with only one base pair difference in *ccmp6* (96-97 bp), with a total of three diagnostic characters. Haplotypes revealed in this research have low variation with only 0.0073 - 0.0292 mean character distances among haplotypes as shown in Tables 9 and 10.

Table 9. Pairwise distance matrix between haplotypes in Shorea parvifolia: total characterdifferences (below diagonal) and mean character differences (above diagonal).Haplotypes are numbered as in Appendix 4.

Haplotypes	36	36b	36c
36	-	0.0219	0.0073
36b	3	-	0.0292
36c	1	4	-

Table 10.Pairwise distance matrix between haplotypes in Shorea johorensis: total character
differences (below diagonal) and mean character differences (above diagonal).
Haplotypes are numbered as in Appendix 4.

Haplotypes	29	29b
29	-	0.0219
29b	3	-

3.2.3. Genetic variation within and among populations

The species observed, i.e. *Shorea leprosula*, *Shorea ovalis*, *Shorea parvifolia* and *Shorea johorensis* do not share haplotypes among species. The distribution of each haplotype per species in each population is shown in Table 11 and Figures 8-11. *Shorea leprosula* and *Shorea ovalis* did not exhibit chloroplast DNA variation in any population. Differentiation between populations of *Shorea parvifolia* is characterized by a G_{ST} value of 0.15. In West Kalimantan there were three haplotypes, whereas in East Kalimantan there was only one. The separate population in Jambi has two haplotypes. *Shorea johorensis* populations observed in Kalimantan show a G_{ST} value of 0.25. More than one haplotype has been found only in the East Kalimantan population (see Table 11 and Figure 11).

Table 11. Number of samples per geographical origin that contain each haplotype in each species

Geographical	S. joho	orensis	S. leprosula	S. ovalis	l L	S. parvifolio	a
Origin	29	29b	30	34	36	36b	36c
East Kalimantan	4	2	6	6	6	0	0
Central Kalimantan	6	0	6	6	5	1	0
West Kalimantan	6	0	6	6	3	2	1
Jambi	-	-	6	6	5	1	0



Figure 8. The haplotype distribution in four populations of Shorea leprosula



Figure 9. The haplotype distribution in four populations of Shorea parvifolia



Figure 10. The haplotype distribution in four populations of Shorea ovalis



Figure 11. The haplotype distribution in four populations of Shorea johorensis

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Phylogenetic study

4.1.1. Phylogenetic study based on DNA sequences and PCR-RFLP methods

Molecular phylogenetic studies of Dipterocarpaceae have been performed by means of observation of chloroplast DNA by several authors. DNA sequences were studied at the *rbcL* gene (DAYANANDAN *et al.*, 1999; MORTON *et al.*, 1999), non-coding regions of the *trnL* intron and the *trnL-trnF* intergenic spacer region (GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003; KAMIYA *et al.*, 1998) and at the *matK* gene (KAJITA *et al.*, 1998; LI *et al.*, 2004). The PCR-RFLP method has been applied on 11 specific genes by TSUMURA *et al.* (1996) and five genes / intergenic spacer regions with an addition of amplification on three chloroplast microsatellites loci in the present study.

The sequencing method at the chloroplast *rbcL* gene is widely applied for numerous species (CHASE *et al.*, 1993). This gene encodes the large subunit of ribulose-1,5-bipohsphate carboxylase, the enzyme that catalyzes CO_2 fixation in the photorespiration process (MIZIORKO and LORIMAR, 1983). However, the sequence of the *rbcL* gene is usually too conservative to generate well-supported phylogenies among closely-related genera and species (RYDIN and WIKSTRÖM, 2002; SAVARD *et al.*, 1993). In comparison with *rbcL* and *atpB* genes, the *matK* gene is considered to evolve approximately three times faster (HILU *et al.*, 2003; WANG *et al.*, 1999).

As the cpDNA genome consist of 120-160 kbps polynucleotides (CLEGG *et al.*, 1994), the DNA sequences of previous observations are considered to represent relatively small parts of the cpDNA genome only, with a total of 890-1265 bps polynucleotides (GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003; KAJITA *et al.*, 1998; KAMIYA *et al.*, 1998; LI *et al.*, 2004). In the present study, the length of genes observed in five genes / intergenic spacer regions in Dipterocarpaceae varied from about 1000 bps (*psbA* gene) up to 2500 bps (*psaA* gene). Thus, the PCR-RFLP method can be applied to observe longer fragments and therefore has better representation than DNA sequencing. However, not all variation at the DNA level can be detected by PCR-RFLPs. On the other hand, DNA sequencing can detect all variation of DNA but is usually applied only to shorter fragments of DNA.

The PCR-RFLP method requires intensive laboratory work, such as multiple screenings and application of numerous genes and restriction endonucleases on each sample in order to detect

as much variation as possible. Out of 17 cpDNA gene / intergenic spacer regions tested, four genes (*rbcL*, *petB*, *psaA* and *psbA*) and one intergenic spacer region (*trnL-F*) can be applied to analyse the genetic variation of dipterocarps. The other primers resulted in unsatisfactory PCR amplifications and could not be used for the next step of genetic analysis. The variation in PCR-RFLP results has been observed by changes in the number and / or size of fragments. Out of five genes / intergenic spacer regions observed in the present study, *petB* and *rbcL* genes revealed more variations and significant results in all seven restriction enzymes employed, whereas the *psbA* gene showed less variation with only one enzyme as shown in Table 7.

Out of ten chloroplast microsatellite primers, namely *ccmp1* to *ccmp10* (WEISING and GARDNER, 1999), three primers, i.e. *ccmp2*, *ccmp6*, and *ccmp10*, were variable. Amplification of cpSSR resulted in new variations which could not be detected by PCR-RFLP studies. Thus, the additional amplification of cpSSR regions allowed for a better description of the differentiation of Dipterocarpoideae.

The observation of cpDNA and cpSSR regions (one to six samples per species) resulted in variation only between species. The absence of variation within species makes them useful for phylogenetic analysis. A total of 71 diagnostic characters (CI=100%) has been found based on PCR-RFLP of cpDNA and amplification products of cpSSR as described in Table 8, Figure 7 and Appendix 6. These characters can be applied robustly to identify unknown dipterocarp samples up to the genus level (except *Shorea* and *Parashorea*) and revealed specific haplotypes for 19 species. Furthermore, the information provided by the present research can be observed as a pre-sequencing selection about the specific informative regions for future phylogenetic analysis using the sequencing method.

4.1.2. Phylogenetic analysis

In order to comprehend the phylogenetic relationships among Indonesian dipterocarps, the analysis has been conducted with two statistical methods (maximum parsimony method and neighbour joining method) and compared using two different outgroups (*Monotes kerstingii* and *Upuna borneensis*). Heuristic searches yielded thousands of equally most parsimonious trees using both outgroups, but fewer trees have been revealed when using *Monotes kerstingii* (194,570 trees) rather than *Upuna borneensis* (336,388 trees) as an outgroup. Both outgroups revealed equal Consistency Indexes (CI=0.60). However, the phylogenetic relationships of the

genera are different. The effect of different outgroups selection is discussed in more detail in the following subchapter (4.1.4. Choice of outgroup). The discussion in this subchapter is based on phylogenetic trees using *Monotes kerstingii* as the outgroup.

Asian dipterocarps are divided into two tribes, namely tribes Dipterocarpeae and Shoreae. Tribe Dipterocarpeae can be identified by an imbricate form of ripe fruit calyx, scattered resin canals, and a basic chromosome number x=11, whereas tribe Shoreae has valvate ripe fruit calyx, resin canals in tangential bands, and a basic chromosome number x=7 as described particularly in Table 13 (ASHTON, 1982). The strict consensus tree (Figure 3) and neighbour joining tree (Figure 5) revealed that the clade of tribe Dipterocarpeae is separated from tribe Shoreae as a monophyletic clade with high support of bootstrap values, i.e. 83% and 93%, respectively.

Upuna, Cotylelobium, Anisoptera and *Vatica* are closely related and clustered in a monophyletic clade as a sister clade of *Dipterocarpus* (see Figures 3 and 5). Previous analysis also revealed the same tendency. However, the interrelationships among the genera were variable. *Vatica* has been considered to have the closest relation to *Anisoptera* or *Cotylelobium* (GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003; KAJITA *et al.*, 1998; TSUMURA *et al.*, 1996). The strict consensus tree in the present study showed the equal phylogenetic relationships of *Upuna, Cotylelobium, Anisoptera* and *Vatica.* The neighbour joining tree (Figure 5) showed that *Upuna boorneensis* is grouped together with *Vatica* with a low support by bootstrap value (53%). The close affinity between *Upuna* and *Vatica* is reflected by the similarity of their wood anatomical characters (i.e. medium-large solitary and partial multiple pores, diffuse resin canals, thick-walled fibres and lack of SiO₂), but the bark anatomy characters do not suggest the affinity (PARAMESWARAN and GOTTWALD, 1979). The classification created by MAURY (1978) also indicated the tendency for close relationships between *Upuna* and *Vatica,* which have been classified together with *Cotylelobium* and other genera of non Malesian dipterocarps in subgroup *Vaticae* (MAURY-LECHON and CURTET, 1998).

The strict consensus trees (Figures 3 and 4) showed that *Dipterocarpus* is in a monophyletic clade with the maximum value of bootstrap (100%). This is supported by four diagnostic characters revealed by primer–enzyme combinations of rbcL - Hinf I, petB - Cfo I, petB - Taq I, and amplification of ccmp10 cpSSR locus (see Figure 7, Table 8, and Appendix 6). In comparison to other genera within the family, this genus is characterized by abundant content of dipterocarpol (BISSET *et al.*, 1966), dispersed resin canals in the wood, the largest flowers

in the family (up to eight cm across), and in general two-winged fruits (ASHTON, 1982; MEIJER, 1979). Based on anatomical characters *Dipterocarpus* is placed intermediary between the *Vatica-Cotylelobium* group and the *Shorea* group (GOTTWALD and PARAMESWARAN, 1966). This evidence corresponds with present results which placed *Dipterocarpus* in a distinct clade between the rest of the genera of tribe Dipterocarpeae and the genus *Dryobalanops* of tribe Shoreae.

Analysis of the composition of resin sesquiterpene fractions revealed that six groups can be defined in the genus *Dipterocarpus* (BISSET *et al.*, 1966) and based on the character of the fruit calyx tube HEIM (1892) classified Dipterocarpaceae into five sections. However, the overall characters showed that the species member of *Dipterocarpus* should not be divided into subgenera (ASHTON, 1982). Since phylogenetic analysis on the Sri Lankan species showed that *Dipterocarpus* endemic to Sri Lanka grouped separately in the Malesian *Dipterocarpus* cluster (GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003), further studies are needed in order to know the evolutionary history of Sri Lankan Dipterocarpaceae.

In the phylogenetic tree *Dryobalanops* is a sister group to tribe Dipterocarpeae with bootstrap support 71% (see Figure 3), but according to ASHTON (1982) this species belongs to tribe Shoreae. This contradiction is due to the fact that *Dryobalanops* has intermediate characters between tribes Shoreae and Dipterocarpeae. Wood anatomy, palynology and characters of fruit-embryo-seedling locate the genus *Dryobalanops* at an intermediary position between Shoreae-Imbricate and Dipterocarpeae-Valvate groups (MAURY-LECHON and CURTET, 1998). For example, its calyx in ripe fruit is subvalvate, and is thus close to the Valvate group, which has x=11 as its basic chromosome number; the basic chromosome number of *Dryobalanops* is x=7 as in the Imbricate group. The position of *Dryobalanops* will be discussed in detail below (see 4.1.5. Position of *Dryobalanops*).

The phylogenetic tree showed the closely related genera *Hopea*, *Shorea* and *Parashorea* grouped in one clade. *Shorea* and *Hopea* differ only in a single character, the number of aliform fruit sepals: namely three outer fruit calyx lobes are longer than two inner lobes in *Shorea*, whereas in *Hopea* two outer fruit calyx lobes are longer than three inner lobes or all five fruit calyx lobes are short and subequal (ASHTON, 1982). The occurrence of shoreic acid and oxygenated sesquiterpenes has also been considered to be specific to genus *Shorea* (BISSET et al., 1971). *Parashorea* shows close relationships to *Shorea*. The position of *Parashorea* will be discussed in detail below (see 4.1.6. Position of *Parashorea*).

Out of 58 species observed in this study, 16 species are endemic to certain islands, namely Kalimantan (13 species), Sumatra (one species), and the Maluku archipelago (two species). Four endemic species could be identified based on diagnostic molecular genetic markers, namely *Anisoptera reticulata, Shorea fallax*, and *Upuna borneensis* (endemic to Kalimantan) and *Hopea bancana* (endemic to Sumatra) as described in Table 12. As the distributions of these species are confined to certain regions on the island, the molecular diagnostic characters can be treated as a basis to develop a suitable method for haplotype mapping of dipterocarps and supporting the certification of timber production by assessment of the origin of wood.

 Table 12. Diagnostic characters for species endemic to Indonesia (including insular Malaysia and Brunei Darussalam in Kalimantan Island)



Note: The sampling location of the present study (see the pointer) is not covered by ASHTON (1982) and NEWMANN *et al.* (1996a, 1998a)

(Continued)

 Table 12. Diagnostic characters for species endemic to Indonesia (including insular Malaysia and Brunei Darussalam in Kalimantan Island)

Natural distribution of each species (after ASHTON, 1982; NEWMANN et al., 1996a, 1998a)	Diagnostic character (the i th character of the character list of Appendix 1)
Hopea bancana	137
5°N	
5' S	

Bangka, Central and West Sumatra, Musala







(Continued)

52

 Table 12. Diagnostic characters for species endemic to Indonesia (including insular Malaysia and Brunei Darussalam in Kalimantan Island)

Natural distribution of each species (after ASHTON, 1982; NEWMANN et al., 1996a, 1998a)	Diagnostic character (the i th character of the character list of Appendix 1)	
Upuna borneensis	20, 36, 37, 65	
S'N		
• • • •		
0 110°E 115°E 120°E		

South and West Kalimantan, East Kutai, Sarawak, Brunei Darussalam, Southwest Sabah

4.1.3. Character evolution

Subfamily Dipterocarpoideae has some vegetative and generative characters which are differentiated from other subfamilies (see Table 13). The species have resin canals which are abundant in many tissues, multiseriate fibers, tricolpate pollen, two to three celled ovaries, five-numerous stamens, and connate sepals. Most of the Asian dipterocarp species occur as trees in primary tropical rain forest.

The three subfamilies of Dipterocarpaceae are widely distributed, namely in Asia, Africa, and South America. As they belong to the same family, however, they should have a shared common ancestor. Therefore, the origin of dipterocarps should have existed in the past when the continents were still interconnected. More data about the historical biogeography and palaeobotany of dipterocarps are required in order to understand the evolution of their characters and reconstruct the phylogenetic relationships of dipterocarps in detail.

Character	Dipterocarpoideae	Monotoideae	Pakaraimoideae
Basic chromosome number	x=11 or x=7	x=7	n.a.
Sepals	imbricate or valvate, connate	imbricate, not connate	Imbricate, not connate
Petals	variously pubescent	variously pubescent	Glabrous
Stamens	five – numerous	numerous	Numerous
Pollen	tricolpate	tricolporate	tricolporate
Ovary	(two) three-celled	three (four)-celled	four (five)-celled
Wood anatomy:			
Resin canals	present	not present	not present
• Fibres	Multiseriate	uniseriate (sometimes biseriate)	biseriate (sometimes uniseriate)
Architecture model	Roux, Rauh, or Massart	Troll	n.a.
Ecology	trees of primary rain forest and savana woodland	trees of savanna and savana woodland	trees of savanna and savana woodland
Geography	tropical Asia, Malesia	tropical Africa and Madagascar	tropical America

Table 13. C	Comparison	of characters	of Dipterocarpaceae
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------------

n.a.: the data is not available

Source: ASHTON (1982); HALLÉ (1979); HALLÉ and NG (1981);LONDOÑO, *et al.* (1995); MAGUIRE and ASHTON (1977); MAURY-LECHON and CURTET (1998); VERDCOURT (1989); VILLIERS (1991).

The centre of Asian dipterocarp species diversity is located in Kalimantan, Sumatra and Peninsular Malaysia. This region belongs to Sundaland which is an extension of the Asian continent. In past ice ages, when glacial ice caps restrained water from the sea, reducing the sea level, the Sunda shelf interconnected all of the islands in this region, allowing organisms on the shelf to migrate among the modern islands of Kalimantan, Sumatra, and Java (VORIS, 2000). The facts agreed with an alternative hypothesis that the centre of dipterocarps' origin is

Borneo (MEHER-HOMJI, 1979). AWASTHI (1996) proposed that Dipterocarpaceae originated in Western Malaysia, the Malesian region west of Wallace's line, probably during the late Cretaceous - early Tertiary period.

Wallace's line is an imaginary line postulated by A. R. Wallace as the dividing line between Asian and Australian flora and fauna in the Indonesian archipelago. It passes the Lombok Strait (between Bali and Lombok Islands) and the Makassar Strait (between Kalimantan and Sulawesi), and then continues southward of the Philippines (CAMERINI, 1993). Out of ten dipterocarp genera distributed in the Malesian region, five genera, i.e. *Upuna, Cotylelobium, Neobalanocarpus, Dryobalanops* and *Parashorea,* are confined to west of Wallace's line. Only 31 dipterocarp species of five genera (*Anisoptera, Vatica, Dipterocarpus, Hopea* and *Shorea*) are also distributed east of Wallace's line. The 267 dipterocarp species of Kalimantan contrast with only seven species in Sulawesi (ASHTON, 1982), which is less then 100 km east of Kalimantan at the same equatorial latitude. The present distribution of dipterocarps in Kalimantan has no correlation with the vulnerability of some species to drought (BECKER *et al.*, 1998).

Geological evidence showed that angiosperms did not originate in the Southeast Asia region, but dispersed into the area from other regions (MORLEY, 2000). By the Mesozoic, a region composed of fragments derived from Gondwana formed a Sundaland core, and by the beginning of the Cenozoic era Southeast Asia was a composite mosaic of continental crust, island arc material and oceanic crust (PIELOU, 1979). Many African plant species dispersed into India and many of their descendants subsequently dispersed into Southeast Asia following the collision of the Indian plate with Asia in the middle Eocene (MORLEY, 1998).

Fossil records are valuable resources to trace the origin and evolution of characters of Dipterocarpaceae. But the Dipterocarpaceae fossils from the Cretaceous period seem to be incomplete (BANCROFT, 1933). Dipterocarp fossil records from the Miocene and Plio–Pleistocene epoch found in present-day Ethiopia and Somalia, respectively, are of the monotoid type and not dipterocarpoid, although the fossil's name is *Dipterocarpoxylon* (MAURY-LECHON and CURTET, 1998). Monotoids are presently living in this region, whereas dipterocarpoids are confined to Asia and the Seychelles. The numerous fossils found in the Asian region showed the dipterocarp species richness in the same epoch, namely Miocene and Plio–Pleistocene (AWASTHI, 1971; GOSH and GOSH, 1959; PRAKASH and AWASTHI, 1970). These above facts support the hypothesis that Gondwana is the region of the origin of

dipterocarps and that migration of this family occured through India to the Malesian region (DAYANANDAN *et al.*, 1999).

The Gondwanaland origin hypothesis suggested that the specific characters of *Monotes kerstingii* are plesiomorph. In the present study, *Monotes kerstingii* is observed as an outgroup in basal position (Figure 3). Based on this phylogenetic tree, the morphological character changes in dipterocarps can be assumed as follows. Since *Monotes kerstingii* possesses several characters postulated as plesiomorph (namely: a base chromosome number of x=7, imbricate sepals, tricolporate pollen, uniseriate wood fibers, no resin canals and Troll's architecture model), the common derived characters (synapomorphies) can be interpreted for subfamily Dipterocarpoideae (namely tricoplate pollen, multiseriate wood fibers, presence of resin canals and architecture model of Roux, Massart and Rauh). Tribe Dipterocarpeae has the common derived character of a base chromosome number of x=11.

Sarcolaenaceae is a family endemic to Madagascar (CRONQUIST, 1981) and has been claimed as a family which has close affinities with Asian and African dipterocarps (ALVERSON *et al.*, 1998, DUCOUSSO *et al.*, 2004). As in other African regions, in Madagascar monotoid species are present but there are no Asian dipterocarps. Based on histological and molecular methods the last common ancestor of Dipterocarpaceae and Sarcolaenaceae has been proposed to have existed about 88 million years ago, before the separation of India and Madagascar (DUCOUSSO *et al.*, 2004). These facts, therefore, support the Gondwanaland origin hypothesis. As a consequence of this hypothesis, the shared characters of Sarcolaenaceae and Dipterocarpaceae can be proposed to be primitive, namely the absence of resin canals and valvate sepals. This evidence also supports the claim that Monotoideae possesses more plesiomorphic characters than Dipterocarpoideae.

4.1.4. Choice of outgroup

An outgroup is needed in phylogenetic analysis in order to understand the evolution of the ingroup. As the direction of ingroup evolution depends on the outgroup, the choice of the correct outgroup is an important decision. Accuracy of root placement by means of outgroup comparison depends on the plesiomorphy content of the outgroup, especially under the criterion of maximum parsimony (WHEELER, 1990). Comparison of character states in the group under study (ingroup) with homologous character states in a closely related group (outgroup) is important for knowing the descent of the characters. Evidence shows that the

application of distinct outgroups in the phylogenetic analysis can reveal different phylogenetic relationships of Dipterocarpoideae members.

The analysis rooted on *Monotes kerstingii* revealed the consequences for some morphological characters postulated as plesiomorph and the character changes of the results as described above (4.1.3. Character Evolution). Additionally, the pairwise distance matrix revealed the highest character distances of *Monotes kerstingii* to Dipterocarpoideae (see Appendix 5). The greatest total number of 20 diagnostic characters was found for this species. These diagnostic characters can be used to identify *Monotes kerstingii*. They are considered as plesiomorph in comparison with other species.

Alternatively, *Upuna borneensis* has been used as an outgroup. *Upuna borneensis* is considered primitive in several characters in comparison to other genera of tribe Dipterocarpoideae, since it shares a putative aril with *Stemonoporus*, dehiscent pericarp and cymose inflorescence with some *Vatica*, androecium with *Anisoptera*, and gynoecium with *Cotylelobium* (ASHTON, 1982). Acting on this assumption, TSUMURA *et al.* (1986) used this species as the outgroup in the phylogenetic analysis of Asian dipterocarps. In the present study, the strict consensus tree (Figure 3) showed a similar topology as in TSUMURA *et al.* (1986). Tribe Shoreae is monophyletic with *Dryobalanops* basal to other members of this tribe. Members of tribe Dipterocarpeae do not group in one clade. According to this tree, a base chromosome number of x=7 can be interpreted as a synapomorphy for tribe Shoreae.

Other non-Asian dipterocarps have been included in previous phylogenetic analyses, i.e. *Pseudomonotes tropenbosii*, a monotoid type occurring in Africa, and *Pakaraimaea dipterocarpea*, from the monotypic subfamily Pakaraimoideae, which is restricted to the Guyana highlands, northern South America (DAYANANDAN *et al.*, 1999; MORTON *et al.*, 1999). The placement of *Pseudomonotes* in subfamily Monotoideae is supported. This species grouped with *Monotes* and as basal for Asian dipterocarps. The phylogenetic study of the order Malvales showed close relationships between Dipterocarpaceae and this order (Alverson *et al.*, 1998), with Sarcolaenaceae basal to dipterocarps. In conclusion, since *Monotes kerstingii* has been suggested to represent more plesiomorphic characters than *Upuna borneensis*, the phylogenetic analysis with *Monotes kerstingii* as outgroup is more likely to reflect the phylogeny of subfamily Dipterocarpoideae.
4.1.5. Position of Dryobalanops

In the phylogenetic analysis, outgroup exchange has strong impacts on the position of *Dryobalanops*. If *Monotes kerstingii* is used as the outgroup, *Dryobalanops* groups together with tribe Dipterocarpeae and is supported with a bootstrap value of 71% (see Figure 3). *Dryobalanops* has several morphological characters which correspond to Dipterocarpeae (see Table 14), such as solitary vessels, scattered resin canals, and wood fibres with bordered pits. The alternative analysis using *Upuna borneensis* as the outgroup (Figure 4) reveals that tribe Shoreae is monophyletic with *Dryobalanops* basal to other members of this tribe. Members of tribe Dipterocarpeae do not group in one clade. *Dryobalanops* shares the basic chromosome number x=7 with tribe Shoreae.

Table	14.	Comparison	of	characters	among	tribe	Shoreae,	tribe	Dipterocarpeae	and	genus
Dryobalanops											

Cha	aracter	Tribe Shoreae	Genus Dryobalanops	Tribe Dipterocarpeae		
Basic chromosome number		x=7	x=7	x=11		
Sepal aestivation		imbricate	sub valvate	valvate		
Wo	od anatomy:					
•	Vessels	grouped	solitary	solitary (<i>Vatica</i> most spp.= grouped)		
•	Resin canals	in tangential bands	scattered	scattered		
•	Fibres	without bordered pit	with bordered pit	with bordered pit		

Source: ASHTON (1982); GOTTWALD and PARAMESWARAN (1966)

The morphological character of sepal aestivation is crucial for an understanding of changes in characters. Tribe Shoreae has imbricate sepals while tribe Dipterocarpeae has valvate sepals. *Dryobalanops* has the intermediate form of sub-valvate sepals. *Dryobalanops* is also located in the intermediary position between the Valvate-Dipterocarpi group (*Vateria, Vateriopsis, Stemonoporus, Vatica, Cotylelobium, Upuna, Anisoptera*, and *Dipterocarpus*) and Imbricate-Shoreae group (*Shorea, Parashorea, Hopea*, and *Neobalanocarpus*) based on overall morphological characters (MAURY-LECHON and CURTET, 1998). The neighbour-joining tree revealed three major clades (see Figure 5) and supports this view. *Dryobalanops* is located as

an independent clade rooting to basal (bootstrap value=100%) with two sister clades of tribes Dipterocarpeae (bootstrap value=93%) and Shoreae (bootstrap value=99%). This genus appears to build up the connecting bridge between tribe Dipterocarpeae and Shoreae.

In the present study, two *Dryobalanops* species are included, namely *Dryobalanops aromatica* and *Dryobalanops lanceolata*. They are closely related and are grouped together in one clade (bootstrap value=99%). *Dryobalanops lanceolata* has a distinct character, i.e. lanceolate leaf which revolutes at the base, while in *Dryobalanops aromatica* the leaf is broadly ovate and its margin does not revolute at the base (ASHTON, 1982). The observation of resin in genus *Dryobalanops* showed that *Dryobalanops aromatica* is chemically distinct from *Dryobalanops oblongifolia* (BISSET *et al.*, 1967). In comparison to other species, *Dryobalanops aromatica* has one diagnostic character (see Table 8 and Appendix 6), namely the specific product of primer-enzyme combination of *trnL-F* and *Hinf I*.

4.1.6. Position of Parashorea

Classification up to the genus level of Dipterocarpoideae is well supported, except for genus *Parashorea*, which is located together with *Shorea* in the same great clade with bootstrap value=63% (see Figure 3). Both the maximum parsimony and neighbour-joining methods showed that *Parashorea lucida* is basal to *Parashorea globosa*. Previous phylogenetic studies also found that *Parashorea* is not placed separately from the *Shorea* clade (GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003; KAMIYA *et al.*, 1998; KAJITA *et al.*, 1998; TSUMURA *et al.*, 1996).

In order to distinguish *Parashorea* from *Shorea*, several morphological characters of *Parashorea* are considered to be diagnostic, such as larger lenticels of bark surface, running leaf lateral nerves at a more acute angle to the midrib, glaucous leaf undersurface, smaller stipules, plicate seedling leaves, equal sepals, and globose or verrucose with lenticellate fruit nut (ASHTON, 1982). According to SYMINGTON (1943), however, *Parashorea* has close botanical affinities to *Shorea* and it might be argued that *Parashorea* has a claim to be included in this genus. Using the neighbour-joining method, the low distance between *Parashorea* and *Shorea* species has been demonstrated using 43 and 32 *Shorea* species, respectively (KAMIYA *et al.*, 1998; GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003). The results showed that *Parashorea* lucida did not separate from the *Shorea* clade.

LI *et al.* (2004) included *Parashorea chinensis* in the earlier phylogenetic analysis conducted by KAJITA *et al.* (1998) in order to know the generic relationships of this species to Malesian

dipterocarps. This species is confined to Vietnam and southern China (SMITINAND *et al.*, 1990) and formed the only tropical rain forest in Yunnan Province, China, as the edge of the dipterocarps' distribution toward the north (YUMMING and YUANCHANG, 1996). The topology of the phylogenetic tree was consistent with that of KAJITA *et al.* (1998). *Parashorea chinensis* grouped with *Parashorea lucida* (bootstrap value=80%) as a sister clade to *Shorea ovalis* and *Shorea macroptera* with 96% of bootstrap value (LI *et al.*, 2004), whereas another *Shorea* species, namely *Shorea bracteolata*, claded together with *Hopea* and *Neobalanocarpus*. However, the conclusion about the close generic relationship within *Parashorea* is still debatable, since the analysis included only three *Shorea* species. In addition, an observation on morphological characters of *Parashorea clau* (ZHU and WANG, 1992).

The present study analysed 36 *Shorea* species. Strict consensus parsimony trees (Figure 3) showed that *Shorea macroptera* and *Shorea ovalis* are in a distinct clade with other *Shorea* species (bootstrap value=69%), while the neighbour-joining method (Figure 5) revealed the close relationships of *Shorea macroptera* and *Shorea ovalis*, which are in one clade with *Shorea javanica*, although with low bootstrap support (57%). However, *Parashorea* species did not clade with these species.

4.1.7. Infrageneric systematics of Vatica

The subdivision of genus *Vatica* has been changed by several authors. Since fruits of Vatica are very diverse in form, some species or groups of Vatica have been categorized as independent genera or sections, mainly as *Sunaptea, Euvatica, Isauxis, Retinodendron,* and *Pachynocarpus* (MAURY-LECHON and CURTET, 1998). However, the flower, leaf and wood structures of *Vatica* are similar and the generic subdivision is unjustifiable (SYMINGTON, 1943). Later, ASHTON (1982) reduced the infrageneric classification to two sections, mainly based on fruiting calyx lobes, namely section *Vatica* (fruiting calyx lobes equal; included sections *Isauxis, Retinodendron*, and *Pachynocarpus*) and section *Sunaptea* (fruiting calyx lobes unequal with two lobes longer than the other three, included section *Euvatica*).

The infrageneric systematics of *Vatica* suggest that they are supported by the grouping of section *Vatica* (except *Vatica bella*) in one clade (bootstrap value=94%) as shown in Figures 3 and 12. *Vatica bantamensis* is represented by section *Sunaptea*. Although this species is endemic in Banten province, West Java, it has close resemblance in molecular structure to the

rest of *Vatica* with only three to five character changes as shown in Appendix 5. The close interrelationships of Malesian *Vatica* are also indicated by other studies which sections *Vatica* and *Sunaptea* in one clade and Sri Lankan *Vatica* in basal position (KAMIYA *et al.*, 1998; GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003).

Only one dipterocarp species, namely *Vatica rassak*, is naturally distributed both in Kalimantan and east of Wallace's line, i.e. Sulawesi and the Maluku archipelago (ASHTON, 1982), although in the early Tertiary period Sulawesi was isolated from Kalimantan by the Makassar strait resulting in strong biogeographic differences between Kalimantan and Sulawesi (MOSS and WILSON, 1998). As this species is abundant in river-bank areas, most likely the seeds were dispersed by water. In the present research, *Vatica rassak* samples originated from Maluku. However, they have identical characters *Vatica venulosa* and *Vatica pauciflora* (see Appendices 1, 4 and 5), which originate in Sumatra (Sundaland).

4.1.8. Infrageneric systematics of Hopea

The main diagnostic character for the subdivision of *Hopea* in Malesia is the type of leaf venation, namely section *Dryobalanoides* with truly dryobalanoid type and section *Hopea* with scalariform or subdryobalanoid type (ASHTON, 1982). The strict consensus tree showed that section *Hopea* is basal to section *Dryobalanoides* (see Figures 3 and 12), although with low bootstrap support. However, the neighbour-joining tree revealed that section *Dryobalanoides* (bootstrap value=88%) is monophyletic and rooted to section *Hopea* as shown in Figure 5. Other molecular phylogenetic studies of Dipterocarpoideae also suggest that section *Hopea* diverged earlier than section *Dryobalanoides* (GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003; KAMIYA *et al.*, 1998). However, the infrasection classification is not clearly supported.

Hopea celebica occurs east of Wallace's line and is endemic to Sulawesi Island. However, this species has a high degree of similarity, i.e. only one different characteric (see Appendix 5) to *Hopea bancana*, an endemic species to Sumatra. *Hopea bancana* has one diagnostic characteric as shown in Table 12 and Appendix 6, namely the specific amplification product of 109 bps at locus *ccmp10*, whereas the other species have fragment lengths of 92-108 bps.



Figure 12. Section and subsection division of Dipterocarpaceae (after Ashton, 1982) mounted in the phylogenetic trees (maximum parsimony method with *Monotes kerstingii* as outgroup).

The chromosome number of some *Hopea odorata* trees is known as triploid / 2n=3x=21 (ASHTON, 1982; JONG and KAUR, 1979; KAUR *et al.*, 1978; SOMEGO, 1978). However, this species is not placed separately from other, diploid *Hopea* species, possibly since the basic chromosome number is identical to other members of tribe Shoreae (x=7).

4.1.9. Infrageneric systematics of Shorea

The comparison of previous infrageneric classifications of *Shorea* showed various authors' opinions and revealed variations in groupings which have been reviewed by MAURY-LECHON and CURTET (1998). SYMINGTON (1943) used vernacular names to classify infrageneric relationships of *Shorea*. Furthermore, he separated *Pentacme* as a different genus from *Shorea*. Genus *Shorea* has been classified into four infrageneric groups, i.e. Balau (Selangan Batu), White Meranti (Meranti Pa'ang), Yellow Meranti (Meranti Damar Hitam) and Red Meranti groups. The main diagnostic characters are based on sapwood, bark, resin, flower, and timber properties. Moreover, field and floral characters are used for defining groups according to SYMINGTON (1943). ASHTON (1982) classified the genus *Shorea* into ten sections based on reproductive organs as the main diagnostic characters.

Infrageneric relationships of genus *Shorea* have been observed using cpDNA sequences on 44 (GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003) and 32 (KAMIYA *et al.*, 1998) species. The grouping was compared with the subgenus classification of SYMINGTON (1943) and revealed that the clades of Balau, Yellow Meranti and White Meranti are monophyletic, while the Red Meranti group is polyphyletic. Some *Shorea* species formed independent groups and one endemic to Sri Lanka, section *Doona* sensu ASHTON (1972), did not conform to the grouping and clustered separately as a monophyletic clade, whereas other *Shorea* species endemic to Sri Lanka were grouped with Malesian species (DAYANANDAN *et al.*, 1999; GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003).

Previous analysis using the PCR-RFLP method showed unclear relationships among subsections of *Shorea* (TSUMURA *et al.*, 1996). In the present study, genus *Shorea* is mainly compound of polyphyletic clades. Grouping within this genus did not closely follow the botanical classification at the section and subsection levels. Out of seven sections of *Shorea* sensu ASHTON (1982) included in this study, there is no clear grouping of sections in a single clade. However, several *Shorea* species from the same section are placed in the same clades (see Figure 12), namely:

- Shorea macrophylla, Shorea pinanga, Shorea splendida, Shorea stenoptera (Section *Pachycarpae*), with diagnostic characters revealed by PCR-RFLP of primer *trnL-F* and enzyme *Hinf* I (see Figure 7, Table 8, and Appendix 6).
- Shorea multiflora, Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea faguetiana (Section Richetioides);
- Shorea balangeran, Shorea selanica (Section Brachypterae).

The previous phylogenetic studies also revealed that genus *Shorea* is not monophyletic and has close affinities to *Hopea*, *Neobalanocarpus* and *Parashorea*. However, grouping pattern of the *Shorea* sections to these genera are not clear. Some *Shorea* species of section *Mutica* grouped with *Parashorea*, whereas the other members of the same section are closely related to *Neobalanocarpus* and *Hopea* (DAYANANDAN *et al.*, 1999; GAMAGE *et al.*, 2003; KAJITA *et al.*, 1998; TSUMURA *et al.*, 1996). As a large genus, *Shorea* has received much attention from systematists. The infrageneric classification of *Shorea* has been problematic, since there are inconsistencies of character grouping in some subgenera (BATE-SMITH and WHITMORE, 1959; PARAMESWARAN and GOTTWALD, 1979).

Shorea ovalis occurs as tetraploid (2n=4x=28; ASHTON, 1982; JONG and KAUR, 1979; KAUR *et al.*, 1978; SOMEGO, 1978). In the present study *Shorea ovalis* is not placed separately from other diploid *Shorea* species. The changes of chromosome number in tribe Shoreae, namely diploid to tetraploid (in *Shorea ovalis*) and diploid to triploid (in some *Hopea odorata*) are not clearly reflected in the phylogenetic trees. The close morphological similarity of taxa associated with great differences in the basic karyotype suggests that cytological differences of the species have been by recent fragmentation or translocations (JACKSON, 1971).

4.2. Population genetic study

4.2.1. Geographical distribution of haplotype

In order to reconstruct the geographical origin of species with genetic markers, the analysis of the geographical structure of haplotypes is useful. In the present study, the geographical distribution of haplotype has been observed for four *Shorea* species, i.e. *Shorea leprosula*, *Shorea parvifolia*, *Shorea ovalis*, and *Shorea johorensis*. Based on previous phylogenetic analyses on Dipterocarpaceae, four cpDNA genes (*rbcL*, *petB*, *psaA* and *psbA*) and two cpSSR loci (*ccmp6* and *ccmp10*) are polymorphic in genus *Shorea*. Further analysis in four populations of these *Shorea* species revealed no shared haplotype among the species.

Shorea leprosula and *Shorea ovalis* populations showed no variation and have identical haplotypes as compared to the same species found in the phylogenetic analysis, namely haplotypes 30 and 34, respectively (see Appendix 4). The four populations of *Shorea parvifolia* showed variation with three different haplotypes, i.e. 36 (as the common haplotype), 36b, and 36c. The geographical structure of haplotypes is not clear. Three haplotypes occurred in Kalimantan, whereas only two haplotypes occurred in Jambi, Sumatra. Observation on *Shorea parvifolia* revealed the low variation (4%) in *rbcL* gene digested with *Alu* I, and in loci *ccmp6* and *ccmp10*. Likewise, low variation (3%) in 3 populations of *Shorea johorensis* have been found in the *rbcL* gene digested with *Msp* I, the *petB* gene digested with *Rsa* I and at the locus *ccmp6*. Only 2 haplotypes, i.e. 29 (as the common haplotype) and 29b, have been found in the east Kalimantan population, whereas only one haplotype has been found in west and central Kalimantan populations.

These species have a wide distribution; *Shorea johorensis* and *Shorea ovalis* occur in Kalimantan, Sumatra, and Peninsular Malaysia, whereas *Shorea leprosula* and *Shorea parvifolia* occurred in the same region but also in Thailand. It has been hypothesized that a number of widespread genera and species of Dipterocarpaceae may have originated during the late Cretaceous - early Tertiary period in these regions (AWASTHI, 1996). In Kalimantan, dipterocarps have evolved in all parts of the island between sea level and about 2000 m altitude in all kinds of habitats, and it seems unlikely that speciation can be explained by adaptation to different niches (MEIJER, 1974). Theoretically, it might be assumed that the origin of a series of closely allied species was the result of only a few mutations causing changes directly in a relatively small number of characters, but leading indirectly, through the consequent changes in morphogenesis involving different plant organs, to changes in many other characters (FEDOROV, 1966). Observation of all natural distributions is needed in order to confirm the evolutionary steps of these species, including the chloroplast DNA evolution.

The geographical structure of haplotypes is not always reflected by the natural distribution of certain species. The phylogeographic structure of species observed in white oaks / *Quercus* spp. (DUMOLINE-LAPÈGUE *et al.*, 1997a; PETIT *et al.*, 2002a, 2002b) and *Fagus sylvatica* (DEMESURE *et al.*, 1996) at the regional scale in Europe, showed the interrelationships between haplotype distribution and the origin of the samples. The molecular method for testing the geographic origin of such species has also been developed (DEGUILLOUX *et al.*, 2003, 2004). On the contrary, observation on *Prunus spinosa* populations revealed

incongruency between the phylogeny of haplotypes and their geographic locations on the European continent (MOHANTY *et al.*, 2002). The colonization history of some tropical species is also indicated by the distribution of haplotypes. *Cedrela odorata*, a tropical tree occurred in Mesoamerica, has five haplotypes and phylogenetically grouped into three distinct lineages (CAVERS *et al.*, 2003), whereas observation of *Cyclobalanopsis glauca* populations in Taiwan and East Asia revealed 13 haplotypes, and it can be concluded that the derived cpDNA variations are confined only to Taiwan (HUANG *et al.*, 2002).

4.2.2. Infraspecific variation

In the phylogenetic study, one to six samples of each *Shorea* species have been observed and no haplotype variation within species has been found. The chloroplast DNA showed low infraspecific variation and only moderate differentiation in two *Shorea* species, i.e. *Shorea parvifolia* (G_{ST} =0.15) and *Shorea johorensis* (G_{ST} =0.25). The conservative evolution of cpDNA is a factor which tends to limit the amount of useful sequence variation that can be found infraspecifically, or among very closely related species (BIRKY, 1995)

In comparison to the examination of chloroplast DNA, the genetic diversity observation on *Shorea* species showed higher variation using isozyme marker (in multiple populations) with He=0.41 in *Shorea leprosula* (LEE *et al.*, 2000b) and nuclear microsatellite markers (in a single population) with He=0.69-0.71 in *Shorea leprosula* (NAGAMITSU, *et al.*, 2001; NG *et al.*, 2004; RIMBAWANTO and ISODA, 2001), He=0.62-0.67 in *Shorea ovalis* (NG *et al.*, 2004) and He=0.33-0.85 in *Shorea parvifolia* (TAKEUCHI *et al.*, 2004).

The spatial genetic structures analysis at allozyme and nuclear microsatellite loci for *Shorea leprosula* and *Shorea ovalis* showed significant spatial genetic structure for short distances in small, medium and big diameter at breast height (dbh)-classes (NG *et al.*, 2004). The decrease of spatial genetic structure was detected from smaller- to larger-diameter classes. Furthermore, isozyme analysis on *Shorea leprosula* revealed that populations in Peninsular Malaysia have low genetic distances (0.14-0.17) to a population in Lambir, Sarawak, but the clustering among populations within Peninsular Malaysia did not reflect geographical proximity and gave few insights into the genetic relatedness of the populations (LEE *et al.*, 2000b).

4.3.Perspective

The present phylogenetic study based on observations of chloroplast DNA resulted in good agreement with the taxonomic division of nine dipterocarp genera in Indonesia. The results might create the opportunity to establish the classification of Dipterocarpoideae also within genera. Therefore, the taxonomic division based on morphological characters should be reviewed together with molecular markers.

Molecular data can make valuable contributions the understanding of the phylogenetic relationships based on present dipterocarp samples in addition to information from fossil records. Fossil records have an important role in tracing the evolutionary steps and past plant distributions which cannot be found using only contemporary taxa. Therefore, efforts to achieve a better understanding of relationships among present taxa would be better served by integrating dipterocarp fossil taxa into comprehensive phylogenetic analyses of Dipterocarpaceae. Ancient DNA can give direct information about the pace and pattern of genetic changes. Since undoubted dipterocarp fossils have been collected from a wide area, i.e. African and Asian regions, the inclusion of fossil materials in the genetic analysis is possible. However, a challenging problem would likely concern the molecular method, namely how to develop a suitable method to analyse an adequate the amount of DNA from dipterocarp fossil materials. Even in the present study the DNA extraction method from dry leaf mature tissues for some samples was problematic in the initial phase and had to be adjusted, since the DNA had probably partially degenerated. In order to solve this problem, molecular methods to recover ancient chloroplast DNA of fossil plants from Holocene and Pleistocene sediments have been improved (SUYAMA et al., 1996; WILLERSLEV et al., 2003). More attention must be paid to fossils and morphological features, with future efforts directed at the integration of fossils into phylogenetic analyses based on both morphological and molecular data.

Variation within species in the phylogenetic study has not been found, whereas the population genetic study of four *Shorea* species (*Shorea leprosula*, *Shorea parvifolia*, *Shorea ovalis* and *Shorea johorensis*) revealed only low haplotype variations. The absence of variation within species makes cpDNA markers useful for phylogenetic analysis. On the other hand, the lack of or low haplotype variation among populations rules out the use of the developed molecular marker as a tool to prove the geographical origin of individual trees. However, the diagnostic characters found in the present study can be used to develop a taxa identification method at

the species level. Furthermore, in this study four endemic species, namely *Anisoptera reticulata, Shorea fallax*, and *Upuna borneensis* (endemic to Kalimantan) and *Hopea bancana* (endemic to Sumatra) can be distinguished using diagnostic molecular genetic markers. The relationship between the specificity of the natural distribution and diagnostic characters of these species can be used to develop a suitable method for haplotype mapping of dipterocarps and a marker system that allows identification of the origin of wood or timber products from the various species. The identification of wood or timber products is important to certify that the timber has been legally harvested only from sustainably managed forest areas.

Methods for timber identification and tracking from the logging area through the chain of custody until their conversion into products have been used in the forest products industry for many decades. Conventional labels with barcodes are the leading method for labelling processed wood products but conventional paint, chisel labels, and hammer brands remain more common for labelling logs (DYKSTRA *et al.*, 2003). The use of molecular markers as an alternative identification label is able to solve falsification problems and serve forensic purposes since the identification is based on wood tissues. Therefore, the development of the molecular genetic method for wood and timber products of dipterocarps is indispensable.

SUMMARY

The tropical tree family Dipterocarpaceae is the most important family of forest trees in natural and close-to-nature forests in Southeast Asia. Dipterocarps form dominant elements within the rain forest tree flora in this region. More than 300 dipterocarp species are native to Indonesia. Kalimantan is the diversity centre of the family with more than 260 species, including 155 endemics found only on this island. Chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) variation of dipterocarp species from Indonesia has been studied by means of PCR-RFLP and cpSSR techniques in order to infer a molecular phylogeny of Asian Dipterocarpaceae (subfamily Dipterocarpoideae) and to characterize the haplotypic diversity of four *Shorea* species populations in Kalimantan and Sumatra.

The samples for the phylogenetic study consisted of 129 trees belonging to 58 species in all nine genera of the Dipterocarpaceae native to Indonesia, i.e. *Anisoptera, Cotylelobium, Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops, Hopea, Shorea. Parashorea, Vatica* and *Upuna.* Samples were collected from one to seven single trees for each species in natural forests, arboreta and botanical gardens. Preliminary species identification was based on leaf morphological characters. *Monotes kerstingii* (Dipterocarpaceae; Monotoideae) from Benin (Africa) was used as an outgroup. Four genes (*rbcL, petB, psaA* and *psbA*) and one intergenic spacer region (*trnL-F*) were amplified to analyse the genetic variation of dipterocarps. The amplified regions were digested by seven restriction enzymes, i.e. *Alu* I, *Cfo* I, *Hae* III, *Hinf* I, *Msp* I, *Rsa* I, and *Taq* I. Variation was observed as changes in the number and / or size of fragments (PCR-RFLP technique). Variation was detected for all fragments after digestion with particular restriction enzymes, but the number of enzymes revealing variation varied from one (*psbA*) to seven (i.e. all; *petB* and *rbcL*). Out of ten chloroplast microsatellite primers (cpSSRs) tested, three primers, i.e. *ccmp2, ccmp6*, and *ccmp10* were variable. Amplification of cpSSRs resulted in a new variation which was not detected by PCR-RFLP studies.

The cpDNA variation revealed a total of 116 site changes and 46 different haplotypes. In this part of the study, no variation was observed within species. The absence of variation within species makes the technique useful for phylogenetic analysis. A total of 71 diagnostic characters (CI=100%) were found based on PCR-RFLPs of cpDNA and amplification of cpSSRs. These characters can be robustly applied to identify unknown dipterocarp samples up

to the genus level (except *Shorea* and *Parashorea*) and revealed specific haplotypes for 19 species based on a single character only.

The phylogenetic analysis was conducted with two statistical methods, namely maximum parsimony method (MP) and neighbour-joining method (NJ), and using two different outgroups (*Monotes kerstingii* and *Upuna borneensis*). Heuristic searches yielded thousands of equally most parsimonious trees using both outgroups, but fewer trees were revealed when using *Monotes kerstingii* (194,570 trees) rather than *Upuna borneensis* (336,388 trees) as outgroup. Both outgroups revealed an equal Consistency Index (CI=0.60). However, the phylogenetic relationships of the genera were found to be different depending on the outgroup.

The MP and NJ trees using *Monotes kerstingii* as outgroup revealed that a clade of tribe Dipterocarpeae (Anisoptera, Upuna, Cotylelobium, Vatica, Dipterocarpus) is separated from most genera of tribe Shoreae (Hopea, Parashorea, Shorea) as a monophyletic clade with high support (bootstrap values, 83% and 93%, respectively). Within tribe Dipterocarpeae, genus Dipterocarpus is a sister group to the remaining genera of this tribe. Anisoptera and Vatica form well-supported clades (both MP and NJ trees with bootstrap=99%). The morphological character form of sepal aestivation appears to closely correspond to molecular character changes. Tribe Shoreae has imbricate sepals while tribe Dipterocarpeae has valvate sepals. Dryobalanops has an intermediate form (sub-valvate sepals). Dryobalanops is also located in the intermediary position between the Valvate-Dipterocarpi group (Vatica, Cotylelobium, Upuna, Anisoptera, and Dipterocarpus) and the Imbricate-Shoreae group (Shorea, Parashorea, and Hopea). This is most clearly shown by the NJ tree which revealed three major clades: Dryobalanops is located as an independent clade rooted to the basis (bootstrap value=100%) with two sister clades of tribes Dipterocarpeae (bootstrap value=93%) and Shoreae (bootstrap value=99%). This genus appears to build up the connecting bridge between tribe Dipterocarpeae and Shoreae. The remaining clade comprises the Imbricate-Shoreae group. Hopea is a sister group (bootstrap value MP=85% and NJ=96%) to Shorea and Parashorea (bootstrap value MP=63% and NJ=70%). Tribe Shoreae is polyphyletic since Dryobalanops groups together with Tribe Dipterocarpeae. The classification of Shorea sections and the distinction between Shorea and Parashorea is not supported by the trees. Well-supported clades often belong to different sections according to the most widely accepted classifications. For example, clade Shorea fallax, Shorea materialis and Shorea *virescens* is well-supported (bootstrap value MP=98% and NJ=99%), but the species were classified by Ashton in section *Brachypterae*, section *Shorea*, and section *Anthoshorea*, respectively. The analysis rooted on *Monotes kerstingii* suggests some morphological characters to be plesiomorph and morphological character changes in dipterocarps as follows. Important plesiomorph characters are a basic chromosome number of x=7, imbricate sepals, tricolporate pollen, uniseriate wood fibers, no resin canals and Troll's architecture model. Therefore, common derived characters (synapomorphies) can be seen in subfamily Dipterocarpoideae such as tricolpate pollen, multiseriate wood fibers, presence of resin canals and the architectural model of Roux, Massart and Rauh. Tribe Dipterocarpeae has the common derived character distances of *Monotes kerstingii* to Dipterocarpoideae. Twenty diagnostic molecular characters, much more than for any other species, were found for this species.

Alternatively, *Upuna boorneensis* was used as an outgroup following previous studies using comparable tools. *Upuna boorneensis* is considered primitive in comparison to other genera of tribe Dipterocarpoideae. The change of the outgroup results in a different topology of the phylogenetic tree. Tribe Shoreae is monophyletic with *Dryobalanops* basal to other members of this tribe. Members of tribe Dipterocarpeae do not group in one clade. The distinction between *Parashorea* and *Shorea* is also not supported. According to the MP tree, a basic chromosome number of x=7 can be interpreted as a synapomorphy for tribe Shoreae. In conclusion, since *Monotes kerstingii* has been suggested to represent more plesiomorphic characters than *Upuna borneensis*, the phylogenetic analysis with *Monotes kerstingii* as the outgroup is more likely to reflect the phylogeny of subfamily Dipterocarpoideae.

Out of 16 endemic species observed in this study, four endemic species were identified based on diagnostic molecular genetic markers, namely *Anisoptera reticulata, Shorea fallax, Upuna borneensis* (endemic to Kalimantan) and *Hopea bancana* (endemic to Sumatra). As the distributions of these species are confined to certain regions on the island, the molecular diagnostic characters can be treated as a basis to develop a suitable method for haplotype mapping of dipterocarps.

The objective of the population genetic study was to observe haplotypic diversity of four *Shorea* species (*Shorea leprosula*, *Shorea parvifolia*, *Shorea ovalis* and *Shorea johorensis*) within and among populations in Kalimantan and Sumatra using chloroplast DNA. Based on

previous analyses (see above), three primer-enzyme combinations were chosen for PCR-RFLP surveys, i.e. *rbcL* with *Alu* I and *Msp* I, and *petB* with *Rsa* I. In addition, two chloroplast primers, i.e. *ccmp6* and *ccmp10*, were investigated. *Shorea leprosula* and *Shorea ovalis* populations did not show any chloroplast DNA variation at the observed markers. However, low variation was observed in *Shorea parvifolia* (three haplotypes, G_{ST} =0.15) and in *Shorea johorensis* (two haplotypes, G_{ST} =0.25). The haplotype distribution did not reveal a straightforward differentiation between populations from Kalimantan or Sumatra.

This study represents the first molecular phylogeny of the Dipterocarpaceae entirely based on material from the family's diversity centre in Indonesia. The usefullness of cpDNA variation for phylogenetic studies is confirmed, and the conventional taxonomic classification of Indonesian dipterocarps is supported up to the genus level. However, there is in general no close correspondence between the observed molecular variation and the taxonomic distinction of sections and subsections within species-rich genera, in particular the genus *Shorea*. Only low levels of genetic diversity within species were observed in this initial survey.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Die tropische Baumfamilie der Dipterocarpaceen ist die wichtigste Familie von Waldbäumen in natürlichen oder naturnahen Wäldern in Südostasien. Dipterocarpaceen bilden dominante Elemente innerhalb der Regenwälder in dieser Region. Mehr als 300 Arten der Dipterocarpaceen sind in Indonesien natürlich verbreitet. Kalimantan ist das Zentrum mit der größten Diversität mit mehr als 260 Arten, 155 davon sind endemisch. Variation der Chloroplasten-DNA (cpDNA) der Dipterocarpaceen-Arten aus Indonesien wurde mittels PCR-RFLP- und cpSSR- Techniken untersucht, um die molekulare Phylogenie der asiatischen Dipterocarpaceen (Unterfamilie Dipterocarpoideae) herzuleiten, und um die haplotypische Diversität von vier *Shorea*-Arten Populationen in Kalimantan und Sumatra zu charakterisieren.

Die Proben für die phylogenetischen Studien bestanden aus Material von 129 Bäumen, die zu 58 Arten aus allen neun Gattungen der natürlich vorkommenden Dipterocarpaceen in Indonesien gehören, nämlich Anisoptera, Cotylelobium, Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops, Hopea, Shorea, Parashorea, Vatica und Upuna. Die Proben wurden von eins bis sieben Einzelbäumen von jeder Art in natürlichen Wäldern, Aboreten und botanischen Gärten gesammelt. Die vorläufige Artenidentifikation basierte auf den morphologischen Merkmalen der Blätter. Monotes kerstingii (Dipterocarpaceen; Monotoideae) aus Benin (Afrika) wurde als Außengruppe herangezogen. Vier Gene (rbcL, petB, psaA und psbA) und ein nichtkodierenden Bereich (trnL-F) wurden amplifiziert, um die genetische Variation von Dipterocarpaceen zu analysieren. Die vervielfältigten Regionen wurden mit sieben Restriktionsenzymen, Alu I, Cfo I, Hae III, Hinf I, Msp I, Rsa I, und Taq I, verdaut. Mit Hilfe der PCR-RFLP Technik wurde die genetische Variation als Veränderungen in der Anzahl und / oder der Größe der Fragmente untersucht. Die Variation aller Fragmente wurden nach Verdau mit den einzelnen Restriktionsenzymen detektiert, aber die Zahl der Enzyme, die Variation zeigten, variierte von einem Enzym für Gen *psbA* zu sieben Enzymen für Gen *petB* und *rbcL*. Von zehn getesteten Chloroplasten-Mikrosatelliten-Primern-Paaren (cpSSRs) waren drei Primer-Paare, ccmp2, ccmp6, und ccmp10, variabel. Die Amplifikation von cpSSRs ergab neue Variation, welche nicht bei PCR-RFLP-Studien entdeckt worden war.

Insgesamt wurden 116 Merkmale (Längen und Schnittstellenunterschiede) als Variationen der cpDNA und 46 verschiedene Haplotypen gefunden. In diesem Teil der Studie konnte keine

genetische Abweichung innerhalb der Arten entdeckt werden. Das Fehlen von Variation innerhalb der Arten macht diese Technik nützlich für phylogenetische Analysen. Insgesamt wurden 71 diagnostische Merkmale (CI=100%) basierend auf PCR-RFLPs von cpDNA und der Amplifikation von cpSSRs gefunden. Diese Marker können sicher angewendet werden, um unbekannte Dipterocarpaceen-Proben bis zum Gattungsniveau (außer *Shorea* und *Parashorea*) zu identifizieren und um spezifische Haplotypen für 19 Arten basierend auf einem einzigen Marker zu zeigen.

Die phylogenetische Analyse wurde mit zwei statistischen Methoden, nämlich "Maximum Parsimony Method" (MP) und "Neighbour-joining Method" (NJ) durchgeführt, wobei zwei verschiedene Außengruppen (*Monotes kerstingii* und *Upuna borneensis*) benutzt wurden. Heuristische Untersuchungen ergaben tausende von gleich langen Bäumen ("equally most parsimoniuous tree") bei Benutzung von beiden Außengruppen. Weniger Bäume aber wurden gefunden, wenn *Monotes kerstingii* (194.570 Bäume) im Vergleich zu *Upuna borneensis* (336.388 Bäume) gewählt wurde. Beide Außengruppen zeigten den gleichen Konsistenz Index (CI=0,60). Jedoch zeigte sich, dass die phylogenetischen Beziehungen der Genera je nach Außengruppe unterschiedlich waren.

Die MP und NJ Bäume bei Benutzung von Monotes kerstingii als Außengruppe zeigten, dass Tribus Dipterocarpeae (Anisoptera, Upuna, Cotylelobium, Vatica, Dipterocarpus) als monophyletische Gruppe von den meisten Gattungen von Tribus Shoreae (Hopea, Parashorea, Shorea) getrennt ist (Bootstrap-Wert, 83% und 93%). Innerhalb von Tribus Dipterocarpeae, Gattung Dipterocarpus ist eine Schwestergruppe zu den verbleibenden Gattungen dieser Tribus. Anisoptera und Vatica bilden eine gut unterstützte Gruppe (beide MP- und NJ-Bäume zeigen gleichen Bootstrap-Wert=99%). Das morphologische Merkmal der Kelchblattstruktur scheint eng mit den molekularen Merkmalenänderungen zu korrespondieren. Tribus Shoreae hat imbricate Kelchblätter, während die Tribe Dipterocarpeae valvate Kelchblätter besitzt. Dryobalanops hat eine Zwischenform (subvalvate Kelchblätter). Dryobalanops ist ebenso in einer intermediären Stellung zwischen der Valvate-Dipterocarpi-Gruppe (Vatica, Cotylelobium, Upuna, Anisoptera, und Dipterocarpus) und der Imbricate-Shoreae-Gruppe (Shorea, Parashorea, und Hopea) positioniert. Es zeigt sich sehr eindeutig, dass der NJ-Baum drei Hauptgruppen umfasst. Dryobalanops gruppiert werde mit Tribus Dipterocarpeae (Bootstrap-Wert=93%) noch mit Tribus Shoreae (Bootstrap-Wert=99%), sondern bildet eine unabhängige Gruppe (Bootstrap-Wert=100%). Diese Gattung nimmt eine intermediäre Position zum Tribus Dipterocarpeae und Shoreae ein. Die übrig bleibende Gruppe schließt die Imbricate-Shoreae-Gruppe ein. Hopea ist eine Schwester-Gruppe (Bootstrap-Werte MP=85% und NJ=96%) zu Shorea und Parashorea (Bootstrap-Werte MP=63% und NJ=70%). Tribus Shoreae ist polyphyletisch, denn Dryobalanops gruppiert zusammen mit Tribus Dipterocarpeae. Die Klassifikation der Shorea Sektionen und die Unterscheidung zwischen Shorea und Parashorea ist nicht unterstützt durch die phylogenetische Analyse. Die gut unterstützten Gruppen gehören oftmals zu verschiedenen Sektionen im Bezug auf die weit verbreitet akzeptierten Klassifikationen. Zum Beispiel, Shorea fallax, Shorea materialis und Shorea virescens gruppieren zusammen (Bootstrap-Wert MP=98% und NJ=99%), aber diese Arten sind von Ashton in die Sektion Brachypterae, Sektion Shorea, und Sektion Anthoshorea eingeordnet. Die Analyse bezogen auf Monotes kerstingii schlägt einige morphologische Merkmale als plesiomorph und die Veränderungen der morphologischen Merkmale bei Dipterocarpeen wie folgt vor. Wichtige plesiomorphe Merkmale sind eine Basischromosomenzahl von x=7, imbricate Kelchblätter, tricolporate Pollen, uniseriate Holzfasern, keine Harzkanäle und Troll's Architekturmodel. Dafür werden als gemeinsame abgeleitete Merkmale ("synapomorphies") in der Unterfamilie der Dipterocarpoideae als tricolpate Pollen, multiseriate Holzfasern, das Vorhandensein von Harzkanälen und das Architekturmodel von Roux, Massart und Rauh, gefunden. Tribus Dipterocarpeae hat ein gemeinsam abgeleitete Merkmal aufgrund der Basischromosomenzahl von x=11. Die paarweisen Distanzmerkmale zeigen die höchsten Merkmaldistanzen von Monotes kerstingii zu Dipterocarpoideae. Zwanzig diagnostische molekulare Merkmale, viel mehr als für alle anderen Arten, wurden innerhalb dieser Art gefunden.

Upuna boorneensis wurde alternativ als Außengruppe nach der vorangegangenen Studien bei Verwendung der gleichen statistischen Methoden benutzt. *Upuna boorneensis* stellt sich als primitiver in einigen Merkmalen dar im Vergleich zu anderen Gattungen von Tribus Dipterocarpoideae. Die Veränderung der Außengruppe bewirkt eine unterschiedliche Topologie des phylogenetischen Baumes. Tribus Shoreae ist monophyletisch mit *Dryobalanops* als Basis zu anderen Mitgliedern dieser Tribus. Die Mitglieder von Tribus Dipterocarpeae werden nicht zu einer Gruppe geordnet. Die Unterscheidung zwischen *Parashorea* und *Shorea* ist also nicht unterstützt. Bezüglich des MP Baum kann die Basischromosomenzahl von x=7 als eine Synapomorphie für Tribus Shoreae interpretiert werden. Zusammenfassend fällt auf, dass *Monotes kerstingii* mehr plesiomorphe Merkmale als *Upuna borneensis* aufweist, und somit die phylogenetische Analyse mit *Monotes*

kerstingii als Außengruppe eher geeignet ist, die Phylogenie der Unterfamilie der Dipterocarpoideae zu reflektieren.

Von 16 in dieser Studie untersuchten endemischen Arten, wurden vier endemische Arten mit Hilfe der diagnostischen molekularen genetischen Marker identifiziert, namentlich: *Anisoptera reticulata, Shorea fallax, Upuna borneensis* (endemisch in Kalimantan) und *Hopea bancana* (endemisch in Sumatra). Das Vorkommen dieser Arten ist begrenzt auf bestimmte Regionen auf der Insel; die molekularen diagnostischen Merkmale können als Basis für die Entwicklung geeigneter Methoden für die Haplotyp-Kartierung von Dipterocarpaceen betrachtet werden.

Das Ziel der populationsgenetischen Studie war die haplotypische Diversität der vier *Shorea* Arten (*Shorea leprosula, Shorea parvifolia, Shorea ovalis* und *Shorea johorensis*) und die Differenzierung innerhalb und zwischen den Populationen in Kalimantan und Sumatra mit Hilfe der Chloroplasten-DNA zu untersuchen. Basierend auf früheren Analysen (siehe oberhalb) wurden drei Primer-Enzyme-Kombinationen für PCR-RFLP-Prüfung ausgewählt, z.B. *rbcL* mit *Alu* I und *Msp* I, sowie *petB* mit *Rsa* I. Zusätzlich wurden auch zwei Chloroplasten-Primer, *ccmp6* und *ccmp10*, untersucht. Die Populationen von *Shorea leprosula* und *Shorea ovalis* zeigten keine Variation in der Chloroplasten-DNA bei den verwendeten Markern. Jedoch konnte geringe Variation bei *Shorea parvifolia* (drei Haplotypen, G_{ST} =0,15) und bei *Shorea johorensis* (zwei Haplotypen, G_{ST} =0,25) beobachtet werden. Die Haplotyp-Verteilung ergab keine signifikante richtungsweisende Differenzierung zwischen den Populationen von Kalimantan oder Sumatra.

Diese Studie repräsentiert die erste molekulare Phylogenie von Dipterocarpaceen gänzlich im Diversitätszentrum der Familie in Indonesien. Die Nützlichkeit der cpDNA Variation für phylogenetischen Studien wurde bestätigt, und die konventionelle taxonomische Klassifikation der indonesischen Dipterocarpaceen wird unterstützt hinauf bis zum Gattungs-Niveau. Jedoch gibt es insgesamt keinen engen Zusammenhang zwischen der beobachteten molekularen Variation und der taxonomischen Unterscheidung der Sektionen und Untersektionen innerhalb der artenreichen Gattungen, insbesondere innerhalb der Gattung *Shorea*. Nur geringe genetische Diversität wurde innerhalb der Arten beobachtet.

REFERENCES

- ALVERSON, W.S., KAROL, K.G., BAUM, D.A., CHASE, M.W., SWENSEN, S.M., MCCOURT, R. and SYTSMA, K.J. 1998. Circumscription of the Malvales and relationships to other Rosidae: evidence from *rbcL* sequence data. American Journal of Botany 85(6):876-887.
- ANONYMOUS. 1991. Kebun percobaan Haurbentes, Jasinga. Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kehutanan, Departemen Kehutanan. Jakarta.
- APG (THE ANGIOSPERM PHYLOGENY GROUP). 2003. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classificatin for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG II. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 141: 399-436.
- APPANAH, S. 1981. Pollination in Malaysian primary forests. Malaysian Forester 44: 37-42.
- APPANAH, S. 1985. General flowering in the climax rain forest of southeast Asia. Journal of Tropical Ecology 1:225-240.
- APPANAH, S. 1993. Mass flowering of dipterocarp forests in the aseasonal tropics. Journal of Biosciences 18(4): 457-474.
- APPANAH, S. and CHAN, H.T. 1981. Thrips: the pollinators of some dipterocarps. Malaysian Forester 44: 234-252.
- ASHTON, P.S. 1972. Precursor to a taxonomic revision of Ceylon Dipterocarpaceae. Blumea 20: 357-366.
- ASHTON, P.S. 1982. Dipterocarpaceae, in: VAN STEENIS, C.G.G.J. (Ed.). Flora Malesiana, series 1, Spermatophyta, vol. 9, part 2. Martinus Nijhoff. The Hague, Boston, London. pp. 237-552.
- ASHTON, P.S., GIVNISH, T.J. and APPANAH, S. 1988. Staggered flowering in the Dipterocarpaceae: new insights into floral induction and the evolution of mast fruiting in the aseasonal tropics. American Naturalist 132: 44-66.
- AVISE, J.C: 2000. Phylogeography: the history and formation of species. Harvard University Press. Cambridge, Massachusetts, London.
- AWASTHI, N. 1971. Revision of some Dipterocarpaceous woods previously described from the tertiary of south India. Palaeobotanist 18: 226-233.

- AWASTHI, N. 1996. Dipterocarps in the Indian subcontinent: past, present and future, in: APPANAH S., KHOO, K.C. (Eds.). Proceedings of fifth round-table conference on dipterocarps. Chiang Mai. 7-10 November 1994. pp. 138-156.
- BANCROFT, H. 1933. A contribution to the geological history of the Dipterocarpaceae. Geologiska Föreningen i Stockholm Förhandlingar. 55(1): 59-100.
- BANCROFT, H. 1935. The taxonomic history and geographical distribution of the Monotoideae. American Journal of Botany 22:505-519.
- BATE-SMITH, E.C. and WHITMORE, T.C. 1959. Chemistry and taxonomy in the Dipterocarpaceae. Nature184: 795-796.
- BAWA, K.S. 1998. Conservation of genetic resources in the Dipterocarpaceae, in: APPANAH,S. and TURNBULL, J.M. (Eds.). A review of dipterocarps, taxonomy, ecology and silviculture. Center for International Forestry Research. Bogor. pp. 45-55.
- BECKER, P., ONG, C.L. and GOH, F. 1998. Selective drought mortality of Dipterocarp trees: no correlation with timber group distributions in Borneo. Biotropica 30(44): 666-671.
- BIRKY, C.W. 1995. Uniparental inheritance of mitochondrial and chloroplast genes: mechanisms and evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 92: 11331-11338.
- BISSET, N.G., CHAVANEL, V., LANTZ, J.-P. and WOLFF, R.E. 1971. Constituants sesquiterpéniques et triterpéniques des résines du genre *Shorea*. Phytochemistry 10(10): 2451-2463.
- BISSET, N.G., DIAZ-PARRA, M.A., EHRET, C., OURISSON, G., PALMADE, M., PATIL, F., PESNELLE, P. and STREITH, J. 1966. Études chimio-taxonomiques dans la familie des Diptérocarpacées – II. Constituants du genre *Dipterocarpus* Gaertn F. Essai de classification chimio-taxonomique. Phytochemistry 5(5): 865-880.
- BISSET, N.G., DIAZ-PARRA, M.A., EHRET, C. and OURISSON, G. 1967. Études chimiotaxonomiques dans la familie des Diptérocarpacées – III. Constituants des genres *Anisoptera* Korth., *Cotylelobium* Pierre, *Dryobalanops* Gartn. F. et *Upuna* Sym. Phytochemistry 6(10): 11395-1405.
- CAMERINI, J.R. 1993. Evolution, biogeography, and maps: an early history of Wallace's line. Isis 84:700-727.

- CAVERS, S., NAVARRO, C. and LOWE, A.J. 2003. Chloroplast DNA phylogeography reveals colonization history of a Neotropical tree, *Cedrela odorata* L., in Mesoamerica. Molecular Ecology 12: 1451-1460.
- CHAN, H.T. 1981. Reproductive biology of some Malaysian dipterocarps. III. Breeding systems. Malaysian Foresters 44: 28-36.
- CHAN, H.T. and APPANAH, S. 1980. Reproductive biology of some Malaysian dipterocarps. 1. Flowering biology. Malaysian Foresters 43: 132-143.
- CHANGTRAGOON, S. 2001. Evaluating genetic diversity of *Dipterocarpus alatus* genetic resources in Thailand using isozyme gene markers, in: THIELGES, B.A., SASTRAPRADJA, S.D., RIMBAWANTO A. (Eds). In situ and ex situ conservation of commercial tropical trees. ITTO Project PD 16/96 Rev. 4(F). Faculty of Forestry Gadjah Mada University. Yogyakarta. pp. 351-356.
- CHASE, M.W., SOLTIS, D.E., OLMSTEAD, R.G., MORGAN, D., LES, D.H., MISHLER, B.D., DUVALL, M.R., PRICE, R.A., HILLS, H.G., QIU, Y.L., KRON, K.A., RETTIG, J.H., CONTI, E., PALMER, J.D., MANHART, J.R., SYTSMA, K.J., MICHAELS, H.J., KRESS, W.J., KAROL, K.G., CLARK, W.D., HEDRÉN, M., GAUT, B.S., JANSEN, R.K., KIM, K.J., WIMPEE, C.F., SMITH, J.F., FURNIER, G.R., STRAUSS, S.H., XIANG, Q.Y., PLUNKETT, G.M., SOLTIS, P.S., SWENSEN, S.M., WILLIAM, S.E., GADEK, P.A., QUINN, C.J., EGUIARTE, L.E., GOLENBERG, E., LEARN, J.G.H., GRAHAM, S.W., BARETT, S.C.H., DAYANANDAN, S. and ALBERT, V.A. 1993. Phylogenetic of seed plants: An analysis of nucleotide sequences from the plastid gene *rbcL*. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 80(3): 525-580.
- CHOONG, E.T. and ACHMADI, S.S. 1996. Utilization potential of the dipterocarp resource in international trade, in: SCHULTE, A. and SCHÖNE, D. (Eds.). Dipterocarp Forest Ecosystems, Towards Sustainable Management. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hongkong. pp. 481-525.
- CLEGG, M.T., GAUT, B.S., LEARN, G.H. and MORTON, B.R. 1994. Rates and patterns of chloroplast DNA evolution. Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences USA 91: 6795-6801.
- COCKBURN, P.F. 1975. Phenology of dipterocarps in Sabah. Malaysian Forester 38: 160-170.
- CORLETT, R.T. 2004. Flower visitors and pollination in the Oriental (Indomalayan) Region. Biological Reviews: 79(3): 497-532.

- CRONQUIST, A. 1981. An integrated system of classification of flowering plants, Columbia University Press. New York.
- CSAIKL, U.M., BASTIAN, H., BRETTSCHNEIDER, R., GAUCH, S., MEIR, A., SCHAUERTE, M., SCHOLZ, F., SPERISEN, C., VORNAM, B. and ZIEGENHAGEN, B. 1998. Comparative analysis of different DNA extraction protocols; a fast, universal maxi-preparation of high quality plant DNA for generic evaluation and phylogenetic studies. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 16: 69-86.
- DANIMIHARDJA, S. and NOTODIHARDJO, D (Eds.). 2001. An alphabetical list of plant species cultivated in the Hortus Botanicus Bogoriensis. Botanic Gardens, National Biological Institut, Indonesian Institute of Sciences. Bogor.
- DARNELL, J., LODISH, H. and BALTIMORE, D. 1990. Molecular cell biology, second edition. Scientific American Books, Inc. New York.
- DAYANANDAN, S., ASHTON, P.S., WILLIAMS, S.M. and PRIMACK, R.B. 1999. Phylogeny of tropical tree family Dipterocarpaceae based on nucleotide sequences of the chloplast rbcL gene. American Journal of Botany 86(8): 1182-1190.
- DEGUILLOUX, M.F., PEMONGE, M.H., BERTEL, L., KREMER, A. and PETIT, R.J. 2003. Checking the geographical origin of oak wood: molecular and statistical tools. Molecular Ecology 12: 1629-1636.
- DEGUILLOUX, M.F., PEMONGE, M.H. and PETIT, R.J. 2004. DNA-based control of oak wood geographic origin in the context of the cooperage industry. Annals of Forest Science 61: 97-104.
- DEMESURE, B., COMPS, B., and PETIT, R.J. 1996. Chloroplast DNA phylogeography of the common beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in Europe. Evolution 50(6): 2515-2520.
- DEMESURE, B., SODZI, N. and PETIT, R. 1995. A set of universal primers for amplification of polymorphic non-coding regions of mitochondrial and chloroplast DNA in plants. Molecular Ecology 4:129-131.
- DJPH (DIREKTUR JENDRAL PENGUSAHAAN HUTAN). 1993. Pedoman dan petunjuk teknis Tebang Pilih Tanam Indonesia (TPTI) pada hutan alam daratan. Direktur Jendral Pengusahaan Hutan, Departemen Kehutanan Republik Indonesia. Jakarta.

- DUCOUSSO, M., BÉNA, G., BOURGEOIS, C., BUYCK, B., EYSSARTIER, G., VINCELETTE, M., RABEVOHITRA, R., RANDRIHASIPARA, L., DREYFUS, B. and PRIN, Y. 2004. The last common ancestor of Sarcolaenaceae and Asian dipterocarp trees was ectomycorrhizal before the India-Madagascar separation, about 88 million years ago. Molecular Ecology 13: 231-236.
- DUMOLINE-LAPÈGUE, S., DEMESURE, B., FINESCHI, S., LE CORRE, V., and PETIT, R.J. 1997a. Phylogeographic structure of white oaks throughout the European Continent. Genetics 146: 1475-1487.
- DUMOLINE-LAPÈGUE, S., PEMONGE, M.-H. and PETIT, R.J. 1997b. An enlarged set of consensus primers for the study of organelle DNA in plants. Molecular Ecology 6: 393-397.
- DUPRAW, E.J. 1970. DNA and chromosoms, molecular and cellular biology series. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc. New York, Chicago, San Fransisco, Atlanta, Dallas, Montreal, Toronto, London, Sydney.
- DYKSTRA, D.P., KURU, G., TAYLOR, R., NUSSBAUM, R., MAGRATH, W.B. and STORY, J. 2003. Technologies for wood tracking: verifying and monitoring the chain of custody and legal compliance in the timber industry. Environment and Social Development East Asia and Pacific Region. World Bank. Washington D.C.
- FEDOROV, A.A. 1966. The structure of the tropical rain forest and speciation in the humid tropics. The Journal of Ecology 54(1): 1-11.
- FELSENSTEIN, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using bootstrap. Evolution 39: 783-791.
- GAMAGE, D.T., DE SILVA, M., YOSHIDA, A., SZMIDT, A.E. and YAMAZAKI, T. 2003. Molecular phylogeny of Sri Lankan Dipterocarpaceae in relation to other Asian Dipterocarpaceae based on chloroplast DNA sequences. Tropics 13(2): 79-87.
- GHAZOUL, J., LISTON, K.A. and BOYLE, T.J.B. 1998. Disturbance-induced density-dependent seed set in *Shorea siamensis* (Dipterocarpaceae), a tropical forest tree. Journal of Ecology 86: 462-473.
- GOSH, S.S. and GOSH, A.K. 1959. *Dipterocarpoxylon malawii* Sp. Nov.- a new fossil record from the Pliocene of Kutch. Science and Culture 25: 328-332.

- GOTTWALD, H. and PARAMESWARAN, N. 1966. Das sekundare Xylem der Familie Dipterocarpaceae. Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte, und Pflanzegeographie 85: 410-508.
- HALLÉ, F. 1979. Premières donnees architecturales sur les Dipterocarpaceae, in: MAURY-LECHON, G. (Ed.). Diptérocarpacées, Taxonomie-Phylogénie-Écologie. Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle. Paris. Series B, Botanique, 26: 20-34.
- HALLÉ, F. and NG, F.S.P. 1981. Crown construction in mature dipterocarp trees. Malaysian Forester 44(2-3): 222-233.
- HALLÉ, F., OLDEMAN, R.A.A. and TOMLINSON, P.B. 1978. Tropical trees and forests: an architectural analysis. Springer Verlag. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- HATTEMER, H.H., BERGMANN, F. and ZIEHE, M. 1993. Einführung in die genetik für studierende der forstwissenschaft. J.D: Sauerländer's Verlag. Frankfurt am Main.
- HEIM, F. 1892. Recherches sur les Diptérocarpacées. Théses. A la Faculté des Science de Paris. Typographie Chamerot et Renouard. Paris.
- HILU, K.W., BORSCH, T., MÜLLER, K., SOLTIS, D.E., SOLTIS, P.S., SAVOLAINEN, V., CHASE, M.W., POWELL, M.P., ALICE, L.A., EVANS, R., SAUQUET, H., NEINHUIS, C., SLOTTA, T.A.B., ROHWER, J.G., CAMPBELL, C.S. and CHATROU, L.W. 2003. Angiosperm phylogeny basedn on *matK* sequence information. American Journal of Botany 90(12): 1758-1776.
- HIPKINS., V.D., TSAI, C.H. and STRAUSS, S.H. 1990. Sequence of the gene for large subunit of ribulose 1,5-biphosphate carboxylase from a gymnosperm, Douglas fir. Plant Molecular Biology 15:505-507.
- HIRATSUKA, J., SHIMADA, H., WHITTIER, R., ISHIBASHI, T., SAKAMOTO, M., KONDO, C., HONJI
 Y., SUN, C.R., MENG, B.Y., LI, Y.Q., KANNO, A., NISHIZAWA Y., HIRAI, A., SHINOZAKI,
 K. and SUGIURA, M. 1989. The complete sequence of the rice (Oryza sativa) chloroplast
 genome: intermolecular recombination between distinct tRNA genes accounts for a
 major plastid DNA inversion during the evolution of cereals. Molecular and General
 Genetics 217: 185-194.
- HUANG, S.S.F., HWANG, S.-Y. and LIN, T.-P. 2002. Spatial pattern of chloroplast DNA variation of *Cyclobalanopsis glauca* in Taiwan and East Asia. Molecular Ecology 11: 2349-2358.

- ISAGI, Y., KENTA, T. and NAKASHIZUKA, T. 2002. Microsatellite loci for a tropical emergent tree, *Dipterocarpus tempehes* V. Sl. (Dipterocarpaceae). Molecular Ecology Notes 2:12-13.
- ISHIYAMA, H., KADO, T., IWASAKI, M., MATSUOKA M., SHUKOR, N.A., SZMIDT, A.E. and YAMAZAKI, T. 2003. Nucleotide variation in the *GapC* region of four species of *Shorea* and their putative hybrids. Tropics 13(2): 89-99.
- IWATA, H., KONUMA, A. and TSUMURA, Y. 2000. Development of microsatellite markers in the tropical tree *Neobalanocarpus heimii* (Dipterocarpaceae). Molecular Ecology 9: 1684-1685.
- JACKSON, R.C. 1971. The Karyotype in systematics. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 2: 327-368.
- JANZEN, D.H. 1975. Tropical blackwater rivers, animals, and mast fruiting by the Dipterocarpaceae. Biotropica 6(2): 69-103.
- JONG, K. and KAUR, A. 1979. A cytotaxonomic view of the Dipterocarpaceae with some comments on polyploidy and apomixis, in: MAURY-LECHON, G. (Ed.). Diptérocarpacées, Taxonomie-Phylogénie-Écologie. Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle. Paris. Series B, Botanique, 26: 41-49.
- KAJITA, T., KAMIYA, K., NAKAMURA, K., TACHIDA, H., WICKNESWARI, R., TSUMURA, Y., YOSHIMARU, H. and YAMAZAKI, T. 1998. Molecular phylogeny of dipterocarpaceae in southeast Asia based on nucleotide sequences of matK, trnL intron, and trnL-trnF intergenic spacer region in chloroplast DNA. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 10, 2: 202-209.
- KAMIYA, K., HARADA, K., OGINO, K., KAJITA, T., YAMAZAKI, T., LEE, H.S. and ASHTON, P.S. 1998. Molecular phylogeny of dipterocarp species using nucleotide sequences of two non-coding regions in chloroplast DNA. Tropics 7(3/4): 195-207.
- KAMMESHEIDT, L., DAGANG, A.A., SCHWARZWÄLLER, W. and WEIDELT, H.-J. 2003. Growth patterns of dipterocarps in treated and untreated plots. Forest Ecology and Management 174:437-445.
- KAUR, A., HA., C.O., JONG, K., SANDS, V.E., CHANG, H.T., SOEPADMO, E. and ASHTON, P.S. 1978. Apomixis may be widespread among trees of the climax rain forest. Nature 271: 440-442.

- KENDREW, S.J. (ed.). 1994. The encyclopedia of molecular biology. Blackwell Science Ltd. Oxford.
- KENTA, T., ISAGI, Y., NAKAGAWA, M., YAMASHITA M. and NAKASHIZUKA, T. 2004. Variation in pollen dispersal between years with different pollination conditions in a tropical emergent tree. Molecular Ecology 13: 3575-3584.
- KONUMA, A., TSUMURA, Y., LEE, C.T., LEE, S.L. and OKUDA, T. 2000. Estimation of gene flow in the tropical-rainforest tree Neobalanocarpus heimii (Dipterocarpaceae), inferred from paternity analysis. Molecular Ecology 9:1843-1852.
- KORSGAARD, S. 1985. Special study on forest management, afforestation and utiliyation of forest resources in the developing regions. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Bangkok.
- KOSTERMANS, A.J.H.H. 1978. *Pakaraimaea dipterocarpea* Maguire & Ashton belongs to Tiliaceae and not to Dipterocarpaceae. Taxon 27(4): 357-359.
- KOSTERMANS, A.J.H.H. 1985. Family status for the Monotoideae Gilg and the Pakaraimoideae Ashton, Maguire and de Zeeuw (Dipterocarpaceae). Taxon 34(3): 426-435.
- KOSTERMANS, A.J.H.H. 1989. Monotaceae, a new family allied to Tiliaceae. Taxon 38(1): 123-124.
- LAFRANKIE, J.V. and CHAN, H.T. 1991. Confirmation of sequential flowering in Shorea (Dipterocarpaceae). Biotropica 23:200-203.
- LAMPRECHT, H. 1989. Silviculture in the tropics. Deutsche Gesselschaft für Technisse Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmBH. Eschborn.
- LEE, S.L. 2000. Mating system parameters of *Dryobalanops aromatica* Gaertn.f. (Dipterocarpaceae) in three different forest types and a seed orchard. Heredity 85:<338-345.
- LEE, S.L., ANG, K.C. and NORWATI, M. 2000a. Genetic diversity of *Dryobalanops aromatica* Gaertn. f. (Diperocarpaceae) in Peninsular Malaysia and its pertinence to genetic conservation and tree improvement. Forest Genetics 7(3): 211-219.

- LEE, S.L., TANI, N., NG, K.K.S. and TSUMURA, Y. 2004a. Characterization of 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci in an endangered tropical tree *Hopea bilitonsis* (Dipterocarpaceae) in Peninsular Malaysia. Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 147-149.
- LEE, S.L., TANI, N., NG, K.K.S. and TSUMURA, Y. 2004b. Isolation and characterization of 20 microsatellite loci for an important tropical tree species *Shorea leprosula* (Dipterocarpaceae) and their applicability to *S. parvifolia*. Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 222-225.
- LEE., S.L., WICKNESWARI, R., MAHANI, M.C. and ZAKRI, A.H. 2000b. Genetic diversity of a tropical tree species, *Shorea leprosula* Miq. (Dipterocarpaceae), in Malaysia: implications for conservation of genetic resources and tree improvement. Biotropica 32(2): 213-224.
- LEE., S.L., WICKNESWARI, R., MAHANI, M.C. and ZAKRI, A.H. 2000c. Mating system parameters in a tropical tree species, *Shorea leprosula* Miq. (Dipterocarpaceae), from Malaysian lowland dipterocarp forest. Biotropica 32(4a): 693-702.
- LI, Q.-M., HE, T.-H. and XU, Z.-F. 2004. Generic relationships of *Parashorea chinensis* Wang Hsie (Dipterocarpaceae) based on cpDNA sequences. Taxon 53(2): 461-466.
- LIDHOLM, J.A. and GUSTAFSSON, P. 1991. A three-step model for the rearrangement of the chloroplast *trnK-psbA* region of gymnosperm *Pinus contorta*. Nucleic Acids Research 19: 2881-2887.
- LIM, L.S., WICKNESWARI, R., LEE, S.L. and LATIFF, A. 2001. Genetic structure of natural populations of *Dryobalanops aromatica* Gaertn. f. (Dipterocarpaceae) in Peninsular Malaysia using microsatellite DNA markers, in: THIELGES, B.A., SASTRAPRADJA, S.D., RIMBAWANTO A. (Eds). In situ and ex situ conservation of commercial tropical trees. ITTO Project PD 16/96 Rev. 4(F). Faculty of Forestry Gadjah Mada University. Yogyakarta. pp. 311-326.
- LONDOÑO, A.C., ALVAREZ, E.A., FORERO, E. and MORTON, C.M. 1995. A new genus and species of Dipterocarpaceae from the Neotropics. I. Introduction, taxonomy, ecology, and distribution. Brittonia 47(3): 225-236.
- MAGUIRE, B. and ASHTON, P.S. 1977. Pakaramoideae, Dipterocarpaceae of the western hemisphere. II. Systematic, geographic, and phyletic considerations. Taxon 26(4):343-368.

- MAURY-LECHON, G. and CURTET L. 1998. Biogeography and evolutionary systematics of dipterocarpaceae, in: APPANAH, S. and TURNBULL, J.M. (Eds.). A review of dipterocarps, taxonomy, ecology and silviculture. Center for International Forestry Research. Bogor. pp. 5-44.
- MEDWAY, L. 1972. Phenology of a tropical rain forest in Malaya. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 4: 117-146.
- MEHER-HOMJI, V.M. 1979. Distribution of the Dipterocarpaceae: some phytogeographic consideration on India. Phytocoenologia. 6: 85-93.
- MEIJER, W. 1974. Plant geographic studies on Dipterocarpaceae in Malesia. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 61(3): 806-818.
- MEIJER, W. 1979. Taxonomic studies in the genus *Dipterocarpus*, in: MAURY-LECHON, G. (Ed.). Diptérocarpacées, Taxonomie-Phylogénie-Écologie. Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle. Paris. Series B, Botanique, 26: 50-56.
- MILLIGAN, B.G. 1998. Total DNA isolation, in: HOELZEL, A.R. (Ed.). Molecular Genetic Analysis of Populations, a practical approach. Oxford University Press Inc. New York. pp. 29-64.
- MIZIORKO H.M. and LORIMER, G.H. 1983. Ribulose-1,5-biphophate-carboxylase-oxygenase. Annual Review of Biochemistry 52:507-535.
- MOHANTY, A., MARTÍN, J.P., and AGUINAGALDE, I. 2002. Population genetic analysis of European *Prunus spinosa* (Rosaceae) using chloroplast DNA markers. American Journal of Botany 89(8): 1223-1228.
- MOMOSE, K., YUMOTO, T., NAGAMITSU, T., KATO, M., NAGAMASU, H., SAKAI, S., HARRISON, R., ITIOKA, T., HAMID, A.A. and INOUE, T. 1998. Pollination biology in a lowland dipterocarp forest in Sarawak, Malaysia. I. Characteristics of the plant-pollinator community in a lowland dipterocarp forest. American Journal of Botany 85(10): 1477-1501.
- MORLEY, R.J. 1998. Palynological evidence for Tertiary plant dispersals in the SE Asian region in relation to plate tectonics and climate, in: HALL, R. and HOLLOWAY, J.D. (Eds.). Biogeography and Geological Evolution of SE Asia. Backhuys Publishers. Leiden. pp. 211-234.

- MORLEY, R.J. 2000. Origin and evolution of tropical rain forests. John Wiley & Sons. Chichester.
- MORTON, C.M., DAYANANDAN, S. and DISSANAYAKE, D. 1999. Phylogeny and biosystematics of Pseudomonotes (Dipterocarpaceae) based on molecular and morphological data. Plant Systematics and Evolution 216: 197-205.
- MOSS, S.J. and WILSON, M.E.J. 1998. Biogeographic implications of the Tertiary palaeogeographic evolution of Sulawesi and Borneo, in: HALL, R. and HOLLOWAY, J.D. (Eds.). Biogeography and Geological Evolution of SE Asia. Backhuys Publishers. Leiden. pp. 211-234.
- MURAWSKI, D.A. and BAWA, K.S. 1994. Genetic structure and mating system of *Stemonoporus oblongifolius* (Dipterocarpaceae) in Sri Lanka. American Journal of Botany 81(2): 155-160.
- MURAWSKI, D.A., DAYANANDAN, B. and BAWA, K.S. 1994a. Outcrossing rates of two endemic *Shorea* species from Sri Lankan tropical rain forests. Biotropica 26(1): 23-29.
- MURAWSKI, D.A., GUNATILLEKE, I.A.U.N. and BAWA, K.S. 1994b. The effect of selective logging on inbreeding in *Shorea megystophylla* (Dipterocarpaceae) from Sri Lanka. Conservation Biology 8: 997-1002.
- MURAWSKI, D.A. and HAMRICK, J.L. 1992. The mating system of *Cavanillesia platanifolia* under extremes of flowering-tree density: a test of predictions. Biotropica 24: 99-101.
- NAGAMITSU, T., ICHIKAWA, S., OZAWA, M., SHIMAMURA, R., KACHI, N., TSUMURA, Y. and MUHAMMAD, N. 2001 Microsatellite analysis of the breeding system and seed dispersal in *Shorea leprosula* (Dipterocarpaceae). International Journal of Plant Sciences 162(1):155-159.
- NEI, M. 1973. Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences USA 70: 3321-3323.
- NEI, M. and KUMAR, S. 2000. Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. Oxford University Press. New York.
- NEWMAN, M.F., BURGESS, P.F. and WHITMORE, T.C. 1996a. Manuals of dipterocarps for foresters, Borneo island light hardwoods. Center for International Forestry Research. Jakarta.

- NEWMAN, M.F., BURGESS, P.F. and WHITMORE, T.C. 1996b. Manuals of dipterocarps for foresters, Sumatra light hardwoods. Center for International Forestry Research. Jakarta.
- NEWMAN, M.F., BURGESS, P.F. and WHITMORE, T.C. 1998a. Manuals of dipterocarps for foresters, Borneo island medium and heavy hardwoods. Center for International Forestry Research. Jakarta.
- NEWMAN, M.F., BURGESS, P.F. and WHITMORE, T.C. 1998b. Manuals of dipterocarps for foresters, Sumatra medium and heavy. Center for International Forestry Research. Jakarta.
- NEWMAN, M.F., BURGESS, P.F. and WHITMORE, T.C. 1998c. Manuals of dipterocarps for foresters, Java to New Guinea. Center for International Forestry Research. Jakarta.
- NG, F.S.P. 1977. Gregarious flowering of dipterocarps in Kepong, 1976. Malaysian Forester 40: 126-137.
- NG, K.K.S., LEE, L. and KOH., C.L. 2004. Spatial structure and genetic diversity of two tropical tree species with contrasting breeding systems and different ploidy levels. Molecular Ecology 13: 657-669.
- OBAYASHI, K., TSUMURA, Y., IHARA-UJINO, T., NIIYAMA, K., TANOUCHI, H., SUYAMA, Y., WASHITANI, I., LEE, C.T., LEE, S.L. and MUHAMMAD, N. 2002. Genetic diversity and outcrossing rate between undisturbed and selectively logged forests of *Shorea curtisii* (Dipterocarpaceae) using microsatellite DNA analysis. International Journal of Plant Sciences 163(1):151-158.
- PAGE, R.D.M. 1998. TreeView version 1.5.2. http://taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk/rod/rod.html.
- PARAMESWARAN, N. and GOTTWALD, H. 1979. Problematic taxa in the Dipterocarpaceae, their anatomy and taxonomy, in: MAURY-LECHON, G. (Ed.). Diptérocarpacées, Taxonomie-Phylogénie-Écologie. Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle. Paris. Series B, Botanique, 26: 69-75.
- PETIT, R.J., BREWER, S., BORDÁCS, S., BURG, K., CHEDDADI, R., COART, E., COTTRELL, J., CSAIKL, U.M., VAN DAM, B., DEANS, J.D., ESPINEL, S., FINESCHI, S., FINKELDEY, R., GLAZ, I., GOICOECHEA, P.G., JENSEN, J.S., KÖNIG, A.O., LOWE, A.J., MADSEN, S.F., MÁTYÁS, G., MUNRO, R.C., POPESCU, F., SLADE, D., TABBENER, H., DE VRIES, S.G.M., ZIEGENHAGEN, B., DE BEAULIEU, J.-L. and KREMER, A. 2002a. Identification of refugia

and post-glacial colonisation routes of European white oaks based on chloroplast DNA and fossil pollen evidence. Forest Ecology and Management 156: 49-74.

- PETIT, R.J., CSAIKL, U.M., BORDÁCS, S., BURG, K., COART, E., COTTREL, J., VAN DAM, B., DEANS, J.D., DUMOLIN- LAPÈGUE, S., FINESCHI, S., FINKELDEY, R., GILIES, A., GLAZ, I., GOICOECHEA, P.G., JENSEN, J.S., KÖNIG, A.O., LOWE, A.J., MADSEN, S.F., MÁTYÁS, G., MUNRO, R.C., OLALDE, M., PEMONGE, M-H., POPESCU, F., SLADE, D., TABBENER, H., TAURCHINI, D., DE VRIES, S.G.M., ZIEGENHAGEN, B. and KREMER, A. 2002b. Chloroplast DNA variation in European white oaks phylogeography and patterns of diversity based on data from over 2600 populations. Forest Ecology and Management 156: 5-26.
- PIELOU, E.C. 1979. Biogeography. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York Chichester -Brisbane - Toronto.
- PRAKASH, U. and AWASTHI, N. 1970. Fossil woods from the tertiary of Eastern India. Palaeobotanist 18(1):32-34.
- RIMBAWANTO, A. and ISODA, K. 2001. Genetic structure of Shorea leprosula in a single population revealed by microsatellite markers, in: THIELGES, B.A., SASTRAPRADJA, S.D., RIMBAWANTO A. (Eds). In situ and ex situ conservation of commercial tropical trees. ITTO Project PD 16/96 Rev. 4(F). Faculty of Forestry Gadjah Mada University. Yogyakarta. pp. 333-340.
- RITLAND, K. and CLEGG, M.T. 1987. Evolutionary analysis of plant DNA sequences. American Naturalist 130, Supplement: 74:100.
- ROSETTO, M., JACKES, B.R., SCOTT, K.D. and HENRY R.J. 2000. Intergeneric relationships in the Australian Vitaceae: new evidence from cpDNA analysis. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 48:307-314.
- RUSSEL, P.J. 1994. Fundamentals of genetics. HarperCollins College Publishers. New York.
- RYDIN, C. and WIKSTRÖM, N. 2002. Phylogeny of *Isoëtes* (Lycopsida): resolving basal relationships using *rbcL* sequences. Taxon 51(1): 83-89.
- SAITOU, N. and NEI, M. 1987. The neighbour-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Molecular Biology and Evolution 4(4):406-425.
- SAKAI, S. 2002. General flowering in lowland mixed dipterocarp forests of South-east Asia. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 75:233-247.

- SAKAI, S, MOMOSE, K., YUMOTO, T., KATO, M. and INOUE, T. 1999a. Beetle pollination of *Shorea parvifolia* (section *Mutica*, Dipterocarpaceae) in a general flowering period in Sarawak, Malaysia. American Journal of Botany 86(1): 62-69.
- SAKAI, S, MOMOSE, K., YUMOTO, T., NAGAMITSU, T., NAGAMASU, H., HAMID, A.A. and NAKASHIZUKA, T. 1999b. Plant reproductive phenology over four years including an episode of general flowering in a lowland dipterocarp forest, Sarawak, Malaysia. American Journal of Botany 86(10): 1414-1436.
- SASAKI, S., HOO, T.C. and RAHMAN, Z.H.A. 1979. Some observations on unusual flowering and fruiting of dipterocarps. Malaysian Forester 42: 38-45.
- SAVARD, L., MICHAUD, M. and BOUSQUET, J. 1993. Genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships between Birches and Alders using ITS, 18s rRNA, and *rbcL* gene sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 2(2): 112-118.
- SEIBERT, B. 1996. Food from dipterocarps: utilization of the tengkawang species group for nut and fat production, in: SCHULTE, A. and SCHÖNE, D. (Eds.), Dipterocarp Forest Ecosystems, Towards Sustainable Management. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hongkong. pp. 616-626.
- SHINOZAKI, K., OHME, M., TANAKA, M., WAKASUGI, T., HAYASHIDA, N., MATSUBAYASHI, T.,
 ZAITA, N., CHUNWONGSE, J., OBOKATA, J., YAMAGUCHI-SHINOZAKI, K., OHTA, C.,
 TORAZAWA, K., MENG, B.Y., SUGITA, M., DENO, H., KAMOGASHIRA, T., YAMADA, K.,
 KUSUDA, J., TAKAIWA, F., KATO, A., TOHDOH, N., SHIMADA, H. and SUGIURA, M. 1986.
 The complete nucleotide sequence of tobacco chloroplast genome EMBO Journal 5:2043-2049.
- SIST, P. 1996. Structure and diversity of dipterocarps in a lowland dipterocarp forest in East Kalimantan, in: APPANAH S. and KHOO, K.C. (Eds.). Proceedings of fifth round-table conference on dipterocarps. Chiang Mai. 7-10 November 1994. pp. 60-86.
- SLIK, J.W.F., POULSEN, A.D., ASHTON, P.S., CANNON, C.H., EICHHORN, K.A.O., KARTAWINATA, K., LANNIARI, I., NAGAMASU, H., NAKAGAWA, M., VAN NIEUWSTADT, M.G.L., PAYNE, J., SARIDAN, A., SIDIYASA, K., VERBURG, R.W., WEBB, C.O. and WILKIE, P. 2003. A floristic analysis of the lowland dipterocarp forests of Borneo. Journal of Biogeography 30(10): 1517-1531.

- SMITINAND, T., VIDAL, J.E. and Hô, P.H. 1990. Flore du Cambodge du Laos et du Viêtnam,
 25, Diptérocarpacées. Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle. Paris.
- SMITS, W.T.M. 1994. Dipterocarpaceae: mycorrhiza and regeneration. Ph.D. Thesis, Wageningen Agricultural University, the Netherlands. Tropenbos series 9. The Tropenbos Foundation. Wageningen.
- SOMEGO, M. 1978. Cytogenetical study of Dipterocarpaceae. Malaysian Forester 41(4): 358-366.
- STACY, E.A., DAYANANDAN, S., DANCIK, B.P. and KHASA, P.D. 2001. Microsatellite DNA markers for the Sri Lankan rainforest tree species, *Shorea cordifolia* (Dipterocarpaceae), and cross-species amplification in *S. megistophylla*. Molecular Ecology Notes 1: 53-54.
- SUOHEIMO J., LI, CH. and LUUKKANEN, O. 1999. Isozyme variation of natural populations of Sal (*Shorea robusta*) in the Terai region, Nepal. Silvae Genetica 48(3-4): 199-203.
- SUYAMA, Y., KAWAMURO, K., KINOSHITA, I., YOSHIMURA, K., TSUMURA, Y. and TAKAHARA,H. 1996. DNA sequence from a fossil pollen of *Abies* spp. from Pleistocene peat. Genes and Genetic Systems 71: 145-149.
- SUZUKI, E. and ASHTON, P.S. 1996. Sepal nut size ratio of fruits of Asian Dipterocarpaceae and its implications for dispersal. Journal of Tropical Ecology 12: 853-870.
- SWOFFORD, D.L. 1998. PAUP*, Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (and other methods). version 4.0. Sinauer Associates. Sunderland. Massachusetts.
- SYMINGTON, C.F. 1943. Forester's manual of dipterocarps, Malayan foresters records no. 16. Penerbit Universiti Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur.
- SZMIDT, A.E. 1991. Phylogenetic and applied studies on chloroplast genome in forest conifers, in: FINESCHI, S., MALVOTTI, M.E., CANNATA, F., HATTEMER H.H. (Eds.). Biochemical Markers in the Population Genetics of Forest Trees. Academic Publishing. The Hague. pp. 185-196.
- TABERLET, P., GIELLY L., PAUTOU G. and BOUVET J. 1991. Universal primers for amplification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA. Plant Molecular Biology 17: 1105-1109.

- TAKEUCHI, Y., ICHIKAWA, S., KONUMA, A., TOMARU, N., NIIYAMA, K., LEE., S.L., MUHAMMAD, N. and TSUMURA, Y. 2004. Comparison of the fine-scale genetic structure of three dipterocarp species. Heredity 92:323-328.
- TERAUCHI, R. 1994. A polymorphic microsatellite marker from the tropical tree *Dryobalanops lanceolata* (Dipterocarpaceae). Japanese Journal of Genetics 69(5): 567-576.
- TSUMURA, Y., KAWAHARA., WICKNESWARI, R. and YOSHIMURA K. 1996. Molecular phylogeny of Dipterocarpaceae in Southeast Asia using RFLP of PCR-amplified chloroplast genes. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 93: 22-29.
- UJINO, T., KAWAHARA, T., TSUMURA, Y., NAGAMITSU, T., YOSHIMARU, H. and RATNAM W. 1998. Development and polymorphism of simple sequence repeat DNA markers for *Shorea curtisii* and other Dipterocarpaceae species. Heredity 81: 422-428.
- VAN SCHAIK, C.P.V. 1986. Phenological changes in a Sumatran rain forest. Journal of Tropical Ecology 2: 327-347.
- VERDCOURT, B. 1989. Dipterocarpaceae, in: POLHILL, R.M (Ed.). Flora of tropical east Africa. A.A. Balkema. Rotterdam. pp. 1-11.
- VILLIERS, J.-F. 1991. Diptérocarpacées, in SATABIE, B. and MORAT, P. (Eds). Flore du Cameroun. Ministre de l'Enseignement Superieur de l'Informatique et de La Recherche Scientifique (Mesires). Yaounde. pp. 51-54.
- VORIS, H.K. 2000. Maps of Pleistocene sea levels in Southeast Asia: shorelines, river systems and time durations. Journal of Biogeography 27(5): 1153-1167.
- WAKASUGI, T., SUGITA, M., TSUDZUKI, T. and SUGIURA, M. 1998. Updated gene map of tobacco chloroplast DNA. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 16: 231-241.
- WANG, X.-R., TSUMURA, Y., YOSHIMARU, H., NAGASAKA, K. and SZMIDT, A.E. 1999.
 Phylogenetic relationships of Eurasian pines (*Pinus*, Pinaceae) based on chloroplast *rbcL*, *matK*, *rpl20-rps18* spacer, and *trnV* intron sequences. American Journal of Botany 86(12): 1742-1753.
- WEIDELT, 1996. Sustainable management of dipterocarps forests opportunities and constraints, in: SCHULTE, A. and SCHÖNE, D. (Eds.). Dipterocarp Forest Ecosystems, Towards Sustainable Management. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hongkong. pp. 249-273.

- WEISING, K. and GARDNER, R.C. 1999. A set of conserved primers for the analysis of simple sequence repeat polymorphisms in chloroplast genomes of dicotyledonous angiosperms. Genome 42: 9-19.
- WHEELER, W.C. 1990. Nucleic acid sequences phylogeny and random outgroups. Cladistics 6: 363-368.
- WHITMORE, T.C. 1975. Tropical rain forests of the Far East. Clarendron Press. Oxford.
- WHITTEN, A.J., DAMANIK, S.J., ANWAR, J. and HISYAM, N. 1987. The Ecology of Sumatra. Gadjah Mada University Press. Yogyakarta.
- WILLERSLEV, E., HANSEN, A.J., BINLADEN, J., BRAND, T.B., GILBERT, M.T.P., SHAPIRO, B., BUNCE, M., WIUF, C., GILICHINSKY, D.A. and COOPER, A. 2003. Diverse plant and animal genetic records from Holocene and Pleistocene sediments. Science 300: 791-795.
- WOOD, G.H.S. 1956. The dipterocarp flowering season in north Borneo, 1955. Malaysian Forester 19: 193-201.
- WYCHERLEY, P.R. 1973. The phenology of plants in the humid tropics. Micronesica 9(1): 75-96.
- YASUDA, M., MATSUMOTO, J., OSADA, N., ICHIKAWA, S., KACHI, N., TANI, M., OKUDA, T., FURUKAWA, A., NIK, A.R. and MANOKARAN N. 1999. The mechanism of general flowering in Dipterocarpaceae in the Malay Peninsula. Journal of Tropical Ecology, 15:437-449.
- YUMMING, Y. and YUANCHANG, L. 1996. Dipterocarp forests and their geographical distribution in Yunnan province, P.R. of China, in: APPANAH S., KHOO, K.C. (Eds.).
 Proceedings of fifth round-table conference on dipterocarps. Chiang Mai. 7-10 November 1994. pp. 92-101.
- ZHU, H. and WANG, H. 1992. Notes on the two species of family Dipterocarpaceae found in Xishuangbana. Acta Botanica Yunnanica 14(1): 21-26.
APPENDICES

									·hcL														-		net	8	I
Sheries	Ahil		Cfol			Inn!	11		2	Hinf	L.					Mer	1		P.	Ins	Ľ	Įuu			Alu		ĺ
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 10 1	1 12	13	14	15 1	6 1	7 18	3 19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26 2	2 2	8 2	9 3	0	31.3	22 3	33 3	4 3;	36	37	38
1 Anisoptera costata	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0) 1	0	1	0	[]	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0		C	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
2 Anisoptera marginata	$1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0) 1	0	1	0	_	1	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	0	_	0		0	0	1	_	-	0	-	-
3 Anisoptera reticulata	$1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0) 1	0	1	0	_	1	1	0	-	-	0	-	0	0	_	0		C	0	-	_	-	0	-	-
4 Cotylelobium lanceolatum	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0) 1	0	1	0	_	1	-	0	1	-	0	-	0	0	1	-		C	0	1	-	-	0	-	-
5 Dipterocarpus grandiflorus	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0) 1	0	0	_	0	1	1	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	1	-	_	1	0	1	_	-	0	-	-
6 Dipterocarpus oblongifolius	5 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0) 1	0	0	_)	1	-	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	-	-	_	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
7 Dipterocarpus retusus	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0) 1	0	0	-	0	1	-	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	-	_	1	0	1	-	-	0	-	-
8 Dipterocarpus rigidus	1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0	0	0	0	-		1	-	0	0	-	1	0	0	0	-	-	_	1	0	1	_	-	0	-	-
9 Dipterocarpus tempehes	1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0) 1	0	0	_	0	1	-	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	-	-	_	1	0	1	_	-	0	-	-
10 Dryobalanops aromatica	$1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0) 1	0	0	0	_	1	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	1	-		C	0	1	-	-	0	-	-
11 Dryobalanops lanceolata	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0) 1	0	0	0	_	1	1	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	1	-		0	0	1	_	-	0	-	-
12 Hopea bancana	1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0	1 0	-	0	0	_	1	1	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		0	_	-	_	-	0	-	1
13 Hopea celebica	1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0	1 0	-	0	0	_	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		0	-	1	_	-	0	-	-
14 Hopea dryobalanoides	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	1	-	0	0	_	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		0	0	1	_	-	0	-	-
15 Hopea griffithii	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	1	-	0	0	_	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		0	0	1	_	-	0	-	-
16 Hopea mengarawan	1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0	1	-	0	0	_	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		0	0	-	1	1	0	-	-
17 Hopea nigra	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	1 0	1	-	0	0	_	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		С	0	-	_	-	0	-	-
18 Hopea odorata	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	1	-	0	0	_	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		0	-	1	_	-	0	-	-
19 Hopea sangal	11222	0 0	1	-	0	0	_	1	1	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		C	-	-	_	-	0	-	-
20 Monotes kerstingii	1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1	0 1 0) 1	0	0	0	_	1	1	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	_	0	_	1	0	-	_	-	0	-	0
21 Parashorea globosa	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	1 0	-	0	-		1	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	1	-		C	0	1	_	-	0	-	-
22 Parashorea lucida	1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0	1	-	0	-		1	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		С	-	-	_	-	0	-	-
23 Shorea acuminata	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	1	-	0	_		1	1	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		C	0	-	_	-	0	-	-
24 Shorea andulensis	1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0	1 0	-	0	-		1	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	C	0	-	_	-	0	-	-
25 Shorea acuminatissima	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	1 0	-	0	-		1	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	1	-	_	1	-	1	_	-	0	-	-
26 Shorea balangeran	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	1 0	-	0	-	0	1	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	1	1		C	0	1	-	-	0	-	-
27 Shorea blumutensis	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	1	-	0	_		1	1	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		C	0	-	_	-	0	-	-
28 Shorea dasyphylla	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	1	-	0	_		1	1	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	_	1	-	-	_	-	0	-	-
29 Shorea faguetiana	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	1	-	0	_	0	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	_	_	-	1	_	-	0	-	1
30 Shorea fallax	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0	0 1		0	0	_	1		0	-		0	-	1	0	1	1	-	C	1	1	1	1	0		-
(Continued)																											

																		pe	tB																
Sp	ecies	CfoI		ł	Hae	III									H	linfl										R_{S}	al					Msp	lί		
		39	40	41	42	2 43	3 4	4,	5 46	5 47	7 48	3 49	50) 51	52	2 53	\$ 54	1 55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	. 02	71	2
1 An	isoptera costata	1	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	0
2 An	isoptera marginata	1	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	-	0	0	-	0	Ξ	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	0
3 An	isoptera reticulata	1	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	1	-	0	0	0	1	0	-	0	0	-	0	Η	0	-	-	0	0	1	-	0	0	-	0
4 <i>Co</i>	vtylelobium lanceolatum	1	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	-	0	0	-	0	Η	0	-	-	0	0	1	-	0	0	-	0
$5 Di_{1}$	pterocarpus grandiflorus	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	1	0	-	0	0	-	0	Ξ	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
$6 Di_{1}$	pterocarpus oblongifolius	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	1	0	-	0	0	-	0	Ξ	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
$7 Di_1$	pterocarpus retusus	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	1	0	-	0	0	-	0	Ξ	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
8 Di	pterocarpus rigidus	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	-	0	0	-	0	Ξ	0	-	-	0	0	1	-	0	0	-	-
$9 Di_1$	pterocarpus tempehes	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	0	1	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	—
10 Dr	yobalanops aromatica	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	-	0	0	Ξ	0	-	-	0	0	1	-	0	0	-	-
11 Dr	yobalanops lanceolata	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	Γ	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	μ	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
$12 H_G$	ppea bancana	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	
$13 H_G$	ppea celebica	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	1	Γ	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	, ,
$14 H_G$	opea dryobalanoides	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	1	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	μ	-	Ξ	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	1
15 Hc	opea griffithii	1	-	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	1	-	-	-	0	0	1	1	0	-	0	—
16 Hc	opea mengarawan	1	-	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	1	-	-	-	0	0	1	1	0	-	0	—
$17 H_{c}$	pea nigra	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
18 Hc	pea odorata	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
19 Hc	opea sangal	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	Ξ	-	-	-	0	0	1	-	0	-	0	—
20 Mc	onotes kerstingii	1	-	0	1	1	0	0	-	'	ľ	'	'	'	'	ı	'	'	'	ľ	'	ľ	'	μ	μ	μ	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	0	—
21 Pa	rashorea globosa	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	—
22 Pa	rashorea lucida	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	'	1	ľ	'	1	1	I	ľ	1	'	1	'	1	•	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	—
23 Sh	orea acuminata	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-
$24 Sh_{1}$	orea andulensis	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	Ξ	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	1
25 Sh	orea acuminatissima	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	1	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	—
26 Sh	orea balangeran	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	
27 Sh	orea blumutensis	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
28 Sh	orea dasyphylla	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	
$29 Sh_{\rm c}$	orea faguetiana	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	, ,
$30 Sh_{\rm c}$	orea fallax	1			0	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	1	0	
(Contin	(pənu	1	1	1	1	I	I	1	I	1	I	1	I	I	1	1	1	I		1		1	1	1	1		1		1		1	1		1	i i

						pe	tB				\square											-	L L	saA			-								
	Species					Ta	dI						G	I_{o^j}				I	Hae	III				Η	infl						Msp				ĺ
		73	74	75 .	767	LL	8 75	80	81	82 8	3 8.	4 85	86	87	88	89 5	0 9	1 92	2 93	94	95	96	979	8 99	9 10	0 10)1 1(02 10	03 1(04 1()5 1()6 1(7 1()8 1(6(
-	Anisoptera costata	-	-	0	-	1	0 (0	0	-	0 1	-	0	Ξ	0	-	1	- 1	-	0	0	0	1	0	-	-		_	-	0	0	-	Ŭ	_	
2	Anisoptera marginata	-	-	0	-	0	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	-		_	-	0	0	-	Ŭ	_	_
e	Anisoptera reticulata	-	-	0	-	1	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	1	_	_	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	-		_	-	0	0	-	Ŭ	_	_
4	Cotylelobium lanceolatum	-	-	0	-	1	0 (0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	0	-	_	- 1	-	0	0	0	1	0	-	-		_	-	0	0	_	Ŭ	_	_
S	Dipterocarpus grandiflorus	-	-	0	0	1 (0 (-	0	0	1	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	0	_	-	_		-	0	0	-	Ŭ	_	_
9	Dipterocarpus oblongifolius	-	-	0	0	1 (0 (-	0	0	1	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	—	_	0	-	0	0	-	Ŭ	-	_
2	Dipterocarpus retusus	-	-	0	0	1 (0 (-	0	0	1	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	—	_	0	-	0	0	-	Ŭ	-	_
×	Dipterocarpus rigidus	-	-	0	0	1 (0 (-	0	0	1	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	0	_	-	_		-	0	0	-	Ŭ	_	_
6	Dipterocarpus tempehes	-	-	0	0	1	0 (-	0	0	1	1	0	-	0	1	1	- 1	-	0	0	0	1	0	-	-	_	0	-	0	0	-	Ŭ	_	_
10	Dryobalanops aromatica	-	-	0	0	0 1		0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	_	-	_		-	0	0	-	Ŭ	_	_
11	Dryobalanops lanceolata	-	-	0	0	0 1		0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	_	-	_		-	0	0	-	Ŭ	_	_
12	Hopea bancana	-	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	1	_	_	-	0	-	0	1	0	-	-	_	0	-	0	-	_	<u> </u>	-	
13	Hopea celebica	-	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	1	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	_		-	0	-	_	<u> </u>	-	_
14	Hopea dryobalanoides	-	-	0	0	0 1		0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	—	_	0	-	0	-	_	<u> </u>	-	_
15	Hopea griffithii	ı	ī	ī	ī			ľ	ī	ī	-	-	0	-	-	-	_	- 1	-	0	-	0	1	0	-	-	_	0	-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
16	Hopea mengarawan	-	-	0	0	1	0 (0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	-	-	_	- 1	-	0	0	0	1	0	-	-	_	0	-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
17	Hopea nigra	-	-	0	0	0 1	-	0	0	-	0 1	1	0	-	-	1	1	- 1	-	0	1	0	1	0	-	-	_	0	-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
18	Hopea odorata	-	-	0	0	0	_	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	_	_	-	0	-	0	1	0	-	-	_	_	-	0	_	_	~	_	_
19	Hopea sangal	-	-	0	0	0 1		0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	_	-	_		-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
20	Monotes kerstingii	-	-	0	0	0 1	_	0	0	-	0 1	0	-	0	0	-	_	- 1	0	-	0	0	1) 1	0	_	<u> </u>	0	_	1	0	<u> </u>	_	_	
21	Parashorea globosa	-	-	0	0	0 1	1	0	0	-	0 1	1	0	-	-	1	1	- 1	-	0	1	0	1	0	-	-	_	0	-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
22	Parashorea lucida	-	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	1	_	-	0	-	0	1	0	-	—	_	0	-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
23	Shorea acuminata	-	-	-	0	0	_	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	1	_	_	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	_	0	-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
24	Shorea andulensis	-	-	-	0	0 1		0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	_	-	_		-	0		_	<u> </u>	_	_
25	Shorea acuminatissima	-	-	0	0	0 1	-	0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	Г	-	_	_	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	_		-	0	-	_	<u> </u>	-	_
26	Shorea balangeran	-	-	0	0	0 1		0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	-	-	_	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	_		-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	-	_
27	Shorea blumutensis	-	-	0	0	0 1		0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	_	-	_		-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
28	Shorea dasyphylla	-	-	0	0	0 1		0	0	-	0 1	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	_	-	_		-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
29	Shorea faguetiana	-	-	-	0	0	_	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	_	-	0	-	0	1	0	-	-	_		-	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_
30	Shorea fallax	1	0	0	0	0 1	0	0	0	1	0 1	-	0	-	-	-	1	[]	1	0	1	0	1 (0 (1	-	_	0	1	0	()	(_
(C_0)	intinued)																																		

							Y ~							1-1						1				0	C	y	01
						ĥ	HD						P,	NDA					ILLI	5				<u>.</u>	cmp2	ccmpo	сстри
Species			Rsa	_					Ta	dI			M	Iqs			Hinf				Ι	aql					
	110 1	111	12 1	13 1	14 1	15 1	161	171	18 11	9 12	0 12	1 12.	2 123	3 124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133 1	34	135	136	137
1 Anisoptera costata	1	_	C	1	1	1	0	1	0 0) 1	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	ε	З	4
2 Anisoptera marginata		_	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	-	1	0	-	-	0	0	1	Э	e	4
3 Anisoptera reticulata		_	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	-	1	0	-	-	0	0	1	Э	0	4
4 Cotylelobium lanceolatum	-	_	C	1	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	1	0	Ч	ľ	-	-	1	-	1	ī	1	0	9	4	5
5 Dipterocarpus grandiflorus	0	_	C	1	1	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	ς	-	1	-	0	-	-	0	0	1	0	З	ŝ
6 Dipterocarpus oblongifolius	-	_	C	1	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	ŝ	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	1	1	Э	с
7 Dipterocarpus retusus	-	_	C	1	-	1	0	1	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	m	-	-	-	0	-	1	0	0	1	7	З	б
8 Dipterocarpus rigidus	-	_	C	1	-	1	0	1	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	m	-	-	-	0	-	1	0	0	1	1	З	б
9 Dipterocarpus tempehes	-	_	C	-	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	ς	-	-	-	0	-	1	0	0	1	7	З	С
10 Dryobalanops aromatica	0	_	C	1	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	-	0	-	-	0	0	1	0	0	0	щ	Э	4
11 Dryobalanops lanceolata	0	_	C	1	1	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	ε	З	4
12 Hopea bancana	-		1	1	-	1	0	1	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	-	-	0	-	1	0	0	0	ε	З	8
13 Hopea celebica	-		1	1	1	1	0	1	1 0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	1	1	0	-	1	0	0	0	ε	З	7
14 Hopea dryobalanoides		_	-	1	1	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	-	Ч	0	-	1	0	-	-	0	0	0	4	e	7
15 Hopea griffithii	-		-	1	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	С	-	-	-	0	ε	1	0	0	0	4	Э	٢
16 Hopea mengarawan			1	1	1	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	-	-	0	$\boldsymbol{\omega}$	-	0	0	0	5	Э	7
17 Hopea nigra			, _	1	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	Ч	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	4	Э	7
18 Hopea odorata	-		-	1	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	-	-	0	-	1	0	0	0	4	Э	9
19 Hopea sangal	-	_	1	1	1	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	З	7
20 Monotes kerstingii	-			0	0	1	1	-	1 0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	-	-	0	μ	0	-	0	0	9	Э	0
21 Parashorea globosa		_	C	1	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	Ч	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	Э	Э	4
22 Parashorea lucida		_	C	1	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	Ч	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	Э	Э	4
23 Shorea acuminata		_	0	1	1	1	0	-	1 0	0	-	0	0	-	Ч	0	-	1	0	-	-	0	0	0	Э	4	4
24 Shorea andulensis		_	0	1	1	1	0	-	1 0	0	-	0	0	-	Ч	0	-	1	0	-	-	0	0	0	Э	4	4
25 Shorea acuminatissima		_	0	1	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	-	С	0	-	-	0	-	1	0	0	0	Э	Э	4
26 Shorea balangeran		_	0	-	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	-	0	0	1	2	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	ε	4	4
27 Shorea blumutensis		_	0	1	1	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	1	1	0	-	-	0	0	0	ε	-	4
28 Shorea dasyphylla		_	0	1	-	1	0	-	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	ε	Э	4
29 Shorea faguetiana		_	0	-	-	1	0	1	1 C	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	-	-	0	-	1	0	0	0	ε	З	4
30 Shorea fallax	1		0	1	-	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	-	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5	4	4
(Continued)		1	1	1	1					1	1		l.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1			1	1	

																					_				
Species	IulA	Cł	Ic	Η	aeIII			Η	Чų					M_S	Ιa		R	saI	T_{c}	aqI	-		Alu	Γ	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 10 11	12 13	14 15	5 16	17	18	19 2	0 2	1 22	23	24	25	26	27	28 2	3 6	0	31 3	32 3	3 3.	4 35	36	37	38
31 Shorea guiso	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0 1	0	1	1	1	0 1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	_	1	0	-	-
32 Shorea javanica	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	-	1	-	0	1	0	-	-	0	1		0	0	0	-	_	1	0	-	-
33 Shorea johorensis	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0 1	0 1	0	0	1	-	-	0	1	0	Γ	0	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	1
34 Shorea leprosula	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	Γ	-	-	0	1	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	_	_	1	0	-	-
35 Shorea materialis	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	-	1	1	_	0	1	0	-	-	0	-	_	0	0	-	_	_	-	0	-	1
36 Shorea macrophylla	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	-	1	_	0	1	0	-	-	0	1	-	0	0	0	_	_	1	0	-	-
37 Shorea macroptera	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	-	-	_	0	1	0	-	-	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	-
38 Shorea mecistopteryx	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	-	-	_	0	1	0	-	-	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	-
39 Shorea montigena	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	1	1	_	0	1	0	-	-	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	1
40 Shorea multiflora	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	-	1	_	0	_	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	1	_	_	_	1	0	-	-
41 Shorea ovalis	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	-	-	_	0	1	0	-	-	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	-
42 Shorea palembanica	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	1	1	_	0	1	0	-	-	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	1
43 Shorea parvifolia	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0 1	0 1	0	0	1	-	-	0	1	0	-	-	0	-		0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	1
44 Shorea pinanga	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0 1	0 1	0	0	1	-	-	0	1	0	Γ	-	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	1
45 Shorea platyclados	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0 1	0 1	0	0	1	-	-	0	1	0	Γ	-	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	1
46 Shorea scaberrima	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	Γ	-	-	0	1	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	_	_	1	0	-	-
47 Shorea selanica	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0 1	0 1	0	0	1	-	-	0	1	0	Γ	-	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	1
48 Shorea seminis	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	-	-	-	0	1	0	Ξ	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	-
49 Shorea splendida	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	1	1		0	1	0	-	-	0	1	-	0	0	0	-	_	-	0	-	1
50 Shorea stenoptera	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	-	-	-	0	1	0	Ξ	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	-
51 Shorea virescens	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	1	1	1		0	1	0	-	-	0	1	-	0	0	-	-	_	-	0	-	1
52 Shorea xanthophylla	$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	$0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 1	0	0	1	1		0	1	0	-	-	0	1	-	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	1
53 Upuna borneensis	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0 0	1 0	1 0	1	1	-	-	1	_	0	Γ	0	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	0	-	0	1
54 Vatica bantamensis	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0 0	1 0	1 0	1	1	-	-	0	1	0	Γ	0	0	-	_	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	1
55 Vatica bella	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1$	0 0 0	1 0	1 0	-	-	-	-	0	1	0	Ξ	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	_	_	-	0	-	-
56 Vatica granulata	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0$	0 0 0	1 0	1 0	1	1	1		0	1	0	-	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	_	_	0	0	-	1
57 Vatica pauciflora	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0$	0 0 0	1 0	1 0	1	1	-		0	1	0	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	_	_	0	0	-	1
58 Vatica rassak	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0$	0 0 0	1 0	1 0	-	μ	-	-	0	1	0	1	0	0	1		0	0	0	_	_	0	0	-	-
59 Vatica venulosa	$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0$	0 0 0 0	1 0	1 0	-	1	-	-	0 1	1	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	-	
(Continued)																									

	\geq	2
	D	
	H	
	S	
	<u> </u>	
	쥰	
	Б	
	Ð	
	ğ)
,	2	
	\geq	2
,	년	
	-	
	Б	
1	4	
	Ś	
,	Ξ	
	2	
	ð	
	ч	
	\mathbf{S}	
	\mathbf{v}	
,	2	2
	В	
	П	
	Ř	
	S	
	$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$	
	5	
,	_	
	Ы	
	ਸ਼	
	~	
	4	
	⇐	
	Ч	
	8	1
	0	
	_	
	Ξ	
	mo.	
,	from	
,	a from	
,	ata from	
,	data from	
	d data from	
	ed data from	
	med data from	
	ormed data from	
	formed data from	
	isformed data from	
	ansformed data from	
•	ransformed data from	
•	Transformed data from	
	. Transformed data from	
· · ·	1. Transformed data from	
	ix 1. Transformed data from	
	dix 1. Transformed data from	
· · ·	andix 1. Transformed data from	
· · ·	pendix 1. Transformed data from	
· · ·	ppendix 1. Transformed data from	
•	Appendix 1. Transformed data from	

																d	etB																
Species	CfoI		Η	$ae \Pi$	п								7	Hinj	ł									R	sal					Tag	Ιł		
	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48 ,	49	50 5	51 5	52 5	3 5	4 5	5 5	6 5	7 5	8 5) 60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	20	71 、	2
31 Shorea guiso	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1) (0	(_	0	0	1	Γ	-	-	0	0	Ξ	-	0	-	0	-
32 Shorea javanica	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	1) (0	<u> </u>	_	_	0	1	-	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	1	0	-
33 Shorea johorensis	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1) (0	(_	0	0	1	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
34 Shorea leprosula	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	1) (0	C	_	_	0	1	-	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	1	0	-
35 Shorea materialis	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1) (0	(_	_	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
36 Shorea macrophylla	-	1	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1) (0		_	0	0	1	1	1	-	0	0	-	1	0	1	0	1
37 Shorea macroptera	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	1) (0	C	_	_	0	1	-	1	-	0	0	ı	·				
38 Shorea mecistopteryx	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1) (0	(_	0	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-
39 Shorea montigena	1	Г	-	0	0	1	0	-	ı	ī	,						,	,			'	1	-	-	-	0	0	ı	ī		ī		
40 Shorea multiflora	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1) () ((_	_	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	μ	-	0	-	0	_
41 Shorea ovalis	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1) () ((_	0	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	μ	0	-	-	0	_
42 Shorea palembanica	1	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1) () ((_	0	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	μ	0	-	-	0	_
43 Shorea parvifolia	1	Г	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1) () ((_	0	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	Ξ	0	-	Г	0	_
44 Shorea pinanga	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1) (0	(_	0	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
45 Shorea platyclados	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	1) (0	C	_	_	0	1	-	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	1	0	-
46 Shorea scaberrima	-	1	-	0	0	1	0	-	ı			ı							÷		'	1	1	1	-	0	0	ı	·	ı			
47 Shorea selanica	-	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	Ļ	-	0	0	0	0	1) (C	_	0	0	0	1	-	1	-	0	0	Ξ	-	0	-	0	1
48 Shorea seminis	1	-	-	0	0	1	-	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	1) (0	<u> </u>	_	_	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	-	1	0	1	0	-
49 Shorea splendida	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	1) (0	<u> </u>	_	_	0	1	-	1	-	0	0	-	1	0	1	0	-
50 Shorea stenoptera	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	1) C	0		_	0	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	μ	-	0	-	0	-
51 Shorea virescens	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	1) (0)	0	_	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	1	0	-
52 Shorea xanthophylla	1	-	-	0	0	1	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	1	Č	0	C	_	_	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	0	-
53 Upuna borneensis	1	-	0	-	0	1	0	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	Č	0	_	0	_	0	1	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	-	0
54 Vatica bantamensis	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	C C	_	0	_	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-
55 Vatica bella	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	C	_	0	_	0	1	0	-	0	0	0	Ξ	-	0	0	-	-
56 Vatica granulata	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	_	0	_	0	1	0	-	0	0	0	-	-	0	0	1	-
57 Vatica pauciflora	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	_	0	-	0	1	0	-	0	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
58 Vatica rassak	1	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	1	0	-	0	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	_
59 Vatica venulosa	1			0	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0		0)	-	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	-	0	0	1	_
(Continued)																																	

	,										ľ										
	petB										psaA										1
Species	TaqI			CfoI			Нае	III			Η	infl					Msp	Ι			1
	73 74 75 76 77 78 79 8	0 81 82 83	84 85 8	86 87 8	8 89	90.91	92 93	946	95 96	97	98 99) 100	101	102	103	104]	05 1	06 1(110	8 10	6
31 Shorea guiso	1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	1	0 0	1	1	ı	ı	ī	,				
32 Shorea javanica	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	י ו	י י	ŀ	-	-	0 0	-	-	0	-	0	1	-	0) 1	
33 Shorea johorensis	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1	0	1 0	-	0 0	-	-	0	-	0	1	-	0) 1	
34 Shorea leprosula	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	-	0 0	1	1	0	1	0	1	-	0) 1	
35 Shorea materialis	1 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	Ξ	0 0	-	-	0	1	0	0	-	0		
36 Shorea macrophylla	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 1	Ξ	0 0	-	-	0	1	0	1	-	0		
37 Shorea macroptera	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	Ξ	0 0	-	-	0	1	0	1	-	0		
38 Shorea mecistopteryx	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	Ξ	0 0	1	1	0	1	0	1	_) (. 1	
39 Shorea montigena	· · ·	י י י	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	1	0 0	1	1	0	1	0	1	-	0		
40 Shorea multiflora	1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	1	0 0	1	1	0	1	0	0	-	0		
41 Shorea ovalis	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	Ξ	0 0	-	-	0	1	0	1	-	0		
42 Shorea palembanica	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	1	0 0	1	1	0	1	0	1	-	0	1	
43 Shorea parvifolia	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	1	0 0	1	1	0	1	0	1	-	0		
44 Shorea pinanga	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 1	Ξ	0 0	-	-	0	1	0	1	-	0		
45 Shorea platyclados	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	μ	0 0	1	-	ı	ı	ī	ı		ż		
46 Shorea scaberrima	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1	0	1 0	1	0 0	1	-	0	-	0	-	-	0		
47 Shorea selanica	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1	0	1 1	-	0 0	-	-	0	-	0	1	-	0) 1	
48 Shorea seminis	1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 0	μ	0 0	1	-	0	1	0	0	-	<u> </u>)	
49 Shorea splendida	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1	0	1 0	1	0 0	1	-	0	-	0	-	-	0		
50 Shorea stenoptera	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0	1 1	μ	0 0	1	-	0	1	0	1	-	<u> </u>)	
51 Shorea virescens	1 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1	0	1 0	1	0 0	1	-	0	-	0	0	-	0		
52 Shorea xanthophylla	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1	0	1 0	1	0 0	1	-	0	-	0	-	-	0		
53 Upuna borneensis	1 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1	1 1	0 1	0 1	1 1	1	0	0 0	-	0 0	-	-	1	-	0	0	0	_) 1	
54 Vatica bantamensis	1 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1	1 1	0 1	0 1	1 1	1	0	0 0	-	1 0	-	-	1	-	0	0	0	_) 1	
55 Vatica bella	1 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1	1 1	0 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	0	0 0	μ	1 0	1	-	1	1	0	0	0	_)	
56 Vatica granulata	1 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1	1 1	0 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	0	0 0	μ	1 0	1	-	1	1	0	0	0	_)	
57 Vatica pauciflora	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 1	1 1	0 1	0 1	1 1	1	0	0 0	-	1 0	1	-	1	1	0	0	0	_		
58 Vatica rassak	1 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1	1 1	0 1	0 1	1	1 1	0	0 0	-	1 0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	_		
59 Vatica venulosa	1 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1	1 1	0 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	0	0 0	1	1 0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0) 1	
(Continued)																					

⊢				DS:U	P.					ls u	hA			1	4	rnLF				100	nn2 C	gumo	ccmp10
Rsal	al.			hud		L	aqI			MS	Ids		H_{I}	infl	`			Tag		5	2 - A-	odius	rdaunn
10 111 112 113 114 115	113 114 115	114 115	13	11	6 1 1 7	118 1	191	20 12	21 12	2 123	124	125 1	26 1	27 1	28 12	29 13(0 131	132	133	134 1	35	136	137
1 1 0 1 1	1 1 1	1 1	_	0	1	1	0	0	0 (0	1	7	0	1	1 0) 1	-	0	0	0	3	2	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1	_	-	'	ı	1	1 1	'	0	1	0	0	1	1 0) 1	1	0	0	0	e	e	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-		1 0	-	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1 0)	-	0	0	0	æ	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-		1 0	-	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	1	1 0)	-	0	0	0	æ	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1		1 0	0	1	-	0	0 (0	1	0	0	1	1 C	6	1	0	0	0	5	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-		1 0	-	1	0	0 1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1 0) 1	1	0	0	0	Э	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-	_	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1)	1	0	0	0	ŝ	ŝ	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-	_	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1)	1	0	0	0	ŝ	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-	_	0	-	-	0	0	0 (0	1	0	0	1	1 0)	-	0	0	0	4	4	4
1 1 0 1 1 1	1 1	1	_	0	-	1	0	0	0 (0	1	0	0	1	1 0) 1	1	0	0	0	Э	Э	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1	_	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1 0) 1	1	0	0	0	Э	e	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1		1 0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1 0) 1	1	0	0	0	Э	5	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-		1 0	-	-	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1 0) 1	-	0	0	0	3	5	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-		1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1)	1	0	0	0	ŝ	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1	_	0	-	1	0	0 1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1) 1	1	0	0	0	e	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1	_	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	- 1	1	0	0	0	ε	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1		1 0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	e	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1		1 0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1 (0	-	0	0	0	ŝ	1	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-	· · ·	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1)	1	0	0	0	ŝ	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1		1 0	-	1	0	0 1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1) 1	1	0	0	0	e	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1		1 0	0	-	-	0	0	0	1	0	0	-	1	6	-	0	0	0	5	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-		1 0	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	-	1)	-	0	0	0	Э	4	4
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-	_	0	-	1	0	0	0 (-	0	0	0	1	1 0)	-	0	-	1	æ	e	4
1 1 0 1 1 1	1 1 1	1	-	0	1	1	0	0	0 (-	0	б	0	0	1 C) 1	0	1	0	0	e	ε	-
$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1$	1 1 1	1 1	-	0	-	-	0	0	0 (-	1	ŝ	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	e	ε	-
1 1 0 1 1 1	1 1 1	1	_	0	-	-	0	0	0 (-	1	ŝ	0	0	1 0) 1	0	1	0	0	e	ε	0
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	-		1 0	-	1	0	0	0 (-	1	Э	0	0	1 C) 1	0	1	0	0	3	ε	-
1 1 0 1 1	1 1	1		1 0	-		0	0	0 (-	1	ε	0	0	1) 1	0	-	0	0	e	ε	1
1 1 0 1 1	1 1			1 0	1	-	0	0	0	, -	-	ſ	C	C	1	-	0	-	0	0	ŝ	ſ	1

Appendix 2. The groups of taxa revealed by PCR-RFLP of cpDNA

Primer rbcL, enzyme AluI:

- 1. Anisoptera
- 2. Dryobalanops
- 3. Hopea sangal
- 4. other Hopea
- 5. Monotes kerstingii kerstingii, Parashorea lucida, Shorea fallax, Shorea materialis, Shorea parvifolia, Shorea virescens, Dipterocarpus tempehes
- 6. Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea dasyphylla, Shorea faguetiana, Shorea multiflora, Upuna borneensis, Vatica bantamensis, Vatica bella
- 7. Vatica granulata, Vatica pauciflora, Vatica rassak, Vatica venulosa
- 8. Cotylelobium lanceolatum, other Dipterocarpus, Parashorea lucida, other Shorea

Primer rbcL, enzyme CfoI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii
- 2. Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Anisoptera
- 3. Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops
- 4. Hopea nigra
- 5. other Hopea
- 6. Shorea, Parashorea

Primer rbcL, enzyme HaeIII:

- Monotes kerstingii, Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Anisoptera, Dryobalanops
- 2. Dipterocarpus, Shorea materialis, Shorea fallax, Shorea virescens, Parashorea
- 3. other Shorea

Primer rbcL, enzyme HinfI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii, Dryobalanops, Hopea, Shorea multiflora, Shorea dasyphylla, Shorea acuminatissima
- 2. Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Anisoptera, Vatica, other Shorea, Parashorea
- 3. Upuna borneensis
- 4. Dipterocarpus rigidus
- 5. other *Dipterocarpus*

Primer rbcL, enzyme MspI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii
- 2. Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Shorea johorensis
- 3. Anisoptera
- 4. Dipterocarpus
- 5. Dryobalanops
- 6. Hopea, other Shorea, Parashorea

Primer rbcL, enzyme RsaI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii, Dipterocarpus, Shorea multiflora, Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea dasyphylla, Shorea faguetiana
- 2. Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Anisoptera, Dryobalanops, Hopea, other Shorea, Parashorea

Primer rbcL, enzyme TaqI:

- Monotes kerstingii, Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Anisoptera, Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops, Hopea mengarawan, Hopea dryobalanoides, Hopea griffithii, Shorea fallax, Shorea multiflora, Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea virescens, Shorea materialis, Shorea faguetiana, Parashorea globosa
- 2. other Hopea, other Shorea, Parashorea lucida

Primer petB, enzyme AluI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii
- 2. Upuna borneensis
- 3. Hopea mengarawan
- 4. Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica bantamensis, Vatica bella, Anisoptera, Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops, other Hopea, Shorea, Parashorea
- 5. other Vatica

Primer petB, enzyme CfoI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii, Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Anisoptera, Dryobalanops, Hopea, Shorea, Parashorea
- 2. Dipterocarpus

Primer petB, enzyme HaeIII:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii
- 2. Upuna borneensis
- 3. Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Anisoptera, Dipterocarpus
- 4. Vatica, Dryobalanops, Hopea, Shorea fallax, Shorea materialis, Shorea virescens
- 5. other Shorea, Parashorea

Primer petB, enzyme HinfI:

- 1. Upuna borneensis
- 2. Cotylelobium lanceolatum
- 3. Vatica
- 4. Anisoptera reticulata
- 5. Anisoptera costata, Anisoptera marginata
- 6. Dipterocarpus
- 7. Dryobalanops
- 8. Hopea
- 9. Shorea virescens
- 10. Shorea seminis
- 11. Shorea fallax
- 12. Shorea balangeran, Shorea selanica
- 13. Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea dasyphylla, Shorea faguetiana, Shorea materialis, Shorea multiflora
- 14. other Shorea, Parashorea globosa

n/a: Monotes kerstingii kerstingii, Parashorea lucida, Shorea montigena, Shorea scaberrima

Primer petB, enzyme MspI:

- 1. Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Anisoptera
- 2. Monotes kerstingii, Shorea acuminata, Shorea andulensis, Shorea javanica, Shorea johorensis, Shorea leprosula, Shorea mecistopteryx, Shorea ovalis, Shorea palembanica, Shorea parvifolia, Shorea platyclados, Shorea xanthophylla
- 3. Vatica, Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops
- 4. Hopea, other Shorea, Parashorea

n.a: Shorea scaberrima, Shorea macroptera, Shorea montigena

Primer petB, enzyme RsaI:

- 1. Upuna borneensis
- 2. Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Anisoptera, Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops
- 3. Vatica
- 4. Shorea johorensis
- 5. Monotes kerstingii, Hopea, other Shorea, Parashorea

Primer petB, enzyme TaqI:

- 1. Upuna borneensis, Vatica
- 2. Anisoptera marginata
- 3. Cotylelobium lanceolatum, other Anisoptera
- 4. Dipterocarpus
- 5. Hopea mengarawan
- 6. Monotes kerstingii, Dryobalanops, other Hopea, Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea balangeran, Shorea blumutensis, Shorea dasyphylla, Shorea guiso, Shorea multiflora, Shorea seminis, Parashorea
- 7. Shorea fallax, Shorea materialis, Shorea virescens
- 8. other Shorea
- n.a: Hopea griffithii, Shorea montigena

Primer psaA, enzyme CfoI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii
- 2. Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Anisoptera, Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops
- 3. Shorea blumutensis
- 4. other Shorea, Hopea, Parashorea

Primer psaA, enzyme HaeIII:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii
- 2. Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Anisoptera, Dipterocarpus, Hopea mengarawan
- 3. Shorea macrophylla, Shorea pinanga, Shorea selanica, Shorea splendida, Shorea stenoptera
- 4. other Hopea, other Shorea, Dryobalanops, Parashorea
- n.a: Shorea javanica

Primer psaA, enzyme HinfI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii
- 2. Vatica
- 3. Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Anisoptera, Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops, Hopea, Shorea, Parashorea

Primer psaA, enzyme MspI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii
- 2. Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Anisoptera
- 3. Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops
- 4. Hopea, Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea blumutensis, Shorea dasyphylla, Shorea faguetiana, Shorea fallax, Shorea materialis, Shorea multiflora, Shorea seminis, Shorea virescens, Parashorea
- 5. other Shorea
- n.a.: Shorea platyclados, Shorea guiso

Primer psaA, enzyme RsaI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii
- 2. Dryobalanops, Dipterocarpus grandiflorus
- 3. Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Anisoptera, other Dipterocarpus, Shorea, Parashorea
- 4. Hopea

Primer psaA, enzyme TaqI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii
- 2. Shorea fallax, Shorea materialis, Shorea virescens
- 3. Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea bulumutensis, Shorea dasyphylla, Shorea faguetiana, Shorea guiso, Shorea montigena, Shoreamultiflora, Shorea scaberrima, Shorea seminis
- 4. Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Vatica, Dipterocarpus, Hopea, other Shorea, Parashorea
- 5. Anisoptera
- n.a.: Shorea javanica

Primer trnL-F, enzyme TaqI:

- 1. Upuna borneensis
- 2. Cotylelobium lanceolatum
- 3. Anisoptera, Dipterocarpus
- 4. Dryobalanops
- 5. Vatica bella
- 6. Monotes kerstingii, other Vatica
- 7. Hopea griffithii, Hopea mengarawan
- 8. Shorea materialis
- 9. Shorea virescens
- 10. other Hopea, other Shorea, Parashorea

Primer trnL-F, enzyme Hinf I:

- 1. Cotylelobium lanceolatum
- 2. Vatica
- 3. Anisoptera
- 4. Dipterocarpus
- 5. Dryobalanops aromatica
- 6. Hopea griffithii
- 7. Shorea leprosula
- 8. Shorea macrophylla, Shorea pinanga, Shorea splendida, Shorea stenoptera
- 9. Dryobalanops lanceolata, other Hopea, other Shorea, Parashorea, Monotes kerstingii, Upuna borneensis

Primer psbA, enzyme MspI:

- 1. Monotes kerstingii, Upuna borneensis, Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Anisoptera, Vatica bantamensis
- 2. other Vatica
- 3. Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops Hopea, Shorea, Parashorea

Appendix 3. Amplification length variation revealed by PCR of cpSSR

Primer ccmp2:

Length (bp)	Species
136	Dipterocarpus grandiflorus
146	Dipterocarpus oblongifolius, Dipterocarpus rigidus
147	Dipterocarpus retusus, Dipterocarpus tempehes
149	Upuna borneensis, Anisoptera spp., Vatica spp., Dryobalanops spp.,
	Hopea bancana, Hopea celebica, Parashorea, other Shorea
150	Shorea montigena, Hopea dryobalanoides, Hopea griffithii,
	Hopea nigra, Hopea odorata, Hopea sangal
151	Hopea mengarawan, Shorea fallax, Shorea materialis,
	Shorea virescens
155	Monotes kerstingii, Cotylelobium lanceolatum

Primer ccmp6:

Length (bp)	Species
86	Anisoptera reticulata
90	Shorea blumutensis, Shorea seminis
91	Shorea guiso
95	Monotes kerstingii, Upuna borneensis, Vatica spp., Anisoptera spp.,
	Dipterocarpus spp., Dryobalanops spp., Hopea spp, other Shorea spp.,
	Parashorea spp.
96	Cotylelobium lanceolatum, Shorea acuminata, Shorea andulensis, Shorea
	balangeran, Shorea fallax, Shorea johor, Shorea leprosula, Shorea
	materialis, Shorea macrophylla, Shorea mecistopteryx, Shorea montigena,
	Shorea pinanga, Shorea platyclados, Shorea scaberrima, Shorea selanica,
	Shorea splendida, Shorea stenoptera, Shorea virescens, Shorea xanthophylla
97	Shorea palembanica, Shorea parvifolia

Primer ccmp10:

Length (bp)	Species
92	Vatica granulata
93	Vatica bantamensis, Vatica bella, Vatica pauciflora, Vatica rassak, Vatica venulosa
94	Monotes kerstingii
96	Dipterocarpus spp.
99	Upuna, Anisoptera, Dryobalanops, Shorea, Parashorea
100	Cotylelobium lanceolatum
107	Hopea odorata
108	Hopea celebica, Hopea dryobalanoides, Hopea griffithii, Hopea mengarawan, Hopea nigra, Hopea sangal
109	Hopea bancana

Appendix 4. Haplotype revealed in phylogenetic study and population genetic study

Haplotype	Species
1	Anisoptera costata
2	Anisoptera marginata
3	Anisoptera reticulata
4	Cotylelobium lanceolatum
5	Dipterocarpus grandiflorus
6	Dipterocarpus oblongifolius
7	Dipterocarpus retusus
8	Dipterocarpus rigidus
9	Dipterocarpus tempehes
10	Dryobalanops aromatica
11	Dryobalanops lanceolata
12	Hopea bancana
13	Hopea celebica
14	Hopea dryobalanoides
15	Hopea griffithii
16	Hopea mengarawan
17	Hopea nigra
18	Hopea odorata
19	Hopea sangal
20	Parashorea globosa
21	Parashorea lucida
22	Shorea acuminata, Shorea andulensis, Shorea mecistopteryx, Shorea platyclados, Shorea xanthophylla
23	Shorea acuminatissima, Shorea dasyphylla, Shorea mutiflora
24	Shorea balangeran
25	Shorea blumutensis
26	Shorea faguetiana
27	Shorea fallax

Haplotype revealed in phylogenetic study:

(Continued)

Haplotype revealed in phylogenetic study:

Hanlatyna	Spacing
парютуре	opecies
28	Shorea guiso
29	Shorea johorensis
30	Shorea leprosula
31	Shorea materialis
32	Shorea macrophylla, Shorea pinanga, Shorea stenoptera
33	Shorea montigena
34	Shorea javanica, Shorea ovalis, Shorea macroptera
35	Shorea palembanica
36	Shorea parvifolia
37	Shorea scaberrima
38	Shorea selanica
39	Shorea seminis
40	Shorea splendida
41	Shorea virescens
42	Upuna borneensis
43	Vatica bantamensis
44	Vatica bella
45	Vatica granulate
46	Vatica pauciflora, Vatica rassak, Vatica venulosa
47	Monotes kerstingii

Haplotype revealed in population genetic study:

Haplotype	Species
29(*), 29b	Shorea johorensis
30	Shorea leprosula
34	Shorea ovalis
36(*), 36b, 36c	Shorea parvifolia

(*): common haplotype found among the populations

onal)
diago
ove
es (al
rence
diffe
acter
chara
nean
u pu
al) a
liagoi
ow d
s (be]
ence
liffer
cter (
chara
otal e
eae: t
rpac
eroca
Dipt
es of
speci
veen s
betw
ance
e dist
irwis
5. Pa
: xibr
Appei
7

Ň	Crootee	-	ç	"	~	ч	4	г	0	c	10	;	5	13	11	4	16	5	10	10	
	Anisoptera costata	• •	0.015	0.015	0.111	0.226	0.219	0.219	0.219	0.219	0.234	0.234	0.285	0.285 (.285 (.294 (0.270 (0.292 (0.292 (.292	0.415
2	Anisoptera marginata	7		0.029	0.126	0.241	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.285	0.285 (.285 (0.294 (0.285 (0.292 (0.292 (.292	0.415
ω	Anisoptera reticulata	7	4	·	0.119	0.241	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.248	0.248	0.299	0.299 (.299 (0.310	0.285 (0.307 (0.307 (.307	0.423
4	Cotylelobium lanceolatum	15	17	16	ı	0.193	0.185	0.185	0.185	0.200	0.237	0.230	0.289	0.289 (.281 ().282 (0.267 (0.289 (0.289 (.274	0.388
S	Dipterocarpus grandiflorus	31	33	33	26	ı	0.015	0.015	0.029	0.029	0.219	0.219	0.307	0.307 (.299 (0.278 (0.285 (0.307 (0.307 (.292	0.439
9	Dipterocarpus oblongifolius	30	32	32	25	0		0.007	0.015	0.022	0.226	0.226	0.299	0.299 (.292 (0.270	0.277 (0.299 (0.299 ().285	0.431
2	Dipterocarpus retusus	30	32	32	25	0	1		0.022	0.015	0.226	0.226	0.299	0.299 (.292 (0.270	0.277 (0.299 (0.299 ().285	0.431
8	Dipterocarpus rigidus	30	32	32	25	4	7	б	,	0.037	0.212	0.212	0.285	0.285 (.277 ().254 (0.263 (0.285 (0.285 (0.270	0.415
6	Dipterocarpus tempehes	30	32	32	27	4	ŝ	7	S	ı	0.226	0.226	0.299	0.299 (.292 (0.270 (0.277 (0.299 (0.299 (0.285	0.415
10	Dryobalanops aromatica	32	32	34	32	30	31	31	29	31	ı	0.007	0.175	0.175 (.175 (0.198 (0.212 (0.182 (0.182 ().168	0.358
11	Dryobalanops lanceolata	32	32	34	31	30	31	31	29	31	1	ı	0.168	0.168 (.168 (0.190 (0.204 (0.175 (0.175 (.161	0.350
12	Hopea bancana	39	39	41	39	42	41	41	39	41	24	23	ī	0.007 (.022 (0.040	0.066 (0.029 (0.015 (0.015	0.341
13	Hopea celebica	39	39	41	39	42	41	41	39	41	24	23	-		0.015 (0.032 (0.058 (0.022	0.015 (.007	0.341
14	Hopea dryobalanoides	39	39	41	38	41	40	40	38	40	24	23	ŝ	7		0.016 (0.051 (0.007	0.015 (00.007	0.333
15	Hopea griffithii	37	37	39	35	35	34	34	32	34	25	24	5	4	7		0.032 (0.024 (0.032 (0.024	0.384
16	Hopea mengarawan	37	39	39	36	39	38	38	36	38	29	28	6	8	٢	4	'	0.058 (0.066 (0.058	0.366
17	Hopea nigra	40	40	42	39	42	41	41	39	41	25	24	4	e	-	e	8	'	0.022	0.015	0.341
18	Hopea odorata	40	40	42	39	42	41	41	39	41	25	24	0	7	7	4	6	e	'	00.007	0.341
19	Hopea sangal	40	40	42	37	40	39	39	37	39	23	22	0	-	-	e	8	7	-	1	0.325
20	Monotes kerstingii	51	51	52	47	54	53	53	51	51	44	43	42	42	41	43	45	42	42	40	ı
21	Parashorea globosa	40	40	42	37	36	35	35	35	37	25	24	11	11	11	13	17	12	12	10	46
22	Parashorea lucida	34	34	35	32	33	32	32	32	30	21	20	8	8	10	12	16	11	6	٢	45
23	Shorea acuminata	46	46	47	41	42	41	41	41	43	31	30	17	17	17	18	23	18	18	16	48
24	Shorea andulensis	46	46	47	41	42	41	41	41	43	31	30	17	17	17	18	23	18	18	16	48
25	Shorea acuminatissima	41	41	43	40	37	36	36	34	36	26	25	10	10	12	14	18	13	11	10	44
26	Shorea balangeran	41	41	42	36	37	36	36	36	38	28	27	14	14	14	16	20	15	15	13	49
27	Shorea blumutensis	42	42	43	38	38	37	37	37	39	27	26	13	13	13	15	19	14	14	12	48
28	Shorea dasyphylla	41	41	43	40	37	36	36	34	36	26	25	10	10	12	14	18	13	11	10	44
29	Shorea faguetiana	42	42	44	41	38	37	37	35	37	27	26	11	11	13	14	19	14	12	11	45
30	Shorea fallax	40	40	41	36	41	40	40	40	38	29	28	15	15	16	16	19	17	15	13	47
(Co	ntinued)																				

Ap	pendix 5. Pairwise distance bet	ween s	species	of Dip	oteroca	rpaceae	e: total	charac	ter diff	erence	s (belo	w diag	onal) a	nd me	an cha	racter (liffereı	ices (al	oove di	agonal	(
No	Species	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
1	Anisoptera costata	0.292	0.276	0.336	0.336	0.299	0.299	0.307	0.299	0.307	0.292	0.295	0.331	0.336	0.328	0.307	0.328	0.305	0.336	0.274	0.299
2	Anisoptera marginata	0.292	0.276	0.336	0.336	0.299	0.299	0.307	0.299	0.307	0.292	0.295	0.331	0.336	0.328	0.307	0.328	0.305	0.336	0.274	0.299
ε	Anisoptera reticulata	0.307	0.285	0.343	0.343	0.314	0.307	0.314	0.314	0.321	0.299	0.302	0.347	0.343	0.336	0.314	0.336	0.321	0.343	0.274	0.314
4	Cotylelobium lanceolatum	0.274	0.264	0.304	0.304	0.296	0.267	0.281	0.296	0.304	0.267	0.268	0.328	0.304	0.311	0.281	0.304	0.287	0.304	0.212	0.296
Ś	Dipterocarpus grandiflorus	0.263	0.268	0.307	0.307	0.270	0.270	0.277	0.270	0.277	0.299	0.271	0.315	0.307	0.307	0.314	0.299	0.282	0.307	0.226	0.270
9	Dipterocarpus oblongifolius	0.255	0.260	0.299	0.299	0.263	0.263	0.270	0.263	0.270	0.292	0.264	0.306	0.299	0.299	0.307	0.292	0.275	0.299	0.217	0.263
2	Dipterocarpus retusus	0.255	0.260	0.299	0.299	0.263	0.263	0.270	0.263	0.270	0.292	0.264	0.306	0.299	0.299	0.307	0.292	0.275	0.299	0.217	0.263
∞	Dipterocarpus rigidus	0.255	0.260	0.299	0.299	0.248	0.263	0.270	0.248	0.255	0.292	0.264	0.306	0.299	0.299	0.307	0.292	0.275	0.299	0.217	0.248
6	Dipterocarpus tempehes	0.270	0.244	0.314	0.314	0.263	0.277	0.285	0.263	0.270	0.277	0.279	0.323	0.314	0.314	0.292	0.307	0.290	0.314	0.236	0.263
10	Dryobalanops aromatica	0.182	0.171	0.226	0.226	0.190	0.204	0.197	0.190	0.197	0.212	0.186	0.234	0.241	0.226	0.219	0.219	0.198	0.226	0.198	0.190
11	Dryobalanops lanceolata	0.175	0.163	0.219	0.219	0.182	0.197	0.190	0.182	0.190	0.204	0.178	0.226	0.234	0.226	0.212	0.219	0.191	0.219	0.189	0.182
12	Hopea bancana	0.080	0.065	0.124	0.124	0.073	0.102	0.095	0.073	0.080	0.109	0.093	0.121	0.139	0.131	0.109	0.124	0.107	0.124	0.113	0.073
13	Hopea celebica	0.080	0.065	0.124	0.124	0.073	0.102	0.095	0.073	080.0	0.109	0.093	0.121	0.139	0.131	0.109	0.124	0.107	0.124	0.113	0.073
14	Hopea dryobalanoides	0.080	0.081	0.124	0.124	0.088	0.102	0.095	0.088	0.095	0.117	0.093	0.121	0.139	0.131	0.117	0.124	0.107	0.124	0.094	0.088
15	Hopea griffithii	0.103	0.107	0.143	0.143	0.111	0.127	0.119	0.111	0.111	0.127	0.119	0.142	0.159	0.151	0.119	0.143	0.125	0.143	0.113	0.111
16	Hopea mengarawan	0.124	0.130	0.168	0.168	0.131	0.146	0.139	0.131	0.139	0.139	0.140	0.161	0.182	0.175	0.131	0.168	0.153	0.168	0.132	0.131
17	Hopea nigra	0.088	0.089	0.131	0.131	0.095	0.109	0.102	0.095	0.102	0.124	0.101	0.129	0.146	0.139	0.124	0.131	0.115	0.131	0.104	0.095
18	Hopea odorata	0.088	0.073	0.131	0.131	0.080	0.109	0.102	0.080	0.088	0.109	0.101	0.129	0.146	0.139	0.109	0.131	0.115	0.131	0.104	0.080
19	Hopea sangal	0.073	0.057	0.117	0.117	0.073	0.095	0.088	0.073	080.0	0.095	0.085	0.113	0.131	0.124	0.095	0.117	0.099	0.117	0.085	0.073
20	Monotes kerstingii	0.374	0.366	0.390	0.390	0.358	0.398	0.390	0.358	0.366	0.382	0.374	0.373	0.390	0.398	0.390	0.423	0.402	0.390	0.434	0.358

0.080 0.080 0.000 0.073

0.019 0.019 0.066

0.008 0.008

0.029 0.029 0.080

0.131 0.131

0.015 0.015

0.039 0.039 0.047

0.037 0.024

0.028

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.044 \\ 0.073 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.080 \\ 0.037 \\ 0.051 \end{array}$

0.023

0.044 0.073

0.102

0.051 0.081 0.007 0.007

0.058

0.0320.0640.0080.0080.0730.0480.0480.0480.0730.0730.0550.0650.0650.065

0.008

0.117 0.073 0.146 0.146 0.146 0.124 0.124 0.124 0.127 0.109

0.044

0.037

0.015 0.041 0.051 0.051

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.037\\ 0.049\\ 0.037\\ 0.037\\ 0.073\\ \end{array}$

0.037 0.024 0.080 0.080

0.044

0.044 0.073

0.024

Parashorea globosa

Parashorea lucida

0.073

ŝ

6 3 9

5 2 2 6 6

Shorea acuminatissima

Shorea andulensis

Shorea acuminata

Shorea balangeran Shorea blumutensis

Shorea dasyphylla

Shorea faguetiana Shorea fallax

29 30

0.057

0.051

0.081

0.089

0.035

0.033 0.073 0.073

0.024 0.080 0.080 0.000 0.007 0.109

0.080

0.080

0.095 0.088

0.047

0.007 -16

0.054

0.066

0.016

0.058

τ.

9

110 117

11 10 20

5 6 16

0.051

0.066

0.073 0.146

0.073

0.094

0.146

0.029

0.153

0.161

15

15

11 10 20

P 8 1

0.051

0.019 0.038 0.066

0.031 0.038 0.061 0.053 0.145

0.037

0.044 0.058 0.088 0.080

0.051

0.031

0.080

0.073

0.051 0.044

2

0.061

0.095 0.124 0.109 0.095 0.102

0.088

0.095

0.007

0.000

al)
gon
diag
ve
lbo
s (a
nce
erei
liff
er (
act
than
un c
nea
1 pr
) aı
nal
ago
/ di
low
(be
ces
enc
ffer
di
cter
ara
ch
otal
e: t
cea
pac
car
terc
Jipi
of I
es
i o o c
u st
veel
etv
Seb
tanc
dist
ise
ILM
Pai
ζ.
kibr
per

Appendix 5.	Pairwise distance bet	tween s	species	s of Di	pteroc	arpace	ae: tota	ll chara	icter di	fferenc	ses (be	low di	agonal)	and m	ean ch	aracter	differeı	nces (al	oove di	agonal
No Species		41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59
1 Anisopti	era costata	0.328	0.336	0.336	0.328	0.326	0.282	0.314	0.299	0.321	0.328	0.299	0.336	0.175	0.168	0.182	0.197	0.197	0.197	0.197
2 Anisopti	era marginata	0.328	0.336	0.336	0.328	0.326	0.282	0.314	0.299	0.321	0.328	0.295	0.336	0.175	0.168	0.182	0.197	0.197	0.197	0.197
3 Anisopti	era reticulata	0.343	0.343	0.343	0.336	0.333	0.282	0.321	0.307	0.328	0.336	0.307	0.343	0.190	0.168	0.182	0.197	0.197	0.197	0.197
4 Cotyleli	bium lanceolatum	0.311	0.311	0.326	0.304	0.291	0.235	0.281	0.274	0.296	0.304	. 0.274	0.304	0.170	0.156	0.170	0.185	0.185	0.185	0.185
5 Diptero	carpus grandiflorus	0.299	0.307	0.321	0.299	0.302	0.265	0.285	0.270	0.292	0.295	0.307	0.307	0.263	0.226	0.226	0.241	0.241	0.241	0.241
6 Diptero	carpus oblongifolius	0.292	0.299	0.314	0.292	0.295	0.256	0.277	0.263	0.285	0.292	0.299	0.299	0.255	0.219	0.219	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.234
7 Diptero	carpus retusus	0.292	0.299	0.314	0.292	0.295	0.256	0.277	0.263	0.285	0.292	0.299	0.299	0.255	0.219	0.219	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.234
8 Diptero	carpus rigidus	0.292	0.299	0.314	0.292	0.295	0.256	0.277	0.263	0.285	0.292	0.299	0.299	0.241	0.219	0.219	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.234
9 Diptero	carpus tempehes	0.307	0.314	0.299	0.307	0.310	0.274	0.292	0.277	0.299	0.307	0.285	0.314	0.255	0.219	0.219	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.234
10 Dryoba	lanops aromatica	0.219	0.226	0.226	0.219	0.217	0.179	0.219	0.204	0.212	0.219	0.212	0.226	0.255	0.248	0.241	0.263	0.263	0.263	0.263
11 Dryoba	lanops lanceolata	0.212	0.219	0.219	0.219	0.209	0.171	0.212	0.197	0.212	0.219	0.20	0.219	0.248	0.248	0.241	0.263	0.263	0.263	0.263
12 Hopea l	pancana	0.117	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.103	0.117	0.117	0.117	0.124	0.102	0.124	0.299	0.292	0.292	0.307	0.307	0.307	0.307
13 Hopea c	elebica	0.117	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.103	0.117	0.117	0.117	0.124	0.102	0.124	0.299	0.292	0.292	0.307	0.307	0.307	0.307
14 Hopea i	lryobalanoides	0.117	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.103	0.117	0.117	0.117	0.124	0.106	0.124	0.299	0.292	0.292	0.307	0.307	0.307	0.307
= 15 Hopea §	griffithii	0.135	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.144	0.123	0.135	0.143	0.135	0.143	0.111	0.143	0.294	0.286	0.278	0.302	0.302	0.302	0.302
° 16 Hopear	nengarawan	0.161	0.168	0.168	0.168	0.171	0.154	0.161	0.161	0.161	0.168	0.12	0.168	0.299	0.292	0.285	0.307	0.307	0.307	0.307
17 Hopea 1	uigra	0.124	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.132	0.111	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.131	0.117	0.131	0.307	0.299	0.299	0.314	0.314	0.314	0.314
18 Hopea (odorata	0.124	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.132	0.111	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.131	0.102	0.131	0.307	0.299	0.299	0.314	0.314	0.314	0.314
19 Hopea s	'angal	0.109	0.117	0.117	0.117	0.116	0.094	0.109	0.109	0.109	0.117	0.088	0.117	0.299	0.292	0.292	0.299	0.299	0.299	0.299
20 Monote.	s kerstingii	0.382	0.390	0.374	0.423	0.374	0.402	0.415	0.407	0.415	0.423	0.39(0.390	0.415	0.382	0.407	0.415	0.415	0.415	0.415
21 Parashe	nrea globosa	0.037	0.044	0.058	0.044	0.039	0.026	0.051	0.037	0.037	0.044	0.105	0.044	0.292	0.292	0.292	0.307	0.307	0.307	0.307
22 Parashe	nrea lucida	0.065	0.073	0.057	0.073	0.070	0.051	0.065	0.057	0.065	0.073	0.081	0.073	0.293	0.268	0.268	0.285	0.285	0.285	0.285
23 Shorea	acuminata	0.007	0.007	0.022	0.029	0.000	0.009	0.037	0.073	0.022	0.029	0.139	0.000	0.336	0.336	0.336	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350
24 Shorea	andulensis	0.007	0.007	0.022	0.029	0.000	0.009	0.037	0.073	0.022	0.029	0.139	0.000	0.336	0.336	0.336	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350
25 Shorea	acuminatissima	0.073	0.080	0.080	0.080	0.078	0.060	0.088	0.058	0.073	0.080	0.102	0.080	0.285	0.299	0.299	0.314	0.314	0.314	0.314
26 Shorea	balangeran	0.044	0.044	0.058	0.037	0.039	0.017	0.015	0.066	0.029	0.037	0.117	0.037	0.299	0.299	0.299	0.314	0.314	0.314	0.314
27 Shorea	blumutensis	0.051	0.051	0.066	0.051	0.047	0.034	0.058	0.037	0.044	0.051	0.117	0.051	0.307	0.307	0.307	0.321	0.321	0.321	0.321
28 Shorea	dasyphylla	0.073	0.080	0.080	0.080	0.078	0.060	0.088	0.058	0.073	0.080	0.102	0.080	0.285	0.299	0.299	0.314	0.314	0.314	0.314
29 Shorea	faguetiana	0.066	0.073	0.073	0.073	0.070	0.051	0.080	0.066	0.066	0.073	0.109	0.073	0.292	0.307	0.307	0.321	0.321	0.321	0.321
30 Shorea	fallax	0.153	0.153	0.139	0.146	0.147	0.111	0.139	0.131	0.139	0.146	0.022	0.146	0.292	0.277	0.277	0.292	0.292	0.292	0.292
(Continued)																				

No Species	1	7	3	4	S	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
31 Shorea guiso	38	38	39	34	35	34	34	34	36	24	23	12	12	12	14	18	13	13	11	43
32 Shorea javanica	41	41	43	40	39	38	38	38	40	29	28	15	15	15	16	20	16	16	14	41
33 Shorea johorensis	46	46	47	41	42	41	41	41	43	33	32	19	19	19	20	25	20	20	18	48
34 Shorea leprosula	45	45	46	42	42	41	41	41	43	31	31	18	18	18	19	24	19	19	17	49
35 Shorea materialis	42	42	43	38	43	42	42	42	40	30	29	15	15	16	15	18	17	15	13	48
36 Shorea macrophylla	45	45	46	41	41	40	40	40	42	30	30	17	17	17	18	23	18	18	16	52
37 Shorea macroptera	40	40	42	37	37	36	36	36	38	26	25	14	14	14	15	20	15	15	13	47
38 Shorea mecistopteryx	46	46	47	41	42	41	41	41	43	31	30	17	17	17	18	23	18	18	16	48
39 Shorea montigena	29	29	29	22	24	23	23	23	25	21	20	12	12	10	12	14	11	11	6	46
40 Shorea multiflora	41	41	43	40	37	36	36	34	36	26	25	10	10	12	14	18	13	11	10	44
41 Shorea ovalis	45	45	47	42	41	40	40	40	42	30	29	16	16	16	17	22	17	17	15	47
42 Shorea palembanica	46	46	47	42	42	41	41	41	43	31	30	17	17	17	18	23	18	18	16	48
43 Shorea parvifolia	46	46	47	44	44	43	43	43	41	31	30	17	17	17	18	23	18	18	16	46
44 Shorea pinanga	45	45	46	41	41	40	40	40	42	30	30	17	17	17	18	23	18	18	16	52
45 Shorea platyclados	42	42	43	37	39	38	38	38	40	28	27	16	16	16	17	22	17	17	15	43
46 Shorea scaberrima	33	33	33	27	31	30	30	30	32	21	20	12	12	12	13	18	13	13	11	47
47 Shorea selanica	43	43	44	38	39	38	38	38	40	30	29	16	16	16	17	22	17	17	15	51
48 Shorea seminis	41	41	42	37	37	36	36	36	38	28	27	16	16	16	18	22	17	17	15	50
49 Shorea splendida	44	44	45	40	40	39	39	39	41	29	29	16	16	16	17	22	17	17	15	51
50 Shorea stenoptera	45	45	46	41	41	40	40	40	42	30	30	17	17	17	18	23	18	18	16	52
51 Shorea virescens	41	41	42	37	42	41	41	41	39	29	28	14	14	15	14	17	16	14	12	48
52 Shorea xanthophylla	46	46	47	41	42	41	41	41	43	31	30	17	17	17	18	23	18	18	16	48
53 Upuna borneensis	24	24	26	23	36	35	35	33	35	35	34	41	41	41	37	41	42	42	41	51
54 Vatica bantamensis	23	23	23	21	31	30	30	30	30	34	34	40	40	40	36	40	41	41	40	47
55 Vatica bella	25	25	25	23	31	30	30	30	30	33	33	40	40	40	35	39	41	41	40	50
56 Vatica granulata	27	27	27	25	33	32	32	32	32	36	36	42	42	42	38	42	43	43	41	51
57 Vatica pauciflora	27	27	27	25	33	32	32	32	32	36	36	42	42	42	38	42	43	43	41	51
58 Vatica rassak	27	27	27	25	33	32	32	32	32	36	36	42	42	42	38	42	43	43	41	51
59 Vatica venulosa	27	27	27	25	33	32	32	32	32	36	36	42	42	42	38	42	43	43	41	51

	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
	1	4	5	5	9	4	0	9	٢	16		0.034	0.054	0.047	0.109	0.039	0.024	0.039	0.020	0.047
a	4	٢	1	1	6	9	9	6	8	18	4		0.024	0.016	0.129	0.040	0.000	0.008	0.022	0.073
ısis	8	11	7	0	13	٢	6	13	12	22	٢	e		0.022	0.146	0.044	0.023	0.015	0.038	0.095
ıla	7	10	1	1	12	9	×	12	11	21	9	0	ŝ	ı	0.139	0.029	0.015	0.007	0.028	0.088
ılis	14	10	18	18	13	17	15	13	14	4	14	16	20	19	ı	0.131	0.130	0.131	0.104	0.095
hylla	9	6	4	4	11	5	٢	11	10	20	5	5	9	4	18	ī	0.023	0.029	0.038	0.08(
otera	б	9	-	1	8	4	5	8	7	19	e	0	ε	7	17	с	ı	0.008	0.028	0.061
opteryx	9	6	0	0	11	5	٢	11	10	20	5	1	7	1	18	4	1	ı	0.019	0.08(
ena	С	9	0	0	7	0	4	٢	7	10	0	0	4	e	11	4	с	0	ı	0.066
ora	5	б	11	11	0	10	٢	0	-	15	9	6	13	12	13	11	×	11	٢	ı
	5	8	1	1	10	9	٢	10	6	21	5	0	ŝ	7	19	5	0	1	З	10
banica	9	6	1	1	11	9	٢	11	10	21	5	1	ŝ	7	19	5	1	1	З	11
olia	8	٢	ς	ε	11	×	6	11	10	19	٢	ε	5	4	17	7	с	с	5	11
ga	9	6	4	4	11	5	٢	11	10	20	5	5	9	4	18	0	З	4	4	11
lados	5	8	0	0	10	5	9	10	6	19	5	1	7	1	17	4	1	0	7	10
rima	ω	9	1	1	٢	0	4	٢	9	13	7	1	e	7	14	б	0	1	-	٢
ca	7	8	5	5	12	7	8	12	11	19	9	9	٢	9	19	ω	4	5	ς	12
S	5	٢	10	10	8	6	5	8	6	18	5	6	12	11	16	10	8	10	9	8
ida	5	8	З	ε	10	4	9	10	6	19	4	4	5	ŝ	17	1	7	ς	ς	10
itera	9	6	4	4	11	5	7	11	10	20	5	5	9	4	18	0	ω	4	4	11
sus	15	10	19	19	14	16	16	14	15	e	15	17	21	20	1	19	18	19	11	14
phylla	9	6	0	0	11	5	٢	11	10	20	5	-	7	1	18	4		0	7	11
ensis	40	36	46	46	39	41	42	39	40	40	38	43	46	47	42	46	40	46	29	39
nensis	40	33	46	46	41	41	42	41	42	38	38	43	46	46	40	45	41	46	27	41
	40	33	46	46	41	41	42	41	42	38	38	43	46	46	39	45	41	46	27	41
ata	42	35	48	48	43	43	44	43	44	40	40	45	48	48	42	47	43	48	29	43
lora	42	35	48	48	43	43	44	43	44	40	40	45	48	48	42	47	43	48	29	43
	42	35	48	48	43	43	44	43	4	40	40	45	48	48	42	47	43	48	29	43
00	47	35	48	48	43	4	VV	5	VV	UV	UV	u v	01	10	ć	ţ			•	ç

Appendix 5. Pairwise distance between species of Dipterocarpaceae: total character differences (below diagonal) and mean character differences (above diagonal)

ļ			9	Ş		ļ		ļ	9	4	Ċ	ì	Ċ	Ċ	i	1	ì	ł	Ċ	ć
20	Species	41	42	43	1	4 0	40	47	48	49	00	5	70	55	0 4	00	00	10	20	6
31	Shorea guiso	0.039	0.039	0.054	0.039	0.039	0.018	0.047	0.039	0.031	0.039	0.116	0.039	0.295	0.295	0.295	0.310	0.310	0.310	0.310
32	Shorea javanica	0.000	0.008	0.024	0.040	0.009	0.010	0.048	0.073	0.032	0.040	0.137	0.008	0.347	0.347	0.347	0.363	0.363	0.363	0.363
33	Shorea johorensis	0.022	0.022	0.037	0.044	0.016	0.026	0.051	0.088	0.037	0.044	0.153	0.015	0.336	0.336	0.336	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350
34	Shorea leprosula	0.015	0.015	0.029	0.029	0.008	0.017	0.044	0.080	0.022	0.029	0.146	0.007	0.343	0.336	0.336	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350
35	Shorea materialis	0.139	0.139	0.124	0.131	0.132	0.120	0.139	0.117	0.124	0.131	0.007	0.131	0.307	0.292	0.285	0.307	0.307	0.307	0.307
36	Shorea macrophylla	0.037	0.037	0.051	0.000	0.031	0.026	0.022	0.073	0.007	0.000	0.139	0.029	0.336	0.328	0.328	0.343	0.343	0.343	0.343
37	Shorea macroptera	0.000	0.008	0.023	0.023	0.008	0.017	0.031	0.061	0.015	0.023	0.137	0.008	0.305	0.313	0.313	0.328	0.328	0.328	0.328
38	Shorea mecistopteryx	0.007	0.007	0.022	0.029	0.000	0.009	0.037	0.073	0.022	0.029	0.139	0.000	0.336	0.336	0.336	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350
39	Shorea montigena	0.028	0.028	0.047	0.038	0.020	0.009	0.028	0.057	0.028	0.038	0.104	0.019	0.274	0.255	0.255	0.274	0.274	0.274	0.274
40	Shorea multiflora	0.073	0.080	0.080	0.080	0.078	0.060	0.088	0.058	0.073	0.080	0.102	0.080	0.285	0.299	0.299	0.314	0.314	0.314	0.314
41	Shorea ovalis	ı	0.007	0.022	0.037	0.008	0.017	0.044	0.073	0.029	0.037	0.146	0.007	0.328	0.328	0.328	0.343	0.343	0.343	0.343
42	Shorea palembanica	1	ı	0.015	0.037	0.008	0.017	0.044	0.073	0.029	0.037	0.146	0.007	0.336	0.336	0.336	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350
43	Shorea parvifolia	б	0	ı	0.051	0.023	0.034	0.058	0.088	0.044	0.051	0.131	0.022	0.336	0.336	0.336	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350
44	Shorea pinanga	5	S	7	ı	0.031	0.026	0.022	0.073	0.007	0.000	0.139	0.029	0.336	0.328	0.328	0.343	0.343	0.343	0.343
45	Shorea platyclados	1	1	ω	4	ı	0.009	0.039	0.070	0.023	0.031	0.140	0.000	0.326	0.326	0.326	0.341	0.341	0.341	0.341
46	Shorea scaberrima	7	0	4	б	1	ı	0.017	0.051	0.017	0.026	0.120	0.009	0.299	0.282	0.282	0.299	0.299	0.299	0.299
47	Shorea selanica	9	9	8	ω	5	0	ı	0.080	0.029	0.022	0.131	0.037	0.314	0.314	0.314	0.328	0.328	0.328	0.328
48	Shorea seminis	10	10	12	10	6	9	11	ı	0.066	0.073	0.124	0.073	0.299	0.285	0.285	0.299	0.299	0.299	0.299
49	Shorea splendida	4	4	9	1	ω	0	4	6	ı	0.007	0.131	0.022	0.328	0.321	0.321	0.336	0.336	0.336	0.336
50	Shorea stenoptera	S	S	7	0	4	ω	ε	10	1	ı	0.139	0.029	0.336	0.328	0.328	0.343	0.343	0.343	0.343
51	Shorea virescens	20	20	18	19	18	14	18	17	18	19	•	0.139	0.299	0.285	0.277	0.299	0.299	0.299	0.299
52	Shorea xanthophylla	1	1	б	4	0	1	5	10	ω	4	19		0.336	0.336	0.336	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350
53	Upuna borneensis	45	46	46	46	42	35	43	41	45	46	41	46	ı	0.161	0.175	0.175	0.175	0.175	0.175
54	Vatica bantamensis	45	46	46	45	42	33	43	39	44	45	39	46	22	ı	0.022	0.037	0.029	0.029	0.029
55	Vatica bella	45	46	46	45	42	33	43	39	44	45	38	46	24	б	ı	0.044	0.037	0.037	0.037
56	Vatica granulata	47	48	48	47	44	35	45	41	46	47	41	48	24	S	9	ı	0.0073	0.0073	0.0073
57	Vatica pauciflora	47	48	48	47	44	35	45	41	46	47	41	48	24	4	5	1	ı	0.000	0.000
58	Vatica rassak	47	48	48	47	44	35	45	41	46	47	41	48	24	4	5	1	0	ı	0.000
59	Vatica venulosa	47	48	48	47	44	35	45	41	46	47	41	48	24	4	5	-	0	0	ı



Appendix 6. Diagnostic characters (CI=100%) revealed by PCR-RFLP. The arrows indicated the presence/absence of bands or the corresponding restriction



Appendix 6. Diagnostic characters (CI=100%) revealed by PCR-RFLP. The arrows indicated the presence/absence of bands or the corresponding restriction









Appendix 6. Diagnostic characters (CI=100%) revealed by PCR-RFLP. The arrows indicated the presence/absence of bands or the corresponding restriction



Appendix 6. Diagnostic characters (CI=100%) revealed by PCR-RFLP. The arrows indicated the presence/absence of bands or the corresponding restriction sites for each primer-enzyme combination and ith character of the character list described in Appendix 1.

CURRICULUM VITAE

Name	:	Sapto Indrioko
Nationality	:	Indonesia
Date of birth	:	13 May 1969
Place of birth	:	Cirebon, Indonesia
Sex	:	Male
Marital status	:	Married
Office address	:	Department of Silviculture, Faculty of Forestry, Gadjah Mada
		University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
Education		
1976 – 1982	:	Elementary School, SDN 82 Surakarta, Indonesia
1982 - 1985	:	Junior High School, SMPN 4 Surakarta, Indonesia
1985 – 1988	:	Senior High School, SMAN 1 Surakarta, Indonesia
1988 – 1994	:	Undergraduate study at the Faculty of Forestry, Gadjah Mada
		University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Field of study: Silviculture.
		Degree: Sarjana Kehutanan equal to B.Sc.
1994 – 1996	:	Graduate study at the Graduate Program, Gadjah Mada
		University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Field of study: Forestry.
		Degree: Magister Pertanian equal to M.Sc.
2001 - 2005	:	Doctoral study at the Institute of Forest Genetics and Forest
		Tree Breeding, Georg-August University of Göttingen,
		Germany. Degree: Dr.
Professional employment		
1999 – present	:	A Lecturer at the Faculty of Forestry, Gadjah Mada University,
		Yogyakarta, Indonesia